Supporting Information

Ultra-flexible organic solar cells based on eco-friendly cellulose substrate with efficiency approaching 19%

Zheng Xiao^a, Jian Liu^a, Xin Chen^a, Zhaochen Suo^a, Xiangjian Cao^a, Nuo Xu^a, Zhaoyang Yao^a, Chenxi Li^a, Xiangjian wan^{*a} and Yongsheng Chen^{*a}

State Key Laboratory of Elemento-Organic Chemistry, Key Laboratory of Functional Polymer Materials, College of Chemistry, Renewable Energy Conversion and Storage Center (RECAST), Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China. E-mail: xjwan@nankai.edu.cn, yschen99@nankai.edu.cn

Materials:

All materials were used as obtained unless otherwise indicated. Silver nanowires (AgNWs) (10 wt% in H₂O with an average length of ~25 μ m and diameter of ~35 nm) were purchased from Zhejiang Kechuang Adv. Mater. Co., Ltd. PM6, BO-4Cl, Y6, PBDB-T and ITIC-4F were purchased from Solarmer Materials (Beijing) Inc. L8-BO was purchased from Jiaxing Hyper Inc. Poly (sodium 4-styrenesulfonate) (PSSNa, Mw ~500,000, powder), 1,8-diiodooctane (DIO) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Ethyl cellulose (EC), Ethoxylated trimethylolpropane triacrylate (ETPTA) and 2-Hydroxy-2-methylpropiophenone were purchased from Adamas Reagent Co., Ltd. The indium-doped tin oxide (ITO)-coated glass and PET/ITO, PEN/ITO were purchased from Liaoning Advanced Election Technology. ZnO nanoparticles (NPs) were synthesized according to the literature.¹

Electrode Fabrication:

The EC solution was prepared by dissolving 0.05 g of EC into 1 mL of methanol (Kemiou, 99.8%). After EC solution was filtered, different contents of ETPTA with 2-Hydroxy-2-methylpropiophenone (3wt%, as initiator) were added to obtain EC@ETPTA solution. EC@ETPTA solution were deposited on the glass by spin coating to obtain the EC@ETPTA films (7-8 μ m). For preparing EC@ETPTA/AgNWs electrode, the EC@ETPTA substrate was treated by plasma for 8 min and a thin layer (~20 nm) ZnO NPs was deposited on the flexible substrate, then AgNWs was spinning coated following our previous report.²

Electrode characterization.

Sheet resistances of the glass/ITO, PET/ITO, PEN/ITO and EC@ETPTA/AgNWs were measured with a ST-2258C four-probe instrument (Suzhou Jingge Electronic). Atomic force microscope (AFM) investigation was performed using Bruker MultiMode 8 in tapping mode. AFM-IR experiments were carried out using a commercial AFM-IR setup (Bruker nanoIR 3) that consists of an AFM microscope operating in contact mode and a Quantum Cascade Laser (QCL laser). The surface and cross-section morphologies of EC@ETPTA and EC@ETPTA/AgNWs were measured by SEM (ZEISSMERLIN Compact). The stretch ability was measured by cupping machine (Transcell Technology BAB-10MT). The UV-Vis spectra were obtained by a Cary

5000 UV-Vis spectrophotometer. The thermal expansion coefficient measurements were performed using a Thermal Mechanical Analysis (TMA) (PE DMA8000). The Stress-strain measurements were performed using a mechanical tester (CTM-2GD) with a pre-strain of 5% in the temperature range of 25°C at an environmental RH of 35%.

Device fabrication:

The OSCs devices were fabricated using an inverted structure of Electrode/ZnO /active layers/MoOx/Ag. For rigid devices, ITO was ultrasonically cleaned with detergent, deionized water, acetone, isopropanol and UV-treated for 15 min. ZnO nanoparticle (ZnO NPs)³ layer (~ 20 nm) was deposited by spin coating on top of precleaned ITO substrates and annealed at 120 °C for 10 mins. Different active layers were deposited following procedures in previous reports⁴⁻⁸. Then, MoO_x (~5 nm) and Ag (~100 nm) were successively evaporated onto the active layer through a shadow mask (pressure ca. 10⁻⁴ Pa). The device area is ~4 mm². The flexible devices based on the EC@ETPTA/AgNWs and PET/ITO and PEN/ITO electrodes were fabricated following the same procedure to that of the rigid devices.

Device characterization.

