Electronic Supplementary Information

Experimental section

Materials: Sodium Tungstate Dihydrate (Na₂WO₄·2H₂O), Nickel foam (NF), Ammonium sulfate ((NH_4) ₂SO₄), Nickel sulfate (NiSO₄), Sodium sulfate (Na₂SO₄), anhydrous ethanol (C₂H₆O), ammonium chloride (NH₄Cl), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), salicylic acid $(C_7H_6O_3)$, sodium citrate dihydrate $(C_6H_5Na_3O_7.2H_2O)$, p– dimethylamino benzaldehyde $(C_9H_{11}NO)$, and sodium nitroferricyanide dihydrate $(C_5FeN_6Na_2O·2H_2O)$ were purchased from Chengdu Kelong Ltd.

Preparation of WO₃/NF: Typically, 0.184 g of Na₂WO₄·2H₂O and 0.3 g of (NH_4) ₂SO₄ were dissolved in 15 mL of deionized water, respectively, and then mixed with slow stirring for one hour. Finally, the product was transferred to a 50 ml sealed Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave. Subsequently, The Nickel foam (NF) was ultrasonically cleaned with acetone, ethanol, and deionized water for 10 min and dried in air. And, the cleaned NF was placed in the solution in the autoclave. Then the autoclave was kept at 160 °C for 16 h. After the autoclave cooled down at room temperature, the NF was taken out and washed with water and ethanol several times and subsequently dried at 60 ºC overnight.

Preparation of WO₂/NF: The precursor WO₃/NF was put into a tubular furnace, and argon hydrogen atmosphere was injected into the furnace for 30 minutes, the heating rate was 5 ℃/min, and the heat preservation was held at 650 ℃ for 0.5 h.

Preparation of Ni@WO₂/NF: WO₂/NF electrodes were electroplated with 0.13 M NiSO₄, 0.13 M Na₂SO₄ and 0.1 M C₆H₅Na₃O₇·2H₂O at a current density of 10 mA cm−2 and plating time of 180 s.

Characterizations: The crystal structure of the prepared material was determined

using an X-ray diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation (DX-2700B). microstructural observations were performed on a field−emission scanning electron microscopy (FEI Insect F50) and an atomic resolution scanning transmission electron microscopy (FEI Talos F200S Super). XPS measurements were carried out with Thermo Fischer ESCALAB Xi⁺. The absorbance data were measured via an Ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectrophotometer (Shimazu UV–2600). EPR spectrum was recorded on a Brüker EMX spectrometer at room temperature.

Electrochemical measurements: All electrochemical measurements were carried out in an H−shaped cell separated by a Nafion 117 membrane using a CHI 760E electrochemical workstation (Chenhua, Shanghai). The area of the working electrode immersed in the electrolyte is 0.25 cm². LSV was performed in Ar-saturated 0.1 M NaOH with 0.1 M NaNO₂ at a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹. All potentials reported in this work were converted to a reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) scale, and current densities were normalized to the geometric surface area. All experiments were carried out at room temperature (25 °C).

Determination of NH3: The NH³ concentration in the electrolyte was determined (the obtained electrolyte was diluted 50 times) by the indophenol blue method. Specifically, 2 mL of electrolyte collected after electrolysis was mixed with 2 mL of coloring solution (1 M NaOH containing 5% salicylic acid and 5% sodium citrate), and 1 mL of oxidizing solution (0.05 M NaClO). Then 0.2 mL oxidation solution (0.05 M NaClO) mL catalyst solution (1 wt% $C_5FeN_6Na_2O$ 2H₂O) was dropped into the collected solution. After standing in the dark for 2 h, the concentration of NH_3 was determined by UV-Vis at a specific wavelength of 655 nm. The concentration−absorbance curve was calibrated using the standard NH4Cl solution

with known concentrations of 0.0, 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, and 2.5 μg mL⁻¹ in 0.1 M NaOH. The fitting curve (y = $0.41927x + 0.02789$, R² = 0.9998) shows a good linear relation of absorbance value with $NH₃$ concentration.

