## **Supplementary Information**

## The activity key of s-Block Single-Atom Catalysts for Superior CO<sub>2</sub>RR: sp<sup>2</sup> Hybridization of metal sites

Ce Liu<sup>a</sup>, Shoufu Cao<sup>b</sup>, Yuteng Wei<sup>a</sup>, Zengxuan Chen<sup>a</sup>, Siyuan Liu<sup>a</sup>, Hongyu Chen<sup>a</sup>, Yitong Yin<sup>a</sup>, Shaolong Yue<sup>a</sup>, Shuxian Wei<sup>c</sup>, Zhaojie Wang<sup>a\*</sup>, Xiaoqing Lu<sup>a</sup>

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry and Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Catalysis, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055 Guangdong, China

<sup>c</sup> College of Science, China University of Petroleum, Qingdao, Shandong 266580, P. R. China

\* Corresponding authors: Zhaojie Wang

E-mail address: wangzhaojie@upc.edu.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> School of Materials Science and Engineering, China University of Petroleum, Qingdao, Shandong 266580, P. R. China



Figure S1 Structural diagrams of all stabilized structures



Figure S2 Top and side views of  $CO_2$  adsorbed on (a) Ca-N<sub>4</sub>-DV, (b) Ca-N<sub>3</sub>-DV, (c) Ca-N<sub>2</sub>-DV-2, (d) Mg-N<sub>4</sub>-DV, (e) Mg-N<sub>3</sub>-DV and (f) Mg-N<sub>3</sub>-SV

## In-depth discussion on adsorption mechanisms

By analyzing geometric data, Bader charge distribution, charge density difference maps, and partial density of states (pDOS), we found that  $CO_2$  adsorption on Ca-N<sub>2</sub>-DV-1 is primarily physisorption, whereas on Ca-N<sub>3</sub>-SV and Ca-GY, it exhibits chemisorption characteristics. While chemisorption is typically associated with a more negative adsorption energy, promoting catalytic reactions, our study reveals an intriguing observation. In our work, the chemisorption of  $CO_2$  on our s-block signalatom catalysts exhibits a substantial free energy barrier (~2 eV), which significantly impedes the  $CO_2$  reduction reaction ( $CO_2RR$ ). To understand the origins of this phenomenon, we conducted an in-depth investigation.



Figure S3 pDOS of free bent CO<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbed on Ca-N<sub>2</sub>-DV-1 and Ca-N<sub>2</sub>-DV-1.

Figure S3 illustrates the detailed process of  $CO_2$  physisorption on Ca-N<sub>2</sub>-DV-1. Notably, following physisorption, the energy levels of the orbitals undergo changes, becoming more delocalized. However, despite these alterations, the filling of the



orbitals remains unchanged, and no additional bonding was observed.

Figure S4 pDOS of free bent CO<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbed on Ca-N<sub>3</sub>-SV and Ca-N<sub>3</sub>-SV.



Figure S5 pDOS of free bent  $CO_2$ ,  $CO_2$  adsorbed on Ca-GY and Ca-GY.



**Figure S6** The projected Crystal Orbital Hamilton Populations (pCOHP) and integrated pCOHP (ICOHP) values of (a) C-O bonds and (b) Ca-O bonds on Ca-N<sub>2</sub>-DV-1, Ca-N<sub>3</sub>-SV, and Ca-GY.

Figure S4 provides a detailed depiction of the CO<sub>2</sub> chemisorption process on Ca-N<sub>3</sub>-SV. Notably, the s-p  $\sigma$  orbital, formed by the C 2p and O 2p orbitals, weakly interacts with the Ca sp hybridized orbital. As a result, occupied sp- $\sigma$  bonding orbitals form, exhibiting weak bonding characteristics (Figure S6b<sup>1,2</sup>). Additionally, the degenerate p-p  $\pi$  orbitals, formed by the C 2p and O 2p orbitals, interact with the Ca sp hybridized orbital. Specifically, they give rise to occupied sp- $\pi$  bonding orbitals and (sp- $\pi$ )\* antibonding orbitals. These orbitals contribute to bonding and antibonding interactions (Figure S6b), with offsetting effects on the overall energy. Additionally, the  $\sigma$ antibonding orbital formed by C 2s and O 2p bond to Ca sp hybridized orbital with matching symmetry. This interaction results in the formation of unoccupied sp- $\sigma$ \* antibonding orbitals (spin-up) and occupied sp- $\sigma$ \* antibonding orbitals (spin-down). Notably, the substantial energy level difference between the C 2s and O 2p orbitals leads to the creation of a  $\sigma$  antibonding orbital with pronounced antibonding properties. Consequently, the presence of occupied sp- $\sigma^*$  antibonding orbitals (spin-down) significantly increases the system energy (Figure S6a, b).

