Li_{0.33}La_{0.557}TiO₃@BaTiO₃ core-shell fiber as fillers to promote the dissociation and migration of lithium-ions in the solid polymer electrolytes

Zhihao Ding^{1#}, Jianjun Song^{1#}, Lei Zhang¹, Peng Guo¹, Chaoyan Zhang¹, Chuan Shi^{1*}

¹College of Physics, Qingdao University, Qingdao 266071, China. E-mail:

chuanshi@qdu.edu.cn

#Zhihao Ding and Jianjun Song contributed equally to this work.

Calculation Method:

First-principles calculations are performed by vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP).^{1, 2} The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) is used to describe the exchange-correlation functional.³ To accurately describe the dispersion interactions in our simulations, the DFT-D3 method was employed.⁴

Li@BaTiO₃: The cut-off energy for the plane wave basis is set to 500 eV and a $4 \times 4 \times 1$ Monkhorst-pack mesh is employed. All atoms were fully relaxed (atomic position) up to 10^{-4} eV/Å force minimization and max force of 0.05 eV/Å. The DFT+U method was used to calculate the electronic properties.⁵

Li@LLTO:The cut-off energy for the plane wave basis is set to 500 eV and a $3 \times 2 \times 1$ Monkhorst-pack mesh is employed. All atoms were fully relaxed (atomic position) up to 10^{-4} eV/Å force minimization and max force of 0.05 eV/Å. The DFT+U method was used to calculate the electronic properties.⁵

Experimental Section

Preparation of LLTO@BTO nanowires:

Firstly, we prepared electrospinning precursor solutions of LLTO and BTO:

(1) LLTO precursor solution: Lithium nitrate (LiNO₃, Aladdin, 99.9%), Lanthanum nitrate hexahydrate (La(NO₃)₃·6H₂O, Aladdin, 99.99%) and Polyvinylpyrrolidone

(PVP, Aladdin, MW=1300000) were dissolved in N, N-Dimethylformamide (DMF, Hushi, AR) and Acetic acid (AC, Macklin, \geq 99.9%) solvent at a certain mass ratio and stirred for 12 hours. Then Titanium butoxide (C₁₆H₃₆O₄Ti, Aladdin, 98%) was added and stirred well to obtain a uniformly mixed solution.

(2) BaTiO₃ precursor solution: Barium acetate ((CH₃COO)₂Ba, Hushi, AR) and PVP were dissolved in Acetic acid, Absolute ethanol (AE, Hushi, AR) and deionized water solvent at a certain mass ratio, stirred for 12 hours, then Titanium butoxide was added and stirred for 1 hour to obtain a uniformly mixed solution.

Secondly, We prepare LLTO@BTO and LLTO nanowires by electrospinning:

The above two precursor solutions were coaxial electrospinning. By using a coaxial electrospinning needle, the fiber film was spun at a voltage of 15 kV, a propulsion rate of 10 ul min⁻¹, a receiving distance of 10 cm, and a drum speed of 200 r min⁻¹ were used to obtain a fiber film and dry. The obtained fiber membrane was sintered at 900 °C for 1 hour, the heating rate was 3 °C min⁻¹, and coaxial BTO@LLTO nanowires were obtained. In addition, a single needle was used for the preparation of LLTO nanowires, and other conditions were consistent.

Preparation of Composite Solid Electrolytes:

BTO@LLTO coaxial nanofibers and LLTO nanofibers are ground into staple fibers as inorganic fillers. BTO@LLTO or LLTO (5%, 10%, 15%, 20% by mass), Polyethylene oxide (PEO, Macklin, MW=1000000) and Lithium bis-trifluoromethane sulfonamide (LiTFSI, Aladdin, 99.99 %) were dissolved in Acetonitrile solvent, stirred well, and then coated on a PTFE plate to dry to form an electrolyte film. They were called PEO-LLTO@BTO and PEO-LLTO, separately.

Preparation of cathode:

LiFePO₄(LFP), Super-P, PVDF and SCN were mixed in a weight ratio of 7:1:1:1 to prepare the cathode. First of all, we needed to grind LiFePO₄ and Super-P evenly, add PVDF solution and SCN solution, and stir to obtain a uniform cathode slurry. Subsequently, the uniform slurry was coated on the foil and dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C. Finally, the dried pole piece was stored for subsequent battery installation.

Characterization of the electrolytes:

The crystal structures of LLTO@BTO and LLTO were observed by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker D2 Phaser). The morphology, structure, and element mapping of the materials were characterized by field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE - SU8100, Hitachi, Japan), and the diameter distributions before and after calcination were statistically analyzed.

The structures of LLTO and LLTO@BTO were observed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM - 2100F, JEOL). Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, SDT - 650, TA Instruments, Milford, USA) and thermogravimetry (TG) were used to study the melting temperatures and thermal stabilities of pure PEO, PEO - LLTO and PEO - LLTO@BTO.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) analysis confirmed the states of elements.