Determination of NH³ yield and FE:

The NH₃ FE is estimated from the charge consumed for $NO₂⁻$ reduction and the total charge passed through the electrode:

$$
FE = 6 \times F \times V \times [NH_3] / (Q \times 17) \times 100\%
$$

The yield rate of $NH₃$ (aq) is calculated:

NH³ yield = V × [NH3] / (A × t × 17)

Where $[NH_3]$ is the concentration of NH_3 (aq), F is the Faradaic constant (96485 C mol–1), V is the volume of electrolyte in the anode compartment (45 mL), Q is the total charge passing the electrode, t is the electrolysis time, and A is the geometric surface area.

Fig. S1. 120 °C for 8 h SEM images of WO₃/NF.

Fig. S2. 140 ℃ for 8 h SEM images of WO3/NF.

Fig. S3. 160 ℃ for 8 h SEM images of WO3/NF.

Fig. S4. 180 ℃ for 8 h SEM images of WO3/NF.

Fig. S5. 160 ℃ for 16 h XRD pattern of WO3/NF.

Fig. S6. XRD pattern of WO₂/NF at different calcination temperatures.

Fig. S7. LSV curves of WO₂/NF at different temperatures.

Fig. S8. (a) LSV curves of Ni@WO₂/NF at different electrodeposition current densities. (b) Press the LSV curve of $Ni@WO_2/NF$ for different electrodeposition times.

Fig. S9. (a) UV-Vis spectra and (b) corresponding calibration curves were used to calculate NH₄⁺.

Fig. S10. (a) Chronoamperometry curves and (b) corresponding UV-Vis spectra of Ni $@$ WO₂/NF

from -0.1 V to -0.5 V.

Fig. S11. CV curves of WO_2/NF (a), $Ni@WO_2/NF$ (b) at different scan rates $(20-100 \text{ mV s}^{-1})$. (c)The double−layer capacitance (Cdl) for Ni@WO2/NF, and WO2/NF, respectively. Cdl is

proportional to the electrochemical surface area (ECSA).

Fig. S12. ECSA−normalized current densities of Ni@WO2/NF and WO2/NF.

Fig. S13. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) for Ni@WO₂/NF, and WO₂/NF,

respectively.

Fig. S14. (a) UV−Vis spectra and (b) amounts of electrogenerated NH³ under different operating

conditions.

Fig. S15. UV-absorbable spectra of Ni@WO₂/NF are tested alternately in a 0.1 M NaOH electrolyte with and without $NaNO₂$ and without $NaNO₂$.

Fig. S16. (a) Chronoamperometry curves and (b) corresponding UV−Vis absorption spectra of Ni@WO₂/NF for electrochemical catalytic production of NH₃ during cycling tests in 0.1 M NaOH with $0.1 M NO₂⁻$ at $-0.4 V$.

Fig. S17. XRD of Ni@WO₂/NF after long electrolysis.

Fig. S18. SEM images of Ni $@$ WO₂/NF after long-term electrolysis.

Fig. S19. EIS before and after stability test.