Figure S5 illustrates the detailed process of  $CO_2$  chemisorption on Ca-GY. Notably, this process closely resembles that observed for Ca-N<sub>3</sub>-SV.

In summary, CO<sub>2</sub> does not form bonds with Ca-N<sub>2</sub>-DV-1 due to the absence of matching symmetry, resulting in smaller free energy changes before and after adsorption. However, certain bonding and antibonding molecular orbitals of CO<sub>2</sub> interact with Ca sp hybridized orbitals with matching symmetry, resulting in bonding and antibonding interactions. While the partial bonding state reduces the total energy, the occupation of the strong antibonding orbital  $\sigma^*$  significantly elevates the overall energy, leading to a substantial CO<sub>2</sub> chemisorption barrier.



Figure S7 pDOS of H adsorbed on Ca-N<sub>2</sub>-DV-1, Ca-N<sub>3</sub>-SV and Ca-GY.



**Figure S8** The constant Potential free energy diagrams for  $CO_2RR$  and HER on (a) Ca-N<sub>4</sub>-DV, (b) Ca-N<sub>2</sub>-DV-2, (c) Ca-N<sub>3</sub>-DV, (d) Mg-N<sub>4</sub>-DV, (e) Mg-N<sub>3</sub>-DV and (f) Mg-N<sub>3</sub>-SV at equilibrium potentials.



Figure S9 The constant Potential free energy diagrams for the first hydrogenation step with applied potential on Ca- $N_2$ -DV-1.



Reaction coordinate

**Figure S10** The constant Potential free energy diagrams of  $CO_2$  and H adsorption under varying applied potentials (0 V, -0.25 V, -0.5 V, -0.75 V, -1.0 V vs RHE).



**Figure S11.** Energy and temperature profiles of the Ca- $N_2$ -DV-1 structure during AIMD simulations at 300 K. The simulation consists of a 1 ps temperature control phase followed by a 10 ps equilibrium phase.



**Figure S12.** Energy and temperature profiles of the Ca- $N_2$ -DV-1 structure during AIMD simulations at 400 K. The simulation consists of a 1 ps temperature control phase followed by a 10 ps equilibrium phase.



**Figure S13.** Energy and temperature profiles of the Ca- $N_2$ -DV-1 structure during AIMD simulations at 500 K. The simulation consists of a 1 ps temperature control phase followed by a 10 ps equilibrium phase.

Gas molecule	Fugacity (Pa) <sup>3</sup>	ZPE (eV)	$\int C_p dT (eV)$	-TS (eV)
СО	101325	0.13	0.09	-0.61
$CO_2$	101325	0.31	0.10	-0.66
НСООН	3534	0.88	0.11	-0.86
H <sub>2</sub> O	19	0.57	0.10	-0.27

Table S1. Computed gas phase properties.

 Table S2. Thermodynamic energy corrections for selected adsorbates.

Adsorbate	ZPE (eV)	$\int C_p dT  (\text{eV})$	-TS (eV)
*CO <sub>2</sub>	0.31	0.05	-0.10
*COOH	0.56	0.05	-0.11
*HCOO	0.59	0.07	-0.14
*CO	0.16	0.03	-0.05
*HCOOH	0.93	0.13	-0.28

Table S3 Calculated equilibrium potentials for electrochemical  $CO_2$  reduction for CO and HCOOH

Reaction	Equilibrium potential (V vs RHE, pH = 6.8)
$CO_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow CO + H_2O$	-0.12
$CO_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow HCOOH$	-0.20

Structure	M (slab) (e)	M (*CO <sub>2</sub> ) (e)	CO <sub>2</sub> (*CO <sub>2</sub> ) (e)	L <sub>C-O1</sub> (Å)	L <sub>C-O2</sub> (Å)	Bond angle (degree)
Mg-N <sub>4</sub> -DV	8.24	8.25	16.01	1.177	1.177	179.63
Mg-N <sub>3</sub> -DV	8.27	8.26	16.01	1.176	1.178	179.83
Mg-N <sub>3</sub> -SV	8.17	8.26	16.92	1.260	1.260	127.11
Ca-N <sub>4</sub> -DV	8.37	8.36	16.01	1.176	1.176	179.14
Ca-N <sub>3</sub> -DV	8.38	8.38	16.01	1.176	1.177	178.86
Ca-N <sub>2</sub> -DV-1	8.37	8.36	16.02	1.176	1.177	178.74
Ca-N <sub>2</sub> -DV-2	8.42	8.41	16.01	1.176	1.177	178.87
Ca-N <sub>3</sub> -SV	8.31	8.34	16.81	1.241	1.242	135.78
Ca-GY	8.26	8.30	16.86	1.262	1.263	128.31

**Table S4.** Calculated Bader charge populations of the active sites (M) and  $CO_2$ . Geometric parameter of adsorbed  $CO_2$ .