Electrochemical Testing:

We used the Electrochemical workstation (CHI760E) and Neware batteries tester (Neware company, China) to test the Electrochemical performance. The different batteries were assembled in an Ar-filled glove box (the water content < 0.1 ppm, the oxygen content < 0.1 ppm). Assemble stainless steel gasket symmetric cells to perform AC impedance measurement under the conditions of 30-60 °C, 100 kHz-1 Hz, and an amplitude of 10 mV. Calculate their ionic conductivities at different

temperatures by the formula $\sigma = \frac{L}{RS}$ (where σ is the ionic conductivity, L is the thickness of the solid-state electrolyte membrane, R is the resistance, and S is the contact area), and calculate the activation energy by the Arrhenius formula. Assemble gasket|SPE|Li cells. Each cell was subjected to an electrochemical stability test of the solid-state electrolyte membrane by linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹ in the range of 0-6 V. Through the polarization test of Li-symmetric cells at different current densities, the dynamic interface stability between SPEs and Li metal at the working temperature was studied. Use the above-obtained cathode sheets to assemble LFP/Li cells and pouch cells and then test them to evaluate their cycling performance and practical applications. The redox stability of different composite solid

electrolytes and the reversibility of LFP/Li cells were determined by cyclic voltammetry (CV) at a scanning rate of 0.1 mV s^{-1} in the voltage range of 3 V-4 V.



Figure S1 Diameter distribution of LLTO nanofibers before (a) and after calcination (b); Diameter distribution of LLTO@BTO nanofibers before (c) and after calcination (d).



Figure S2 (a) XRD profile of LLTO. (b)XRD spectra of different BTO: LLTO (puta-to-core ratio) ratios.

The LLTO is encapsulated by BTO and the LLTO@BTO only exhibits the the crystal peaks of pure BTO with a BTO: LLTO ratio of 1:1. The crystal peaks of LLTO of LLTO: BTO gradually appear with decreasing the BTO: LLTO ratio from 1:1 to 0.4:1.



Figure S3 EDS element content of LLTO and LLTO@BTO.



Figure S4 Digital images of different solid-state electrolytes: (a) (d) is PEO solid-state electrolyte,(b) (e) is PEO-LLTO solid-state electrolyte, and (c) (f) is PEO-LLTO@BTO solid-state electrolyte.



Figure S5 Impedance plot of PEO-LLTO and PEO-LLTO@BTO as a function of temperature.



Figure S6 Polarization curves and initial and steady-state impedance plots (insets) for (a) PEO, (b) PEO-LLTO, and (c) PEO-LLTO@BTO. Calculation of the number of lithium transfers in a PEO-based electrolyte(d).



Figure S7 Kelvin probe force microscopy interface potential images of PEO-LLTO (a) and PEO-

LLTO@BTO (b) electrolytes.



Figure S8 TG curves of PEO, PEO-LLTO, and PEO-LLTO@BTO



Figure S9 Cycling time with recently reported articles.⁶⁻¹⁷



Time(h)

Figure S10 Enlarged picture of the cycling performance of a Li symmetrical cell of PEO-LLTO@BTO at 0.2 mA cm⁻² and 60 °C.



Figure S11 The Li affinity comparison of (a) PEO molecules \cdot (b) BaTiO₃ and (c)

LLTO toward Li atom.



Figure S12 The CV curves of cells with PEO-LLTO.

Polymer	Fillers	δ (S cm ⁻¹)	EW(V)	Reference
PVDF	Y-LZNO	2.34 x 10 ⁻⁴ (RT)	4.82	18
PVDF	LTO	2.87 x 10 ⁻⁴ (35 °C)	5.0	19
PVDF-HFP	LLTO	1.21 x 10 ⁻⁴ (25 °C)	4.7	20
PEO+PEG	LATP	1.31 x 10 ⁻⁴ (30 °C)	5.5	21
PEO	SiO ₂ -Aerogel	0.6 x 10 ⁻³ (30 °C)	4.4	22
PEO	LLZTO@PDA	1.15 x 10 ⁻⁴ (30 °C)	4.8	23
PEO	SN	3.0 x 10 ⁻⁵ (20 °C)	4.0	24
PEO	SN-LLZTO	6.74 x 10 ⁻⁴ (RT)	4.7	25
РРО	LLZO	4.59 x 10 ⁻⁴ (RT)	5.3	26
РРО	LAGP	3.46 x 10 ⁻⁴ (RT)	4.78	27
PEGDA	LLZTO+SN	3.1 x 10 ⁻⁴ (RT)	4.7	28
PEO	LLTO@BTO	1.44 x 10 ⁻³ (30 °C)	5.0	This work

 Table S1. Recently studied the ionic conductivity and electrochemical stability window

 performance of some solid-state electrolytes.