Catalyst	Electrolyte	FE(%)	NH ₃ yield rate	Refs.
Ni@WO ₂ /NF	0.1 M NaOH (0.1 M NaNO ₂)	94.6	17959.3 μ g h ⁻¹ cm ⁻² $(1056.43 \text{ µmol cm}^{-2})$	This work
Ag@NiO	0.1 M NaOH (0.1 M NaNO ₂)	97.7	5751 µg h ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	$\mathbf{1}$
$C-NiWO4$	0.1 M NaOH (0.1 M NaNO ₂)	97.6	$10974.36 \,\mathrm{\upmu g} \,\mathrm{h}^{-1} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$	$\overline{2}$
Ag@TiO ₂	0.1 M NaOH (0.1 M NaNO ₂)	96.4	$8743.1 \,\mu g \,h^{-1} \,cm^{-2}$	3
Ni@TiO ₂	0.1 M NaOH (0.1 M NaNO ₂)	98.5	9667.9 μ g h ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	$\overline{4}$
$NiS_2@TiO_2$	0.1 M NaOH (0.1 M NaNO ₂)	92.1	$10062.3 \,\mathrm{\mu g} \,\mathrm{h}^{-1} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$	5
$Ni-TiO2$	0.1 M NaOH (0.1 M NaNO ₂)	94.9	$6464.6 \,\mathrm{\upmu g \, h^{-1} \, cm^{-2}}$	6
Cu/JDC	0.1 M NaOH (0.1 M NaNO ₂)	93.2	8899.5 μ g h ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	τ
$V-TiO2$	0.1 M NaOH (0.1 M NaNO ₂)	93.2	7083.9 μ g h ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	8
$A-TiO_{2x}$	0.1 M NaOH (0.1 M NaNO ₂)	91.1	12230.1 μ g h ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	9
WO ₂	0.1 M NaOH (0.1 M NaNO ₂)	94.3	$14964.25 \mu g h^{-1}$ cm ⁻²	10
FEOOH NTA	0.1 M NaOH (0.1 M NaNO ₂)	94.7	11937 μ g h ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	11
$Ni-NSA-V_{Ni}$	0.2 M Na ₂ SO ₄ $(200$ ppm $NO2-)$	88.9	235.98 µmol h^{-1} cm ⁻²	12
Cobalt-tripeptide complex	1.0 M MOPS buffer (1.0 M NaNO ₂)	90±3	3.01×10^{-10} mol s ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	13
Poly-NiTRP complex	NaNO ₂ (0.1 M NaClO ₄)		1.1 mM	14
FeN ₅ H ₂	1.0 M MOPS (1.0 M NaNO ₂)	> 90		15
$Cu3P$ NA/CF	0.1 M PBS (0.1 M NaNO ₂)	91.2 ± 2.5	$1626.6 \,\mathrm{\mu g} \,\mathrm{h}^{-1} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$	16

Table S1. Comparison of catalytic performance of $Ni@WO_2/NF$ with other reported NO_2 ⁻RR electrocatalysts.

Catalyst	Battery Type	Power density $(mW cm-2)$	Refs.
Ni@WO ₂ /NF	$Zn-NO2$	9.05	This work
Cu NDs	$Zn-N_2$	0.0101	17
FeHTNs	$Zn-N_2$	0.01642	18
VN@NSC	$Zn-N_2$	0.01642	19
CoPi/HSNPC	$Zn-N_2$	0.31	20
NbS ₂	$Zn-N_2$	0.31	21
CoPi/NPCS	$Zn-N_2$	0.49	22
Ti ₂ O ₃	$Zn-N_2$	1.02	23
FePS ₃	$Zn-N_2$	2.6	24
CoP	Zn-NO	0.496	25
NiO	Zn-NO	0.88	26
MoS ₂	Zn-NO	1.04	27
Fe ₂ O ₃	Zn-NO	1.18	28
Ni ₂ P	Zn-NO	1.53	29
TiO ₂ @Ti	Zn-NO	1.7	30
MoC	Zn-NO	1.8	31
VN	$Zn-NO$	2.0	32
CoS	Zn-NO	2.06	33
BiNDs	Zn-NO	2.33	34
Bi@C	$Zn-NO$	2.35	35
ITO@TiO ₂ TP	$Zn-NO_2$	1.22	36
$A-TiO2-x$	$Zn-NO_2$	2.38	37
TiO ₂	$Zn-NO_3$	0.87	38
Fe/Ni ₂ P	$Zn-NO_3$	3.25	39
Co ₂ AIO ₄	$Zn-NO_3$	3.43	40
CeO ₂	$Zn-NO_3$	3.44	41
NiCo ₂ O ₄	$Zn-NO_3$	3.94	42