Structure	M (*COOH) (e)	COOH(*COOH) (e)	M (*HCOO) (e)	HCOO(*HCOO) (e)
Mg-N <sub>4</sub> -DV	8.34	17.81	8.27	17.90
Mg-N <sub>3</sub> -DV	8.36	17.78	8.31	17.90
Mg-N <sub>3</sub> -SV	8.22	17.91	8.24	17.91
Ca-N <sub>4</sub> -DV	8.42	17.84	8.40	17.88
Ca-N <sub>3</sub> -DV	8.41	17.82	8.39	17.87
Ca-N <sub>2</sub> -DV-1	8.36	17.82	8.35	17.87
Ca-N <sub>2</sub> -DV-2	8.43	17.71	8.41	17.87
Ca-N <sub>3</sub> -SV	8.35	17.84	8.34	17.88
Ca-GY	8.33	17.80	8.31	17.84

**Table S5.** Calculated Bader charge populations of the active sites (M) and adsorbates (\*COOH/\*HCOO).

Structure	M (*CO) (e)	CO(*CO) (e)	M (*HCOOH) (e)	HCOOH(*HCOOH) (e)
Mg-N <sub>4</sub> -DV	8.30	9.99	8.30	17.96
Mg-N <sub>3</sub> -DV	8.32	10.08	8.31	17.98
Mg-N <sub>3</sub> -SV	8.19	10.01	8.23	17.95
Ca-N <sub>4</sub> -DV	8.38	9.97	8.40	17.96
Ca-N <sub>3</sub> -DV	8.41	9.98	8.42	17.96
Ca-N <sub>2</sub> -DV-1	8.40	9.98	8.40	17.97
Ca-N <sub>2</sub> -DV-2	8.41	10.05	8.44	18.01
Ca-N <sub>3</sub> -SV	8.34	9.97	8.36	17.95
Ca-GY	8.28	9.96	8.30	17.93

**Table S6.** Calculated Bader charge populations of the active sites (M) and adsorbates (\*CO/\*HCOOH).

Structure	Bader charge (e)	M-CA bond length (Å)
Mg-N <sub>4</sub> -DV	8.24	1.96; 1.96; 1.96; 1.96
Mg-N <sub>3</sub> -DV	8.27	1.98; 1.95; 1.98; 1.97
Mg-N <sub>3</sub> -SV	8.17	1.94; 1.94; 1.94
Ca-N <sub>4</sub> -DV	8.37	2.27; 2.27; 2.27; 2.27
Ca-N <sub>3</sub> -DV	8.38	2.32; 2.29; 2.29; 2.34
Ca-N <sub>2</sub> -DV-1	8.37	2.38; 2.28; 2.28; 2.38
Ca-N <sub>2</sub> -DV-2	8.42	2.31; 2.39; 2.30; 2.40
Ca-N <sub>3</sub> -SV	8.31	2.19; 2.18; 2.19
Ca-GY	8.26	2.48; 2.54; 2.65; 2.65; 2.48; 2.54

**Table S7.** Key structural and electronic properties of the studied SACs: Bader charges population of metal sites and the M-CA bond lengths (M refers to the metal sites Mg or Ca, CA refers to the coordinating atoms C or N).

