# **Supplementary Material**

# Enhanced Electrocatalytic Nitrogen Reduction on a Three-Dimensional Cu<sub>3</sub>P/SnP@CF Catalyst through a Multi-Site Synergistic Effect between the Heterointerface and Phosphorus Vacancies

Chaofan Guo, Suyi Yang, Liting Wei, Jinzhan Su<sup>\*</sup>, Liejin Guo International Research Center of Renewable Energy(IRCRE), State Key Laboratory of Multiphase Flow in Power Engineering(MFPE), Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xian, Shanxi, 710049, China.

\*Corresponding Authors:

Email address: j.su@mail.xjtu.edu.cn (X. An)

## S1. Experimental section

#### S1.1 Chemicals

 $CuSO_4$ ,  $SnSO_4$ ,  $K_2SO_4$  were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd.,  $H_2SO_4$  was purchased from Shanghai Aladdin Biochemical Technology Co. None of the chemicals were further decontaminated.

#### S1.2. Catalyst Characterization.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images and energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) elemental mapping images were obtained by the JEOL JSM 7800F instrument. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) images were obtained using a FEI Tecnai G2F30 S-Twin transmission electron microscope. X-ray diffraction (XRD) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) were collected by Bruker-D8 Advance and Thermo Scientific K-Alpha, respectively. The C 1s peak at 284.80 eV was used as an energy reference for all binding energies. The PL spectra was obtained by using a FLS 980 fluorescence spectrophotometer at room temperature.

### S1.3. Electrochemical NRR measurement

The electrocatalytic nitrogen reduction reaction was carried on an H-type electrolytic cell at ambient temperature and pressure (all electrochemical reactions were carried out on a CHI604E electrochemical workstation), in which the electrolytic cell was separated by a Nafion 117 membrane, which needs to underwent pre-treatment before use consisting of sonication in 5 %  $H_2O_2$ , deionized water, 0.5 M  $H_2SO_4$ , and deionized water for 20 minutes each, followed by treatment with deionized water at 80 °C for more than 12 hours. Before electrochemical testing, the cathode chamber's electrolyte underwent a 30-minute purge with  $N_2$ .

### S1.4. Determination of NH<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>

The concentration of produced NH<sub>3</sub> was determined using the indophenol blue method. Specifically, 2 mL of the post-test solution was taken from the cathodic chamber and added to 2 mL of a 1.0 M NaOH solution containing  $C_7H_6O_3$  and  $C_6H_5Na_3O_7\cdot 2H_2O$ . Subsequently, 1 mL of NaClO (0.05 M) and 0.2 mL of Na<sub>2</sub>[Fe(NO)(CN)<sub>5</sub>]·2H<sub>2</sub>O were added sequentially. After allowing the mixture to stand in the dark for 2 hours, the concentration of NH<sub>3</sub> was measured by UV-Vis spectroscopy at a wavelength of 655 nm. The concentration-absorbance curve was calibrated using a standard NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution, as illustrated in Fig. S2.  $N_2H_4$  as a byproduct in the nitrogen reduction reaction for ammonia synthesis, which affect the efficiency of ammonia synthesis, therefore, its concentration is measured during the tests. The concentration of  $N_2H_4$  in the electrolyte was determined using the standard Watt and Chrisp method. A color reagent was prepared by mixing  $C_9H_{11}NO$  (5.99 g), HCI (30 mL), and  $C_2H_5OH$  (300 mL). In detail, 5 mL of the electrolyte was taken from the electrochemical reaction cell and added to 5 mL of the prepared color reagent, then stirred for 10 minutes at 25 °C.

## S1.5. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations

The density functional theory (DFT) calculations were carried out with the VASP code[1]. The Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) functional within generalized gradient approximation (GGA)[2] was used to process the exchange–correlation, while the projectoraugmented-wave pseudopotential (PAW)[3] was applied with a kinetic energy cut-off of 500 eV, which was utilized to describe the expansion of the electronic eigenfunctions. The vacuum thickness was set to be 20 Å to minimize interlayer interactions. The Brillouin-zone integration was sampled by a  $\Gamma$ -centered 5 × 5 × 1 Monkhorst–Pack k-point. All atomic positions were fully relaxed until energy and force reached a tolerance of 1 × 10<sup>-5</sup> eV and 0.03 eV/Å, respectively. The dispersion corrected DFT-D method was employed to consider the long-range interactions[4].

The adsorption energy  $(E_{ads})$  of a complex formed between two molecules, A and B, can be calculated using the following equation:

$$E_{ads} = E_{complex} - (E_A + E_B)$$

Where: E<sub>complex</sub> is the total energy of the molecular complex of A and B.

E<sub>A</sub> and E<sub>B</sub> are the total energies of isolated molecules A and B, respectively.

The Gibbs free energy change ( $\Delta G$ ) was calculated by computational hydrogen electrode (CHE) model as follows:

$$\Delta G = \Delta E + \Delta Z P E - T \Delta S \tag{1}$$

where  $\Delta E$  is the reaction energy obtained by the total energy difference between the reactant and product molecules absorbed on the catalyst surface and  $\Delta S$  is the change in entropy for each reaction,  $\Delta ZPE$  is the zero-point energy correction to the Gibbs free energy. T represents room temperature (298.15 K).



Fig. S1 Ammonia electrolysis device



Fig. S2 standard curve of  $NH_4^+$ 



Fig. S3 (a) LSV curves in Ar- and N<sub>2</sub>-saturated 1 M KOH solution and blank group, (b) Ammonia yield of catalysts in Ar- and N<sub>2</sub>-saturated 1 M KOH solution and blank group

# 1 References

- 2 [1] G. Kresse, J. Furthmüller, Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and
- 3 semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set, Comp. Mater. Sci. 6 (1) (1996) 15–50.
- 4 [2] J.P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient approximation made
- 5 simple, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (18) (1996) 3865–3868.
- 6 [3] P.E. Blochl, Projector augmented-wave method, Phys Rev B Condens Matter, 50 (1994) 17953-
- 7 17979.
- 8 [4] S. Grimme, Semiempirical GGA-type density functional constructed with a long-range
- 9 dispersion correction, J Comput Chem, 27 (2006) 1787-1799.