The J-V characteristics of photovoltaic devices were measured using a Keithley 2400 source measure unit, with a fixed scan speed of 0.02 V s-1 and dwell times of 20 ms for the J-V curves. The photocurrent was measured under illumination simulated 100 mW cm⁻² AM1.5G irradiation using an Enli SS-F5-3A solar simulator, calibrated with a standard Si solar cell (Enli Technology Co., Ltd., Taiwan, and calibrated report can be traced to NREL). The external quantum efficiency (EQE) spectrum was measured using a QE-R Solar Cell Spectral Response Measurement System (Enli Technology Co., Ltd., Taiwan). The thickness of film and device was measured using a Veeco Dektak 150 profilometer.



Fig. S1. The values of thermal expansion coefficients (TEC) for the EC and EC@ETPTA.



Fig. S2. Pure EC and EC@ETPTA films with different ETPTA contents were subjected to solvent resistance testing against methanol following ASTM D5402-19.



Fig. S3. Stability of EC@ETPTA films with 20% ETPTA content were subjected to solvent resistance testing against butanol and chloroform following ASTM D5402-19.



Fig. S4. Residue weight of the a) dried EC and EC@ETPTTA containing different ETPTA content films after soaking in n-butanol and b) EC@ETPTTA containing 20% ETPTA after soaking in different solvents for 24 h.



Fig. S5. FT-IR spectra of ETPTA polymer.



Fig. S6. Transmittance spectra of ETPTA.



Fig. S7. Transmittance spectra of EC@ETPTA films with different ETPTA contents.



Fig. S8. The thickness of EC@ETPTA film was measured by a profiler.



Fig. S9. Authentic pictures of EC@ETPTA (23×13 cm).



Fig. S10. SEM image of EC@ETPTA/AgNWs film.



Fig. S11. Chemical structure of PM6, L8-BO.



Fig. S12. The schematic diagram of the detailed preparation process of ultra-flexible OSCs.



Fig. S13. illumination stability at maximum power point (MPP) tracking of the flexible devices based on EC@ETPTA/AgNWs.



Fig. S14. Chemical structure of PBDB-T, Y6, BO-4Cl, CH23 and ITIC-4F.



Fig. S15. J-V curves of OSCs based on EC@ETPTA/AgNWs and glass/ITO electrodes with different active layers.



Fig. S16. SEM image of a silicon wafer with AR structure (AR-Si).



Fig. S17. The display of an ultra-flexible OSCs device on a single human hair.



Fig. S18. Photograph of the free-standing OPVs attached to a finger.

4. Supplementary Tables

Table S1. Properties of different electrode.

Electrode	T(%)	Rsh	FoM
	@550 nm	(Ω/□)	
Glass/ITO	89.56	12.45	267.12
EC@PEGDMA/AgNWs	88.92	21.04	148.14
PEN/ITO	83.52	16.48	121.39
PET/ITO	74.20	12.67	92.46

Device structure		J_{sc}	FF	PCE	Refs
	[V]	[mA cm ⁻²]	[%]	[%]	
PPZA/ZnO/PM6: N3: PC ₇₁ BM/MoO ₃ /Ag		25.00	76.50	16.10	9
PET/AgNWs/ZnO: CsCO ₃ /D18: Y6: PCB ₇₁ M/MoO ₃ /Ag		26.44	77.43	17.64	10
Em-AgNW FTE/ZnO/ PM6: L8-BO/MoO3/Ag		24.26	76.34	15.41	11
PET/Ag grids/D-PH1000/ PEDOT: PSS/D18: N3: QX-		27.21	77.30	18.01	12
α/PDINN/Ag					
PDMS/AgNWs/ZnO: CsCO ₃ /D18: Y6: PCB ₇₁ M/MoO ₃ /Ag	0.860	26.94	16.48	17.72	13
Em-Ag/AgNWs:AZO-SG/PBDB-T-2F: Y6/MoO ₃ /Al		25.05	72.97	15.21	14
PET/AgNWs@SnO _x /ZnO/PM6: L8-BO/MoO ₃ /Ag		25.5	75.80	16.60	15
PET/AgNW:PDA: AgNP /AZO/PBDB-T-2F: BTP-		27.44	74.96	17.07	16
ec9:PCB ₇₁ M/MoO ₃ /Ag					
AgNWs@CPI/ZnO/PM6: BTP-4Cl/MoO₃/Ag		24.77	74.35	14.37	17
PET/Em-Ag/AgNWs/AZO/PM6: BTP-eC9:		27.37	76.90	17.52	18
PC71BM/MoO ₃ /Ag					
FlexAgNEs/ZnO NPs/PFN-Br/PM6: Y6/MoO ₃ /Ag		25.43	74.50	15.71	3
EC/PI/AgNWs/ZnO NPs/NMAO/PM6: L8-BO/MoO ₃ /Ag		26.38	78.26	18.05	4
AR-EC@ETPTA/AgNWs/ZnO NPs/NMAO/PM6: L8-		27.67	77.13	18.71	This
BO/MoO ₃ /Ag					work