Table S2. Comparison of NH₃ yield and power density of our battery with recent Zn-N₂, Zn-NO, Zn-

References

- 1 Q. Liu, G. Wen, D. Zhao, L. Xie, S. Sun, L. Zhang, Y. Luo, A. Ali Alshehri, M.S. Hamdy, Q. Kong, X. Sun, Nitrite reduction over Ag nanoarray electrocatalyst for ammonia synthesis, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* **623** (2022) 513-519.
- 2 H. Qiu, Q. Chen, J. Zhang, X. An, Q. Liu, L. Xie, W. Yao, X. Sun, Q. Kong, NiWO⁴ nanoparticles with oxygen vacancies: high-efficiency electrosynthesis of ammonia with selective reduction of nitrite, *Inorganic Chemistry Frontiers* **10** (2023) 3909-3915.
- 3 X. Fan, X. He, X. Ji, L. Zhang, J. Li, L. Hu, X. Li, S. Sun, D. Zheng, Y. Luo, Y. Wang, L. Xie, Q. Liu, B. Ying, X. Sun, High-efficiency electrosynthesis of ammonia with selective reduction of nitrite over an Ag nanoparticle-decorated TiO² nanoribbon array, *Inorganic Chemistry Frontiers* **10** (2023) 1431-1435.
- 4 X. Ji, C. Ma, F. Zhang, X. He, X. Fan, J. Li, Z. Li, L. Ouyang, L. Zhang, T. Li, D. Zhao, Y. Wang, J. Zhang, Z. Cai, S. Sun, A.A. Alshehri, Q. Lu, X. Sun, Ni@TiO2 Nanoarray with the Schottky Junction for the Highly Selective Electrochemical Reduction of Nitrite to Ammonia, *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering* **11** (2023) 2686-2691.
- 5 X. He, L. Hu, L. Xie, Z. Li, J. Chen, X. Li, J. Li, L. Zhang, X. Fang, D. Zheng, S. Sun, J. Zhang, A. Ali Alshehri, Y. Luo, Q. Liu, Y. Wang, X. Sun, Ambient ammonia synthesis via nitrite electro reduction over N_iS_2 nanoparticles-

decorated TiO² nanoribbon array, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* **634** (2023) 86-92.

