Supporting Information

Mechanistic Understanding of the Antimony-Bismuth Alloy Promoted

Electrocatalytic CO₂ Reduction to Formate

Jiameng Sun,^a Wanfeng Yang,^b Bin Yu,^a Yalong Liu,^a Yong Zhao,^{c,*} Guanhua Cheng,^a Zhonghua Zhang,^{a,*}

^aKey Laboratory for Liquid-Solid Structural Evolution and Processing of Materials (Ministry of Education), School of Materials Science and Engineering, Shandong University, Jingshi Road 17923, Jinan 250061, P.R. China

^bDepartment of Chemistry, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, P.R. China

°CSIRO Energy Centre, 10 Murray Dwyer Circuit, Mayfield West NSW2304, Australia

*Corresponding authors. Email: zh_zhang@sdu.edu.cn (Z.H. Zhang); Y.Zhao@csiro.au (Y. Zhao)



Figure S1. (a) EDX mapping image of the $Sb_{55}Bi_{45}$ catalyst. (b-d) Typical EDX spectra of Sb-Bi films with different element concentrations.



Figure S2. Electrochemical capacitance measurements to determine the ECSAs of electrodes. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) measured at different scan rates of (a) Sb, (b) $Sb_{85}Bi_{15}$, (c) $Sb_{55}Bi_{45}$, (d) $Sb_{25}Bi_{75}$ and (e) Bi catalysts. (f) Measured capacitive current densities based on the CV curves in (a-e) plotted as a function of scan rates. (g) Measured capacitive current densities based on the cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves in (f) plotted as a function of Bi content.



Figure S3. (a) FE of CO, (b) j, (c) j of H_2 plots for the pure Bi, Sb and Sb-Bi alloy catalysts in 0.5 M KHCO₃. (d) Comparison of various Bi,Sb-based and other formate-formation electrocatalysts for CO₂R to formate conducted in the H-type cell.



Figure S4. The on-line DEMS results over (a) Sb, (b) $Sb_{85}Bi_{15}$, (c) $Sb_{55}Bi_{45}$, (d) $Sb_{25}Bi_{75}$ and (e) Bi catalysts for H₂ (m/z = 2) under LSV measurements from 0 to -1.1 V vs. RHE at a scan rate of 2 mV s⁻¹ in 1 M KOH.



Figure S5. Schematic illustrations of (a) Si prism, (b) Si prism with Au film for surface enhancement and (c) sputtered alloy catalyst on the Si prism with Au film. (d) Diagram of in-situ ATR-SEIRAS measurements.



Figure S6. Complete in-situ ATR-SEIRAS spectra on the surface of (a) Sb, (b) $Sb_{85}Bi_{15}$, (c) $Sb_{55}Bi_{45}$, (d) $Sb_{25}Bi_{75}$ and (e) Bi catalysts during LSV measurements in CO₂-saturated 0.5 M KHCO₃ electrolyte from 0.3 to -1.3 V vs. RHE.



Figure S7. Partial in-situ ATR-SEIRAS spectra and the fitting results on the surface of (a) Sb, (b) Sb₈₅Bi₁₅, (c) Sb₅₅Bi₄₅, (d) Sb₂₅Bi₇₅ and (e) Bi catalysts from Figure S6.



Figure S8. Complete in-situ ATR-SEIRAS spectra on the surface of (a) Sb and (b) Bi catalysts during LSV measurements in Ar-saturated 0.5 M KHCO₃ electrolyte from 0.3 to -1.3 V vs. RHE. (c) LSV pots in CO₂ and Ar environment for pure Sb and Bi catalysts.



Figure S9. In-situ ATR-SEIRAS spectra on the surface of (a) Sb, (b) $Sb_{85}Bi_{15}$, (c) $Sb_{55}Bi_{45}$, (d) $Sb_{25}Bi_{75}$ and (e) Bi catalysts under different applied potentials.



Figure S10. (a) Raman spectra of CFP in CO_2 -saturated KHCO₃. The peak area of adsorption (b) HCO_3^- and (c) CO_3^{2-} band for Sb, Bi and Sb-Bi alloy catalysts.