Reference :

- 1. G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Computational Materials Science*, 1996, **6**, 15-50.
- 2. G. Kresse and D. Joubert, *Physical Review B*, 1999, **59**, 1758-1775.
- 3. J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Physical Review Letters*, 1996, 77, 3865-3868.
- 4. S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich and H. Krieg, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 2010, **132**, 154104.
- 5. V. I. Anisimov, J. Zaanen and O. K. Andersen, *Physical Review B*, 1991, **44**, 943-954.
- 6. H. An, Q. Liu, J. An, S. Liang, X. Wang, Z. Xu, Y. Tong, H. Huo, N. Sun, Y. Wang, Y. Shi and J. Wang, *Energy Storage Materials*, 2021, **43**, 358-364.
- F. Fei, H. Zhang, J. Deng, H. Xu, J. Xie, H. S. H. Mohamed, A. E. Abdelmaoula, L. Mai and L. Xu, ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2023, 15, 30170-30178.
- H.-L. Guo, H. Sun, Z.-L. Jiang, J.-Y. Hu, C.-S. Luo, M.-Y. Gao, J.-Y. Cheng, W.-K. Shi, H.-J. Zhou and S.-G. Sun, *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 2019, 11, 46783-46791.
- 9. M. Jia, Z. Bi, C. Shi, N. Zhao and X. Guo, *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 46231-46238.
- 10. Z. Wu, Z. Wu, Z. Wang, Y. Peng, Z. Li, Z. Huang, W. Mei, D. Liu, M. Li, W. Zhou, F. Gao, Z. Cheng and G. Luo, *Corrosion Science*, 2023, **224**, 111473.
- 11. T.-Q. Yang, C. Wang, W.-K. Zhang, Y. Xia, Y.-P. Gan, H. Huang, X.-P. He and J. Zhang, *Rare Metals*, 2022, **41**, 1870-1879.
- 12. Z. Yang, H. Yuan, C. Zhou, Y. Wu, W. Tang, S. Sang and H. Liu, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2020, **392**, 123650.
- 13. C. Zhang, Z. Jiang, P. Guo, J. Song and C. Shi, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2025, **503**, 158146.
- Q. Zhang, R. Yang, C. Li, M. Luo, B. Wang, W. Yu, Y. Yan, Y. Zou, L. Zhong and Y. Xu, *Ceramics International*, 2025, DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2025.01.113</u>.
- 15. Y. Zhang, L. Zhang, P. Guo, C. Zhang, X. Ren, Z. Jiang, J. Song and C. Shi, *Nano Research*, 2024, **17**, 2663-2670.
- E. Zhao, Y. Guo, Y. Liu, S. Liu and G. Xu, *Applied Surface Science*, 2022, 573, 151489.
- L. Zhu, H. Xie, W. Zheng and K. Zhang, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2022, 435, 141384.
- 18. J. Chen, H. Zhang, H. Chen, E. Xia, Y. Wu and Z. Li, *Journal of Power Sources*, 2022, **548**, 232109.
- 19. Q. Zhou, X. Yang, X. Xiong, Q. Zhang, B. Peng, Y. Chen, Z. Wang, L. Fu and Y. Wu, *Advanced Energy Materials*, 2022, **12**, 2201991.
- 20. J. Li, L. Zhu, J. Zhang, M. Jing, S. Yao, X. Shen, S. Li and F. Tu, *International Journal of Energy Research*, 2021, **45**, 7663-7674.
- 21. Y.-H. Song, Y.-C. Chen, E.-C. Lin, T. Y. Liang, C. Y. Wu, A.-Y. Wang, H.-Y.

Chen and J. M. Wu, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2024, **12**, 26809-26819.

- 22. D. Lin, P. Y. Yuen, Y. Liu, W. Liu, N. Liu, R. H. Dauskardt and Y. Cui, *Advanced Materials*, 2018, **30**, 1802661.
- 23. Z. Huang, W. Pang, P. Liang, Z. Jin, N. Grundish, Y. Li and C.-A. Wang, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2019, **7**, 16425-16436.
- 24. V. van Laack, F. Langer, A. Hartwig and K. Koschek, *ACS Omega*, 2023, **8**, 9058-9066.
- X. Zhang, C. Fu, S. Cheng, C. Zhang, L. Zhang, M. Jiang, J. Wang, Y. Ma, P. Zuo, C. Du, Y. Gao, G. Yin and H. Huo, *Energy Storage Materials*, 2023, 56, 121-131.
- J. Li, L. Zhong, J.-x. Li, H.-f. Wu, W.-w. Shao, P.-q. Wang, M.-q. Liu, G. Zhang and M.-x. Jing, *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 2023, 671, 131704.
- 27. Z.-h. Huang, J. Li, L.-x. Li, H.-m. Xu, C. Han, M.-q. Liu, J. Xiang, X.-q. Shen and M.-x. Jing, *Ceramics International*, 2022, **48**, 25949-25957.
- 28. X. Yu, Y. Liu, J. B. Goodenough and A. Manthiram, *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 30703-30711.