Advantion	Net Charge	E <sub>DFT</sub>	$E_{f}$	$E_{\text{fermishift}}$	U	Е
	(e)	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	(V vs SHE)	(eV)
	1	-651.856	-2.885	0.173	-1.728	-648.971
	0.5	-650.314	-3.244	0.173	-1.369	-648.691
None	0	-648.553	-3.741	0.173	-0.873	-648.553
	-0.5	-646.604	-4.058	0.173	-0.555	-648.632
	-1	-644.512	-4.306	0.173	-0.307	-648.818
	1	-675.108	-2.861	0.177	-1.756	-672.247
	0.5	-673.577	-3.225	0.177	-1.392	-671.965
$CO_2$	0	-671.825	-3.721	0.177	-0.896	-671.825
	-0.5	-669.884	-4.045	0.177	-0.572	-671.907
	-1	-667.797	-4.301	0.177	-0.316	-672.098
	1	-677.400	-3.570	0.177	-1.047	-673.829
	0.5	-675.533	-3.886	0.177	-0.731	-673.59
*COOH	0	-673.519	-4.155	0.177	-0.462	-673.519
	-0.5	-671.375	-4.453	0.177	-0.164	-673.601
	-1	-669.057	-4.763	0.177	0.146	-673.819
	1	-679.162	-3.5924	0.177	-1.025	-675.569
	0.5	-677.284	-3.9098	0.177	-0.707	-675.329
*HCOO	0	-675.258	-4.178	0.177	-0.439	-675.258
	-0.5	-673.103	-4.470	0.177	-0.147	-675.338
	-1	-670.780	-4.7763	0.177	0.159	-675.556
	1	-666.498	-2.887	0.176	-1.729	-663.612
	0.5	-664.955	-3.249	0.176	-1.367	-663.331
*CO	0	-663.193	-3.740	0.176	-0.876	-663.193
	-0.5	-661.244	-4.055	0.176	-0.561	-663.272
	-1	-659.153	-4.304	0.176	-0.312	-663.458
	1	-682.077	-2.880	0.177	-1.737	-679.197
	0.5	-680.537	-3.246	0.177	-1.371	-678.914
*HCOOH	0	-678.775	-3.736	0.177	-0.881	-678.775
	-0.5	-676.828	-4.054	0.177	-0.563	-678.855
	-1	-674.736	-4.307	0.177	-0.310	-679.043

**Table S8.** Net Charge,  $E_{DFT}$ ,  $E_f$ , fermishift, corresponding U and potential-dependent electrochemical energy for Ca-N<sub>4</sub>-DV with different adsorptions.

A 1	Net Charge	E <sub>DFT</sub>	$E_{f}$	E <sub>fermishift</sub>	U	Е
Adsorption	(e)	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	(V vs SHE)	(eV)
	1	-650.700	-3.168	0.174	-1.446	-647.532
	0.5	-649.040	-3.473	0.174	-1.141	-647.303
None	0	-647.229	-3.757	0.174	-0.857	-647.229
	-0.5	-645.277	-4.042	0.174	-0.572	-647.298
	-1	-643.170	-4.369	0.174	-0.246	-647.538
	1	-673.930	-3.141	0.178	-1.477	-670.789
	0.5	-672.280	-3.452	0.178	-1.166	-670.554
$CO_2$	0	-670.477	-3.745	0.178	-0.873	-670.477
	-0.5	-668.529	-4.032	0.178	-0.586	-670.545
	-1	-666.425	-4.351	0.178	-0.267	-670.776
	1	-676.060	-3.505	0.177	-1.112	-672.555
	0.5	-674.234	-3.799	0.177	-0.818	-672.334
*COOH	0	-672.261	-4.075	0.177	-0.542	-672.261
	-0.5	-670.154	-4.376	0.177	-0.241	-672.342
	-1	-667.874	-4.710	0.177	0.093	-672.584
	1	-677.754	-3.519	0.177	-1.098	-674.235
	0.5	-675.923	-3.8043	0.177	-0.813	-674.021
*HCOO	0	-673.947	-4.0847	0.177	-0.532	-673.947
	-0.5	-671.834	-4.3896	0.177	-0.227	-674.029
	-1	-669.545	-4.7227	0.177	0.106	-674.268
	1	-665.334	-3.1848	0.177	-1.432	-662.149
	0.5	-663.667	-3.4823	0.177	-1.135	-661.926
*CO	0	-661.852	-3.7658	0.177	-0.851	-661.852
	-0.5	-659.894	-4.0594	0.177	-0.558	-661.924
	-1	-657.774	-4.4248	0.177	-0.192	-662.199
	1	-680.968	-3.179	0.177	-1.439	-677.789
	0.5	-679.301	-3.484	0.177	-1.134	-677.559
*HCOOH	0	-677.483	-3.770	0.177	-0.847	-677.483
	-0.5	-675.524	-4.059	0.177	-0.558	-677.553
	-1	-673.408	-4.381	0.177	-0.236	-677.789

**Table S9.** Net Charge,  $E_{DFT}$ ,  $E_f$ , fermishift, corresponding U and potential-dependent electrochemical energy for Ca-N<sub>3</sub>-DV with different adsorptions.