- 6 Z. Cai, C. Ma, D. Zhao, X. Fan, R. Li, L. Zhang, J. Li, X. He, Y. Luo, D. Zheng, Y. Wang, B. Ying, S. Sun, J. Xu, Q. Lu, X. Sun, Ni doping enabled improvement in electrocatalytic nitrite-to-ammonia conversion over $TiO₂$ nanoribbon, *Materials Today Energy* **31** (2023).
- 7 L. Ouyang, L. Yue, Q. Liu, Q. Liu, Z. Li, S. Sun, Y. Luo, A. Ali Alshehri, M.S. Hamdy, Q. Kong, X. Sun, Cu nanoparticles decorated juncus-derived carbon for efficient electrocatalytic nitrite-to-ammonia conversion, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* **624** (2022) 394-399.
- 8 H. Wang, F. Zhang, M. Jin, D. Zhao, X. Fan, Z. Li, Y. Luo, D. Zheng, T. Li, Y. Wang, B. Ying, S. Sun, Q. Liu, X. Liu, X. Sun, V-doped $TiO₂$ nanobelt array for high-efficiency electrocatalytic nitrite reduction to ammonia, *Materials Today Physics* **30** (2023).
- 9 Z. Ren, Q. Chen, X. An, Q. Liu, L. Xie, J. Zhang, W. Yao, M.S. Hamdy, Q. Kong, X. Sun, High-Efficiency Ammonia Electrosynthesis on Anatase TiO_{2-x} Nanobelt Arrays with Oxygen Vacancies by Selective Reduction of Nitrite, *Inorganic Chemistry* **61** (2022) 12895-12902.
- 10 H. Qiu, Q. Chen, X. An, Q. Liu, L. Xie, J. Zhang, W. Yao, Y. Luo, S. Sun, Q. Kong, J. Chen, X. Sun, $WO₂$ nanoparticles with oxygen vacancies: a highefficiency electrocatalyst for the conversion of nitrite to ammonia, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* **10** (2022) 24969-24974.
- 11 Q. Liu, Q. Liu, L. Xie, L. Yue, T. Li, Y. Luo, N. Li, B. Tang, L. Yu, X. Sun, A 3D FeOOH nanotube array: an efficient catalyst for ammonia electrosynthesis by nitrite reduction, *Chemical Communications* **58** (2022) 5160-5163.
- 12 C. Wang, W. Zhou, Z. Sun, Y. Wang, B. Zhang and Y. Yu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2021, **9**, 239-243.
- 13 Y. Guo, J. R. Stroka, B. Kandemir, C. E. Dickerson and K. L. Bren, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 16888-16892.
- 14 P. Dreyse, M. Isaacs, K. Calfumán, C. Cáceres, A. Aliaga, M. J. Aguirre and D. Villagra, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2011, **56**, 5230-5237.
- 15 J. R. Stroka, B. Kandemir, E. M. Matson and K. L. Bren, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 13968-13972.
- 16 J. Liang, B. Deng, Q. Liu, G. Wen, Q. Liu, T. Li, Y. Luo, A. A. Alshehri, K. A. Alzahrani and D. Ma, *Green Chem.*, 2021, **23**, 5487-5493.
- 17 C. Du, Y. Gao, J. Wang and W. *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 12801-12804.
- 18 X.-W. Lv, X.-L. Liu, L.-J. Gao, Y.-P. Liu and Z.-Y. Yuan, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2021, **9**, 4026-4035.
- 19 X.-W. Lv, Y. Liu, Y.-S. Wang, X.-L. Liu and Z.-Y. Yuan, *Appl. Catal. B*, 2021, **280**, 119434.
- 20 J.-T. Ren, L. Chen, Y. Liu and Z.-Y. Yuan, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2021, **9**, 11370-11380.
- 21 H. Wang, J. Si, T. Zhang, Y. Li, B. Yang, Z. Li, J. Chen, Z. Wen, C. Yuan, L. Lei and Y. Hou, *Appl. Catal. B*, 2020, **270**, 118892.
- 22 J. T. Ren, L. Chen, H. Y. Wang and Z. Y. Yuan, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 12106-12117.
- 23 H.-j. Chen, Z.-q. Xu, S. Sun, Y. Luo, Q. Liu, M. S. Hamdy, Z.-s. Feng, X. Sun and Y. Wang, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2022, **9**, 4608-4613.
- 24 H. Wang, Z. Li, Y. Li, B. Yang, J. Chen, L. Lei, S. Wang and Y. Hou, *Nano Energy*, 2021, **81**.
- 25 J. Liang, W.-F. Hu, B. Song, T. Mou, L. Zhang, Y. Luo, Q. Liu, A. A. Alshehri, M. S. Hamdy, L.-M. Yang and X. Sun, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2022, **9**, 1366-1372.
- 26 P. Liu, J. Liang, J. Wang, L. Zhang, J. Li, L. Yue, Y. Ren, T. Li, Y. Luo, N. Li, B. Tang, Q. Liu, A. M. Asiri, Q. Kong and X. Sun, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, **57**, 13562-13565.
- 27 L. Zhang, J. Liang, Y. Wang, T. Mou, Y. Lin, L. Yue, T. Li, Q. Liu, Y. Luo, N. Li, B. Tang, Y. Liu, S. Gao, A. A. Alshehri, X. Guo, D. Ma and X. Sun, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed*, 2021, **60**, 25263-25268.
- 28 J. Liang, H. Chen, T. Mou, L. Zhang, Y. Lin, L. Yue, Y. Luo, Q. Liu, N. Li, A. A. Alshehri, I. Shakir, P. O. Agboola, Y. Wang, B. Tang, D. Ma and X. Sun, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2022, **10**, 6454-6462.
- 29 T. Mou, J. Liang, Z. Ma, L. Zhang, Y. Lin, T. Li, Q. Liu, Y. Luo, Y. Liu, S. Gao, H. Zhao, A. M. Asiri, D. Ma and X. Sun, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2021, **9**, 24268-24275.
- 30 J. Liang, P. Liu, Q. Li, T. Li, L. Yue, Y. Luo, Q. Liu, N. Li, B. Tang, A. A.

