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Fig. S1 Typical Cryo-EM images of 1. The crystal in the left panel was indexed in the orthorhombic crystal system $\mathbf{( 1 O )}$, while the crystal in the right panel was indexed in the monoclinic crystal system (1Y). Images of other crystals are deposited in XRDa.


Fig. S2 Distribution of unit cell parameters from the first indexing trial. Note that Bravais lattice constraints were not applied and the diffraction geometry was not fully refined at this initial step of processing. Some dots correspond to mis-indexed, very weakly diffracting crystals. Monoclinic (1Y) and orthorhombic (10) crystal forms are present and marked by orange and red circles, respectively. Monoclinic crystals are indexed in two settings (the $\beta$ angle is split symmetrically around $90^{\circ}$ to $\sim 82^{\circ}$ and $\sim 98^{\circ}$ ).

Table S1 Merging statistics of 10 by MicroED.

| d_max | d_min | \#obs | \#uniq | mult. | \%comp | <I> | <I/sI> | r_mrg | r_means | r_pim | cc1/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.86 | 2.01 | 1050 | 164 | 6.40 | 84.54 | 2.9 | 20.1 | 0.089 | 0.096 | 0.034 | 0.996* |
| 2.01 | 1.61 | 1901 | 196 | 9.7 | 100.00 | 1.4 | 12.5 | 0.164 | 0.172 | 0.048 | 0.996* |
| 1.61 | 1.41 | 1469 | 170 | 8.64 | 100.00 | 0.6 | 5.1 | 0.235 | 0.248 | 0.076 | 0.983* |
| 1.41 | 1.28 | 1154 | 151 | 7.64 | 100.00 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 0.289 | 0.308 | 0.100 | 0.970* |
| 1.28 | 1.19 | 1909 | 181 | 10.55 | 100.00 | 0.5 | 3.6 | 0.269 | 0.282 | 0.080 | 0.995* |
| 1.19 | 1.12 | 1814 | 177 | 10.25 | 100.00 | 0.5 | 4.1 | 0.237 | 0.248 | 0.069 | 0.991* |
| 1.12 | 1.06 | 1673 | 164 | 10.2 | 100.00 | 0.3 | 2.7 | 0.334 | 0.349 | 0.096 | 0.976* |
| 1.06 | 1.02 | 1466 | 156 | 9.4 | 100.00 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 0.32 | 0.337 | 0.099 | 0.974* |
| 1.02 | 0.98 | 1365 | 150 | 9.1 | 100.00 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.504 | 0.533 | 0.162 | 0.936* |
| 0.98 | 0.94 | 1416 | 153 | 9.25 | 100.00 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.553 | 0.582 | 0.170 | 0.919* |
| 0.94 | 0.92 | 1975 | 177 | 11.16 | 100.00 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.982 | 1.022 | 0.271 | 0.636* |
| 0.92 | 0.89 | 1842 | 166 | 11.1 | 100.00 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.894 | 0.933 | 0.253 | 0.737* |
| 0.89 | 0.87 | 1691 | 165 | 10.25 | 100.00 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.972 | 1.013 | 0.271 | 0.491* |
| 0.87 | 0.84 | 1685 | 162 | 10.4 | 100.00 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.324 | 1.381 | 0.372 | 0.470* |
| 0.84 | 0.83 | 1659 | 158 | 10.5 | 100.00 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.513 | 1.576 | 0.419 | 0.385* |
| 0.83 | 0.81 | 1592 | 152 | 10.47 | 100.00 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.987 | 2.069 | 0.553 | 0.157 |
| 0.81 | 0.79 | 1327 | 141 | 9.41 | 100.00 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.225 | 2.327 | 0.648 | 0.444* |
| 0.79 | 0.78 | 1464 | 147 | 9.96 | 100.00 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.35 | 2.450 | 0.661 | 0.608* |
| 0.78 | 0.76 | 1355 | 138 | 9.82 | 100.00 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.155 | 2.250 | 0.621 | 0.323* |
| 0.76 | 0.75 | 1998 | 182 | 10.98 | 100.00 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.637 | 3.787 | 1.001 | 0.280* |
| 5.86 | 0.75 | 31805 | 3250 | 9.79 | 99.72 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 0.296 | 0.311 | 0.089 | 0.995* |