Advantion	Net Charge	E <sub>DFT</sub>	$E_{f}$	$E_{\text{fermishift}}$	U	Е
	(e)	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	(V vs SHE)	(eV)
	1	-649.944	-3.050	0.174	-1.564	-646.894
	0.5	-648.348	-3.329	0.174	-1.285	-646.683
None	0	-646.609	-3.610	0.174	-1.004	-646.609
	-0.5	-644.726	-3.898	0.174	-0.716	-646.675
	-1	-642.681	-4.279	0.174	-0.336	-646.96
	1	-673.224	-3.035	0.178	-1.584	-670.189
	0.5	-671.635	-3.310	0.178	-1.308	-669.981
$CO_2$	0	-669.906	-3.593	0.178	-1.025	-669.906
	-0.5	-668.032	-3.895	0.178	-0.723	-669.979
	-1	-665.982	-4.340	0.178	-0.278	-670.322
	1	-675.949	-3.437	0.178	-1.181	-672.512
	0.5	-674.154	-3.744	0.178	-0.874	-672.282
*COOH	0	-672.210	-4.031	0.178	-0.587	-672.21
	-0.5	-670.118	-4.352	0.178	-0.266	-672.294
	-1	-667.847	-4.714	0.178	0.096	-672.561
	1	-677.723	-3.4565	0.178	-1.162	-674.266
	0.5	-675.919	-3.7591	0.178	-0.859	-674.04
*HCOO	0	-673.968	-4.0413	0.178	-0.577	-673.968
	-0.5	-671.873	-4.3573	0.178	-0.261	-674.052
	-1	-669.600	-4.7121	0.178	0.094	-674.312
	1	-664.617	-3.0579	0.177	-1.559	-661.559
	0.5	-663.017	-3.3349	0.177	-1.282	-661.35
*CO	0	-661.276	-3.6151	0.177	-1.002	-661.276
	-0.5	-659.390	-3.9034	0.177	-0.714	-661.342
	-1	-657.344	-4.2832	0.177	-0.334	-661.628
	1	-680.198	-3.045	0.178	-1.573	-677.153
	0.5	-678.605	-3.316	0.178	-1.302	-676.947
*HCOOH	0	-676.872	-3.597	0.178	-1.021	-676.872
	-0.5	-674.998	-3.890	0.178	-0.728	-676.943
	-1	-672.950	-4.345	0.178	-0.273	-677.295

**Table S10.** Net Charge,  $E_{DFT}$ ,  $E_f$ , fermishift, corresponding U and potential-dependent electrochemical energy for Ca-N<sub>2</sub>-DV-1 with different adsorptions.

Adagantiga	Net Charge	E <sub>DFT</sub>	$E_{\mathbf{f}}$	$E_{\text{fermishift}}$	U	Е
Adsorption	(e)	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	(V vs SHE)	(eV)
	1	-649.488	-3.223	0.174	-1.391	-646.265
	0.5	-647.798	-3.514	0.174	-1.100	-646.041
None	0	-645.942	-3.882	0.174	-0.732	-645.942
	-0.5	-643.859	-4.380	0.174	-0.234	-646.049
	-1	-641.568	-4.779	0.174	0.165	-646.347
	1	-672.717	-3.189	0.178	-1.429	-669.528
	0.5	-671.043	-3.486	0.178	-1.132	-669.299
$CO_2$	0	-669.200	-3.858	0.178	-0.761	-669.2
	-0.5	-667.131	-4.355	0.178	-0.263	-669.308
	-1	-664.899	-4.665	0.178	0.047	-669.565
	1	-674.819	-3.628	0.178	-0.991	-671.191
	0.5	-672.945	-3.881	0.178	-0.737	-671.004
*COOH	0	-670.925	-4.257	0.178	-0.361	-670.925
	-0.5	-668.726	-4.535	0.178	-0.083	-670.994
	-1	-666.399	-4.773	0.178	0.155	-671.172
	1	-676.468	-3.5073	0.178	-1.111	-672.961
	0.5	-674.641	-3.7961	0.178	-0.822	-672.743
*HCOO	0	-672.635	-4.2563	0.178	-0.362	-672.635
	-0.5	-670.392	-4.6412	0.178	0.023	-672.712
	-1	-667.996	-4.9533	0.178	0.335	-672.949
	1	-664.428	-3.19	0.177	-1.427	-661.238
	0.5	-662.757	-3.4877	0.177	-1.129	-661.013
*CO	0	-660.895	-3.9698	0.177	-0.647	-660.895
	-0.5	-658.765	-4.4596	0.177	-0.157	-660.995
	-1	-656.438	-4.8434	0.177	0.226	-661.281
	1	-679.894	-3.288	0.260	-1.412	-676.606
	0.5	-678.171	-3.586	0.260	-1.114	-676.379
*HCOOH	0	-676.279	-3.958	0.260	-0.742	-676.279
	-0.5	-674.154	-4.458	0.260	-0.242	-676.383
	-1	-671.824	-4.853	0.260	0.153	-676.677

**Table S11.** Net Charge,  $E_{DFT}$ ,  $E_f$ , fermishift, corresponding U and potential-dependent electrochemical energy for Ca-N<sub>2</sub>-DV-2 with different adsorptions.