Table S2. Photovoltaic parameters of recently reported flexible OSCs

References

- 1. W. J. E. Beek, M. M. Wienk, M. Kemerink, X. N. Yang and R. A. J. Janssen, *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 2005, **109**, 9505-9516.
- Y. N. Sun, M. J. Chang, L. X. Meng, X. J. Wan, H. H. Gao, Y. M. Zhang, K. Zhao, Z. H. Sun, C. X. Li,
 S. R. Liu, H. K. Wang, J. J. Liang and Y. S. Chen, *Nature Electronics*, 2019, 2, 513-520.
- 3. Y. Sun, L. Meng, X. Wan, Z. Guo, X. Ke, Z. Sun, K. Zhao, H. Zhang, C. Li and Y. Chen, *Advanced Functional Materials*, 2021, **31**, 2010000.
- 4. Z. Xiao, S. Li, J. Liu, X. Chen, Z. Suo, C. Li, X. Wan and Y. Chen, *Solar RRL*, 2024, **8**, 2400206.
- X. Cao, J. Guo, Z. Li, X. Bi, H. Liang, Z. Xiao, Y. Guo, X. Jia, Z. Xu, K. Ma, Z. Yao, B. Kan, X. Wan, C. Li and Y. Chen, ACS Energy Letters, 2023, 8, 3494-3503.
- H. Liang, H. Chen, P. Wang, Y. Zhu, Y. Zhang, W. Feng, K. Ma, Y. Lin, Z. Ma, G. Long, C. Li, B. Kan,
 Z. Yao, H. Zhang, X. Wan and Y. Chen, *Advanced Functional Materials*, 2023, 33, 2301573.
- 7. S. H. K. Paleti, S. Hultmark, N. Ramos, N. Gasparini, A.-H. Emwas, J. Martin, C. Müller and D. Baran, *Solar RRL*, 2022, **6**, 2200436.
- 8. S. T. Li, Q. Fu, L. X. Meng, X. J. Wan, L. M. Ding, G. Y. Lu, G. H. Lu, Z. Y. Yao, C. X. Li and Y. S. Chen, Angewandte Chemie-International Edition, 2022, **61**, e20220397.
- T. Y. Qu, L. J. Zuo, J. D. Chen, X. Shi, T. Zhang, L. Li, K. C. Shen, H. Ren, S. Wang, F. M. Xie, Y. Q. Li,
 A. K. Y. Jen and J. X. Tang, *Advanced Optical Materials*, 2020, 8, 2000669.
- 10. H. Y. Hou, Y. F. Zhang and J. D. Chen, *Chemical engineering journal*, 2022, **450**, 138181.
- 11. H. Li, Q. Chen, G. Zhang, Z. Zhang, J. Fang, C. Zhao and W. Li, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2023, **11**, 158-166.
- 12. Z. Chen, J. Zhu, D. Yang, W. Song, J. Shi, J. Ge, Y. Guo, X. Tong, F. Chen and Z. Ge, *Energy & Environmental Science*, 2023, **16**, 3119-3127.
- 13. Y. F. Zhang, H. Ren, J. D. Chen, H. Y. Hou, H. M. Liu, S. Tian, W. S. Chen, H. R. Ge, Y. Q. Li, H. Mao, Z. Su and J. X. Tang, *Advanced Functional Materials*, 2023, **33**, 2212260.
- 14. X. Chen, G. Xu, G. Zeng, H. Gu, H. Chen, H. Xu, H. Yao, Y. Li, J. Hou and Y. Li, *Adv Mater*, 2020, **32**, e1908478.
- 15. C. Xie, C. Xiao, J. Fang, C. Zhao and W. Li, *Nano Energy*, 2023, **107**, 108153.
- 16. Y. Chen, J. Wan, G. Xu, X. Wu, X. Li, Y. Shen, F. Yang, X. Ou, Y. Li and Y. Li, *Science China Chemistry*, 2022, **65**, 1164-1172.
- 17. Y. Wang, Q. Chen, G. Zhang, C. Xiao, Y. Wei and W. Li, *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*, 2022, **14**, 5699-5708.
- 18. G. Zeng, W. J. Chen, X. B. Chen, Y. Hu, Y. Chen, B. Zhang, H. Y. Chen, W. W. Sun, Y. X. Shen, Y. W. Li, F. Yan and Y. F. Li, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2022, **144**, 8658-8668.