Table S2 Merging statistics of 1Y by MicroED.

| d_max | d_min | \#obs | \#uniq | mult. | \%comp | <I> | <I/sI> | r_mrg | r_means | r_pim | cc1/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.73 | 1.62 | 18417 | 312 | 59.03 | 96.89 | 8.3 | 38.0 | 0.151 | 0.152 | 0.020 | 0.996* |
| 1.62 | 1.29 | 20831 | 289 | 72.08 | 98.30 | 3.0 | 24.9 | 0.198 | 0.199 | 0.022 | 0.990* |
| 1.29 | 1.13 | 19005 | 278 | 68.36 | 98.58 | 3.0 | 20.9 | 0.199 | 0.201 | 0.024 | 0.990* |
| 1.13 | 1.02 | 23324 | 292 | 79.88 | 98.32 | 2.5 | 21.0 | 0.21 | 0.212 | 0.023 | 0.966* |
| 1.02 | 0.95 | 19247 | 270 | 71.29 | 98.54 | 1.2 | 10.9 | 0.318 | 0.320 | 0.036 | 0.993* |
| 0.95 | 0.90 | 23405 | 295 | 79.34 | 98.33 | 1.0 | 9.8 | 0.339 | 0.341 | 0.037 | 0.970* |
| 0.90 | 0.85 | 20491 | 270 | 75.89 | 98.18 | 0.7 | 7.2 | 0.439 | 0.442 | 0.049 | 0.964* |
| 0.85 | 0.81 | 20343 | 273 | 74.52 | 98.56 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 0.562 | 0.567 | 0.064 | 0.889* |
| 0.81 | 0.78 | 24240 | 288 | 84.17 | 98.63 | 0.5 | 5.3 | 0.529 | 0.532 | 0.056 | 0.982* |
| 0.78 | 0.76 | 20951 | 275 | 76.19 | 97.86 | 0.3 | 3.5 | 0.72 | 0.726 | 0.080 | 0.879* |
| 0.76 | 0.73 | 20493 | 266 | 77.04 | 98.15 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 0.777 | 0.783 | 0.086 | 0.849* |
| 0.73 | 0.71 | 21569 | 280 | 77.03 | 98.94 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 0.918 | 0.925 | 0.103 | 0.810* |
| 0.71 | 0.69 | 23230 | 280 | 82.96 | 98.25 | 0.2 | 2.4 | 0.921 | 0.927 | 0.099 | 0.805* |
| 0.69 | 0.68 | 22843 | 280 | 81.58 | 98.94 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 1.12 | 1.128 | 0.122 | 0.823* |
| 0.68 | 0.66 | 20625 | 262 | 78.72 | 98.50 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 1.291 | 1.300 | 0.141 | 0.834* |
| 0.66 | 0.65 | 20223 | 273 | 74.08 | 98.56 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 1.67 | 1.683 | 0.190 | 0.603* |
| 0.65 | 0.63 | 22338 | 284 | 78.65 | 98.95 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 1.777 | 1.790 | 0.195 | 0.800* |
| 0.63 | 0.62 | 21517 | 258 | 83.40 | 98.47 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 2.174 | 2.190 | 0.234 | 0.260* |
| 0.62 | 0.61 | 23945 | 289 | 82.85 | 98.97 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 2.262 | 2.278 | 0.242 | 0.326* |
| 0.61 | 0.60 | 21309 | 266 | 80.11 | 98.52 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 2.794 | 2.814 | 0.302 | 0.244* |
| 5.73 | 0.6 | 428346 | 5580 | 76.76 | 98.41 | 1.2 | 8.4 | 0.327 | 0.330 | 0.037 | 0.995* |