Catalysts	Electrolyte	Potential	<i>j</i> of formate	References
		(V vs. RHE)	(mA cm ⁻²)	
Sb ₅₅ Bi ₄₅	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-1.0	-36.3	this work
		-1.1	-45.1	this work
Sb75Bi25	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-1.0	-36.0	this work
		-1.1	-46.7	this work
Bi	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-1.0	-32.6	this work
Sb	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-1.0	-9.0	this work
Bi RDs	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-1.2	-25.0	1
Bi ₂ O ₃ @C-800	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-1.1	-12.4	2
Bi@Bi ₂ O ₃	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-1.0	-22.9	3
PD-Bi	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-1.2	-43.3	4
Bi ₅ Sn ₆₀	0.1 M KHCO ₃	-1.0	-34.0	5
$Bi_{80}Sn_{10}Sb_{10}/C$	0.45 M KHCO ₃	-0.91	-5.5	6
	and 0.5 M KCl			
Bi-Sb/CP	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-1.2	~-19	7
reconstructed				
Bi ₂ O ₂ CO ₃	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-1.1	~-14	8
nanosheets				
Sb nanosheets	0.5 M NaHCO ₃	-1.06	-3.2	9
Sb single atom	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-0.8	-2.5	10
Sb ₂ O ₃ /Sb	0.5 M KCl	-1.18	-1.6	11
CuSn alloy	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-1.0	-18.3	12
InSn-3	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-1.1	-34.2	13

Table S1. Comparison of various Bi,Sb-based and other formate-formationelectrocatalysts for CO_2RR to formate conducted in the H-type cell.

References:

1. H. Xie, T. Zhang, R. Xie, Z. Hou, X. Ji, Y. Pang, S. Chen, M.M. Titirici, H. Weng, G. Chai, *Advanced Materials*, 2021, **33**, e2008373.

2. P. Deng, F. Yang, Z. Wang, S. Chen, Y. Zhou, S. Zaman, B.Y. Xia, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 2020, **59**, 10807-10813.

3. P. Deng, H. Wang, R. Qi, J. Zhu, S. Chen, F. Yang, L. Zhou, K. Qi, H. Liu, B.Y. Xia, *ACS Catalysis*, 2019, **10**, 743-750.

4. Y. Xing, H. Chen, Y. Liu, Y. Sheng, J. Zeng, Z. Geng, J. Bao, *Chemical Communications*, 2021, **57**, 1502-1505.

5. Z. Li, Y. Feng, Y. Li, X. Chen, N. Li, W. He, J. Liu, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2022, **428**, 130901.

6. B. Ávila-Bolívar, V. Montiel, J. Solla-Gullón, *ChemElectroChem*, 2022, 9, e202200272.

7. C. Yang, Y.R. Hu, S.X. Li, Q. Huang, J. Peng, ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2023, 15, 6942-6950.

8. S.L. Zhao, Y. Qin, X.R. Wang, C. Wang, X. Chen, Y. Wang, J.X. Yu, X.J. Liu, Y.P. Wu, Y.H. Chen, *Small*, 2023, **19**, 2302878.

9. F. Li, M. Xue, J. Li, X. Ma, L. Chen, X. Zhang, D.R. MacFarlane, J. Zhang, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 2017, **129**, 14910-14914.

10. Z. Jiang, T. Wang, J. Pei, H. Shang, D. Zhou, H. Li, J. Dong, Y. Wang, R. Cao, Z. Zhuang, *Energy & Environmental Science*, 2020, **13**, 2856-2863.

11. B. Ávila-Bolívar, V. Montiel, J. Solla-Gullón, *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, 2021, **895**, 130901.

12. B.X. Ning, W.J. Chang, M.M. Liu, H. Jiang, C.Z. Li, *ChemElectroChem*, 2021, **8**, 1150-1155.

13. J.G. Wang, S.L. Ning, M. Luo, D. Xiang, W. Chen, X.W. Kang, Z. Jiang, S.W. Chen, *Applied Catalysis B-Environmental*, 2021, **288**, 119979.