Advantion	Net Charge	E <sub>DFT</sub>	$E_{\mathbf{f}}$	$E_{\text{fermishift}}$	U	Е
	(e)	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	(V vs SHE)	(eV)
	1	-661.557	-2.652	0.176	-1.964	-658.904
	0.5	-660.161	-2.929	0.176	-1.687	-658.696
None	0	-658.616	-3.220	0.176	-1.396	-658.616
	-0.5	-656.911	-3.549	0.176	-1.068	-658.686
	-1	-654.982	-4.334	0.176	-0.282	-659.317
	1	-684.157	-3.175	0.180	-1.445	-680.982
	0.5	-682.475	-3.499	0.180	-1.121	-680.725
$CO_2$	0	-680.613	-3.869	0.180	-0.751	-680.613
	-0.5	-678.522	-4.439	0.180	-0.181	-680.742
	-1	-676.234	-4.730	0.180	0.110	-680.964
	1	-687.639	-3.157	0.180	-1.463	-684.482
	0.5	-685.965	-3.487	0.180	-1.133	-684.222
*COOH	0	-684.070	-4.241	0.180	-0.379	-684.07
	-0.5	-681.878	-4.569	0.180	-0.051	-684.162
	-1	-679.536	-4.819	0.180	0.199	-684.355
	1	-689.336	-3.1383	0.180	-1.482	-686.198
	0.5	-687.672	-3.4691	0.180	-1.151	-685.937
*HCOO	0	-685.780	-4.272	0.180	-0.348	-685.78
	-0.5	-683.504	-4.7957	0.180	0.176	-685.901
	-1	-681.037	-5.0947	0.180	0.475	-686.132
	1	-676.263	-2.6458	0.179	-1.973	-673.617
	0.5	-674.874	-2.9186	0.179	-1.700	-673.415
*CO	0	-673.334	-3.2091	0.179	-1.410	-673.334
	-0.5	-671.635	-3.5379	0.179	-1.081	-673.404
	-1	-669.711	-4.3318	0.179	-0.287	-674.042
	1	-691.724	-2.6513	0.179	-1.968	-689.073
	0.5	-690.328	-2.9296	0.179	-1.689	-688.864
*HCOOH	0	-688.783	-3.2212	0.179	-1.398	-688.783
	-0.5	-687.078	-3.5507	0.179	-1.068	-688.853
	-1	-685.147	-4.3419	0.179	-0.277	-689.489

**Table S12.** Net Charge,  $E_{DFT}$ ,  $E_f$ , fermishift, corresponding U and potential-dependent electrochemical energy for Ca-N<sub>3</sub>-SV with different adsorptions.

Adsorption	Net Charge	E <sub>DFT</sub>	$E_{f}$	E <sub>fermishift</sub>	U	Е
	(e)	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	(V vs SHE)	(eV)
None	1	-423.581	-3.5493	0.137	-1.028	-420.031
	0.5	-421.747	-3.7872	0.137	-0.790	-419.853
	0	-419.791	-4.0281	0.137	-0.549	-419.791
	-0.5	-417.712	-4.2828	0.137	-0.294	-419.854
	-1	-415.503	-4.5473	0.137	-0.030	-420.051
	1	-445.5	-3.8991	0.141	-0.682	-441.601
	0.5	-443.484	-4.1568	0.141	-0.424	-441.406
$CO_2$	0	-441.337	-4.4257	0.141	-0.155	-441.337
	-0.5	-439.055	-4.68	0.141	0.099	-441.395
	-1	-436.653	-4.9128	0.141	0.332	-441.566
	1	-449.209	-3.8587	0.141	-0.722	-445.35
	0.5	-447.212	-4.1179	0.141	-0.463	-445.153
*COOH	0	-445.083	-4.3895	0.141	-0.192	-445.083
	-0.5	-442.813	-4.6773	0.141	0.096	-445.151
	-1	-440.392	-4.9737	0.141	0.393	-445.366
	1	-450.803	-3.8839	0.141	-0.697	-446.919
	0.5	-448.794	-4.1425	0.141	-0.439	-446.723
*HCOO	0	-446.653	-4.4133	0.141	-0.168	-446.653
	-0.5	-444.37	-4.7016	0.141	0.121	-446.721
	-1	-441.93	-5.4271	0.141	0.846	-447.357
	1	-438.227	-3.5272	0.140	-1.053	-434.7
	0.5	-436.405	-3.7629	0.140	-0.817	-434.524
*CO	0	-434.461	-4.0059	0.140	-0.574	-434.461
	-0.5	-432.393	-4.2623	0.140	-0.318	-434.524
	-1	-430.194	-4.5283	0.140	-0.052	-434.722
*НСООН	1	-453.603	-3.5173	0.141	-1.064	-450.086
	0.5	-451.786	-3.7526	0.141	-0.828	-449.909
	0	-449.847	-3.9949	0.141	-0.586	-449.847
	-0.5	-447.784	-4.2509	0.141	-0.330	-449.909
	-1	-445.591	-4.5169	0.141	-0.064	-450.108

**Table S13.** Net Charge,  $E_{DFT}$ ,  $E_f$ , fermishift, corresponding U and potential-dependent electrochemical energy for Ca-GY with different adsorptions.