Fig. S3 Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns of 10 and 1Y. Black and gray lines represent simulated PXRD of $\mathbf{1 0}$ (1O Sim.) and $\mathbf{1 Y}$ (1Y Sim.) from the crystal structure solved by MicroED. Experimentally obtained PXRD patterns of $\mathbf{1 Y}(1 \mathrm{Y}$ Obs.), a mixture of $\mathbf{1 O}$ and $\mathbf{1 Y}(1 \mathrm{O}+1 \mathrm{Y}$ Obs.), and the mixture after phase transformation from $\mathbf{1 0}$ into $\mathbf{1 Y}$ (PT Obs.) are drawn by blue, orange, and red solid lines, respectively. Representative peaks from $\mathbf{1 0}$ are indicated by black arrows.

Table S3 Crystallographic parameters of 10 and 1Y solved by MicroED ( $\lambda=0.02508 \AA$ ).

| Polymorphs | $\mathbf{1 O}$ | $\mathbf{1 Y}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chemical formula | C13 H10 N2 O3 | C13 H10 N2 O3 |
| Formula wt | 242.23 | 242.23 |
| Cryst syst | Orthorhombic | Monoclinic |
| Space group | Pna2 $_{1}$ | P2 ${ }_{1}$ c |
| T, K | 79 | 79 |
| a, $\AA$ | 23.456 | 12.450 |
| b, $\AA$ | 3.811 | 5.735 |
| c, $\AA$ | 24.879 | 15.344 |
| a, deg | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta$, deg | 90 | 97.73 |
| $\gamma$, deg | 90 | 90 |
| Z | 8 | 4 |
| V, $\AA \AA^{3}$ | 2224.2 | 1085.6 |
| D $_{\text {calc, }}$ g cm |  |  |


Function: exp_XOffset

$$
y=y_{0}+A \exp \left\{\frac{-\left(x-x_{0}\right)}{\tau}\right\}
$$



| Curve Fit Results |
| :--- |
| Function: exp_XOffset |
| Coefficient values $\pm$ Standard deviation |

$\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{yO} & =2.1918 \pm 4.05 \\ \mathrm{~A} & =10252 \pm 23.9\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}A & =10252 \pm 23.9 \\ \text { tau } & =1.1332 \pm 0.00429\end{array}$
Constant:
$X 0=42.7139$

Curve Fit Results
Function: exp_XOffset
Coefficient values $\pm$ Standard deviation
$\mathrm{yO}=4.5121 \pm 4.43$
A $\quad=10499 \pm 32.4$
tau $=0.77568 \pm 0.00385$
Constant:
$X O=42.8366$

Fig. S4 Fluorescence lifetimes. The lifetimes were measured from a single crystal by a unit consisted of a pico pulse laser ( $375 \mathrm{~nm}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) (LDB 160C, Tama Electric Inc.), an avalanche photodiode detector (MPD, Becker \& Hickl GmbH ), and a counting board (SPC-130, Becker \& Hickl GmbH). Filters: a sharp-cut filter (L42, HOYA), and optical bandpass filters ( 570 nm or $600 \mathrm{~nm}, 10 \mathrm{~nm}$ bandwidth in fwhm), were used to select the monitoring wavelength and avoid the scattering excitation light. 1Y and $\mathbf{1 0}$ crystals for the measurements were selected based on their emission colors under UV light.


Fig. S5 Change of fluorescence lifetime by MDT. Fluorescence lifetimes of $\mathbf{1 0}$ before (before, red solid line) and after (after, yellow solid line) MDT which was induced by a mechanical stimulus.