Alshehri, I. Shakir, P. O. Agboola, C. Sun and X. Sun, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed*, 2022, **61**, e202202087.

- 31 G. Meng, M. Jin, T. Wei, Q. Liu, S. Zhang, X. Peng, J. Luo and X. Liu, *Nano Res.*, 2022, DOI: 10.1007/s12274-022-4747-y.
- 32 D. Qi, F. Lv, T. Wei, M. Jin, G. Meng, S. Zhang, Q. Liu, W. Liu, D. Ma, M. S. Hamdy, J. Luo and X. Liu, *Nano Res. Energy*, 2022, **1,** e9120022.
- 33 L. Zhang, Q. Zhou, J. Liang, L. Yue, T. Li, Y. Luo, Q. Liu, N. Li, B. Tang, F. Gong, X. Guo and X. Sun, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2022, **61**, 8096-8102.
- 34 Y. Lin, J. Liang, H. Li, L. Zhang, T. Mou, T. Li, L. Yue, Y. Ji, Q. Liu, Y. Luo, N. Li, B. Tang, Q. Wu, M. S. Hamdy, D. Ma and X. Sun, *Mater. Today Phys.*, 2022, **22**.
- 35 Q. Liu, Y. Lin, L. Yue, J. Liang, L. Zhang, T. Li, Y. Luo, M. Liu, J. You, A. A. Alshehri, Q. Kong and X. Sun, *Nano Res.*, 2022, **15**, 5032-5037.
- 36 S. Li, J. Liang, P. Wei, Q. Liu, L. Xie, Y. Luo and X. Sun, *eScience*, 2022, **2**, 382-388.
- 37 Z. Ren, Q. Chen, X. An, Q. Liu, L. Xie, J. Zhang, W. Yao, M. S. Hamdy, Q. Kong and X. Sun, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2022, **61**, 12895-12902.
- 38 Y. Guo, R. Zhang, S. Zhang, Y. Zhao, Q. Yang, Z. Huang, B. Dong and C. Zhi, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2021, **14**, 3938-3944.
- 39 R. Zhang, Y. Guo, S. Zhang, D. Chen, Y. Zhao, Z. Huang, L. Ma, P. Li, Q. Yang, G. Liang and C. Zhi, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2022, **12**.
- 40 Z. Deng, J. Liang, Q. Liu, C. Ma, L. Xie, L. Yue, Y. Ren, T. Li, Y. Luo, N. Li,

B. Tang, A. Ali Alshehri, I. Shakir, P. O. Agboola, S. Yan, B. Zheng, J. Du, Q. Kong and X. Sun, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2022, **435**.

- 41 Z. Li, Z. Deng, L. Ouyang, X. Fan, L. Zhang, S. Sun, Q. Liu, A. A. Alshehri, Y. Luo, Q. Kong and X. Sun, *Nano Res.*, 2022, DOI: 10.1007/s12274-022- 4863-8.
- 42 Q. Liu, L. Xie, J. Liang, Y. Ren, Y. Wang, L. Zhang, L. Yue, T. Li, Y. Luo, N. Li, B. Tang, Y. Liu, S. Gao, A. A. Alshehri, I. Shakir, P. O. Agboola, Q. Kong, Q. Wang, D. Ma and X. Sun, *Small*, 2022, **18,** 2106961.