Adsorption	Net Charge	E <sub>DFT</sub>	$E_{f}$	E <sub>fermishift</sub>	U	Е
	(e)	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	(V vs SHE)	(eV)
None	1	-676.711	-3.820	0.250	-1.653	-647.531
	0.5	-674.719	-4.137	0.250	-1.283	-647.245
	0	-672.584	-4.390	0.250	-0.816	-647.111
	-0.5	-670.329	-4.659	0.250	-0.506	-647.186
	-1	-667.918	-4.940	0.250	-0.259	-647.368
	1	-674.069	-3.063	0.260	-1.637	-671.005
	0.5	-672.436	-3.433	0.260	-1.267	-670.719
$CO_2$	0	-670.578	-3.955	0.260	-0.745	-670.578
	-0.5	-668.521	-4.275	0.260	-0.425	-670.658
	-1	-666.322	-4.518	0.260	-0.182	-670.84
	1	-676.711	-3.820	0.260	-0.880	-672.892
	0.5	-674.719	-4.137	0.260	-0.563	-672.651
*COOH	0	-672.584	-4.390	0.260	-0.310	-672.584
	-0.5	-670.329	-4.659	0.260	-0.041	-672.658
	-1	-667.918	-4.940	0.260	0.240	-672.858
	1	-678.148	-3.7489	0.260	-0.951	-674.399
	0.5	-676.189	-4.0681	0.260	-0.632	-674.155
*HCOO	0	-674.085	-4.3305	0.260	-0.370	-674.085
	-0.5	-671.858	-4.6089	0.260	-0.091	-674.162
	-1	-669.469	-4.903	0.260	0.203	-674.372
	1	-665.184	-3.1082	0.260	-1.592	-662.076
	0.5	-663.530	-3.4766	0.260	-1.223	-661.792
*CO	0	-661.653	-3.9798	0.260	-0.720	-661.653
	-0.5	-659.584	-4.2964	0.260	-0.404	-661.732
	-1	-657.373	-4.5422	0.260	-0.158	-661.916
*НСООН	1	-681.041	-3.073	0.260	-1.627	-677.968
	0.5	-679.403	-3.449	0.260	-1.251	-677.678
	0	-677.534	-3.982	0.260	-0.718	-677.534
	-0.5	-675.464	-4.303	0.260	-0.397	-677.616
	-1	-673.257	-4.530	0.260	-0.170	-677.787

**Table S14.** Net Charge,  $E_{DFT}$ ,  $E_f$ , fermishift, corresponding U and potential-dependent electrochemical energy for Mg-N<sub>4</sub>-DV with different adsorptions.

Adsorption	Net Charge	E <sub>DFT</sub>	$E_{f}$	E <sub>fermishift</sub>	U	Е
	(e)	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	(V vs SHE)	(eV)
	1	-649.268	-3.355	0.250	-1.335	-645.913
	0.5	-647.517	-3.650	0.250	-1.041	-645.692
None	0	-645.621	-3.926	0.250	-0.764	-645.621
	-0.5	-643.586	-4.218	0.250	-0.473	-645.694
	-1	-641.389	-4.574	0.250	-0.116	-645.963
	1	-673.142	-3.380	0.260	-1.320	-669.762
	0.5	-671.372	-3.692	0.260	-1.008	-669.526
$CO_2$	0	-669.451	-3.974	0.260	-0.726	-669.451
	-0.5	-667.392	-4.271	0.260	-0.429	-669.527
	-1	-665.169	-4.608	0.260	-0.092	-669.777
	1	-675.438	-3.8572	0.260	-0.843	-671.581
	0.5	-673.436	-4.1447	0.260	-0.555	-671.364
*COOH	0	-671.278	-4.4789	0.260	-0.221	-671.278
	-0.5	-668.836	-5.0898	0.260	0.390	-671.381
	-1	-666.200	-5.4293	0.260	0.729	-671.63
	1	-677.030	-3.7874	0.260	-0.913	-673.242
	0.5	-675.058	-4.0878	0.260	-0.612	-673.014
*HCOO	0	-672.919	-4.4843	0.260	-0.216	-672.919
	-0.5	-670.448	-5.1815	0.260	0.481	-673.038
	-1	-667.770	-5.5218	0.260	0.822	-673.292
*CO	1	-664.370	-3.5119	0.260	-1.188	-660.858
	0.5	-662.537	-3.8147	0.260	-0.885	-660.63
	0	-660.561	-4.0795	0.260	-0.621	-660.561
	-0.5	-658.467	-4.3440	0.260	-0.356	-660.639
	-1	-656.211	-4.6824	0.260	-0.018	-660.894
*НСООН	1	-679.625	-3.394	0.260	-1.306	-676.232
	0.5	-677.852	-3.692	0.260	-1.008	-676.006
	0	-675.932	-3.975	0.260	-0.725	-675.932
	-0.5	-673.870	-4.278	0.260	-0.422	-676.009
	-1	-671.636	-4.664	0.260	-0.036	-676.301

**Table S15.** Net Charge,  $E_{DFT}$ ,  $E_f$ , fermishift, corresponding U and potential-dependent electrochemical energy for Mg-N<sub>3</sub>-DV with different adsorptions.

Adsorption	Net Charge	E <sub>DFT</sub>	$E_{f}$	E <sub>fermishift</sub>	U	Е
	(e)	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	(V vs SHE)	(eV)
None	1	-661.203	-2.757	0.255	-1.938	-658.446
	0.5	-659.755	-3.033	0.255	-1.663	-658.239
	0	-658.159	-3.323	0.255	-1.372	-658.159
	-0.5	-656.403	-3.651	0.255	-1.044	-658.228
	-1	-654.425	-4.423	0.255	-0.272	-658.848
	1	-682.848	-3.386	0.265	-1.319	-679.462
	0.5	-681.082	-3.665	0.265	-1.040	-679.25
$CO_2$	0	-679.095	-4.453	0.265	-0.252	-679.095
	-0.5	-676.717	-4.988	0.265	0.283	-679.211
	-1	-674.160	-5.248	0.265	0.543	-679.409
	1	-686.677	-3.278	0.265	-1.427	-683.4
	0.5	-684.944	-3.608	0.265	-1.097	-683.14
*COOH	0	-682.984	-4.399	0.265	-0.306	-682.984
	-0.5	-680.689	-4.752	0.265	0.047	-683.065
	-1	-678.255	-4.993	0.265	0.288	-683.249
	1	-688.224	-3.2919	0.265	-1.413	-684.932
	0.5	-686.483	-3.6246	0.265	-1.080	-684.671
*HCOO	0	-684.514	-4.4167	0.265	-0.288	-684.514
	-0.5	-682.157	-4.9694	0.265	0.264	-684.642
	-1	-679.602	-5.278	0.265	0.573	-684.88
*CO	1	-675.492	-3.1378	0.262	-1.965	-672.316
	0.5	-673.850	-3.4343	0.262	-1.686	-672.106
	0	-672.047	-3.7439	0.262	-1.394	-672.025
	-0.5	-670.076	-4.0902	0.262	-1.065	-672.095
	-1	-667.874	-4.8794	0.262	-0.283	-672.723
*НСООН	1	-690.509	-2.781	0.265	-1.924	-687.728
	0.5	-689.049	-3.057	0.265	-1.649	-687.521
	0	-687.441	-3.345	0.265	-1.360	-687.441
	-0.5	-685.675	-3.672	0.265	-1.033	-687.511
	-1	-683.687	-4.450	0.265	-0.256	-688.136

**Table S16.** Net Charge,  $E_{DFT}$ ,  $E_f$ , fermishift, corresponding U and potential-dependent electrochemical energy for Mg-N<sub>3</sub>-SV with different adsorptions.

## Reference

- Richard Dronskowski, Peter E. Bloechl. Crystal orbital Hamilton populations (COHP): energy-resolved visualization of chemical bonding in solids based on density-functional calculations. J. Phys. Chem. 1993, 97(33), 8617–8624. DOI:10.1021/j100135a014
- Nelson R, Ertural C, George J, Deringer VL, Hautier G, Dronskowski R. LOBSTER: Local orbital projections, atomic charges, and chemical-bonding analysis from projector-augmented-wave-based density-functional theory. *J Comput Chem.* 2020, 41(21), 1931-1940. DOI:10.1002/jcc.26353
- Yan Jiao, Yao Zheng, Ping Chen, Mietek Jaroniec, Shi-Zhang Qiao. Molecular Scaffolding Strategy with Synergistic Active Centers To Facilitate Electrocatalytic CO2 Reduction to Hydrocarbon/Alcohol. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139(49), 18093– 18100.DOI:10.1021/jacs.7b10817