Fig. S6 Temeperature-dependent emission. Temperature-dependent fluorescent spectra of (a) $\mathbf{1 Y}$ and (b) $\mathbf{1 O}$ from $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table S4 Calculated lattice energies of 10 and 1Y. ${ }^{[a]}$

|  | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 Y}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lattice energy | $228.3(28.5)$ | $116.4(29.1)^{[\mathrm{ar}]}$ |

[a] Energy in kcal/mol. 10 has eight molecules in one unit cell, while $\mathbf{1 Y}$ has four molecules in one unit cell. The lattice energy per molecule is shown in parenthesis.

## Lattice energy calculation

The lattice energy ( $E_{\text {lattice }}$ ) was calculated using Quantum ESPRESSO program with the PBE functional [67] and Grimme's D3BJ dispersion correction. [68] The self-consistent calculations are computed with the cutoff energy of 36 Ry for the plane wave and 324 Ry for electron densities, respectively. The $E_{\text {lattice }}$ was obtained according to the equation using optimized geometries,
$E_{\text {lattice }}=-\left(E_{\text {cryst }}-n E_{\text {mono }}\right)$.
where $E_{\text {cryst }}$ is the energy of the unit cell of crystal calculated using the periodic boundary condition, $E_{\text {mono }}$ is the energy of the isolated molecule, and $n$ is the number of molecules in one unit cell. The position of atoms in crystals were optimized, while the cell parameters were fixed at experimental values in the optimizations. The geometry of isolated molecule was optimized. The molecule was isolated by the cubic unit cell with the edge of $25 \AA$ in the calculations of $E_{\text {mono }}$. The geometries of monomers obtained by the optimizations of position of atoms in crystals are shown in Fig. S6a-c. The torsional angles in the optimized geometries are summarized in Table S5.

Table S5 Torsional angles of optimized molecules in crystals ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | $2-1-7-8$ | $3-4-10-11$ | $4-10-11-12$ | $10-11-12-13$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Y | -7.7 | -6.7 | 177.9 | 3.3 |
| 10 (i) | -4.9 | 32.4 | -175.9 | -0.9 |
| 10 (ii) | -6.1 | -35.4 | 173.9 | -0.6 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Torsional angle in degree.
(a)

(b)

(d)

(e)


Figure S7. Optimized structures of $\mathbf{1}$ in ground states and excited states: (a) ground state structure in crystal 1Y; (b) ground state structure in crystal in $\mathbf{1 0}$ (i); (c) ground state structure in crystal in $\mathbf{1 0}$ (ii); (d) excited state structure in crystal 1Y; (e) excited state structure in crystal 10 (i); (f) excited state structure in crystal 10 (ii)

Table S6 Wavelengths of three longest fluorescence spectra and their oscillator strength (f) calculated for 10 and 1Y.

| $\mathbf{1 O}^{[\mathrm{a}]}$ | $\mathbf{1 Y}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $688 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{f}=0.1119) / 672 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{f}=0.1245)$ | $617 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{f}=0.1765)$ |
| $468 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{f}=0.0054) / 466 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{f}=0.0041)$ | $462 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{f}=0.0005)$ |
| $428 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{f}=0.0924) / 428 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{f}=0.0835)$ | $4254 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{f}=0.0402)$ |

[a] Calculated for two crystallographically independent molecules.

## Calculations of fluorescence spectra

The Gaussian 16 program was used for the calculations. The optimizations of excited state geometries of isolated molecules and the calculations of their fluorescence spectra were carried out using the time-dependent DFT method. [69] The B3LYP functional [70] and 6-311G** basis set were used for the calculations. The optimized ground state
geometries in the crystals (Figs. S7a-c) were used for initial geometries of the optimizations of excited state geometries. The torsional angles of four rotatable bonds shown in Table S 5 were fixed in the geometry optimizations in the excited state. The optimized geometries of isolated molecules in excited states are shown in Fig. S7d-f.


Fig. S8 Photographic images 1 under UV light before and after nanoindentation (Hysitron TI980, Bruker Corp.) with a foce of $500 \mu \mathrm{~N}, 2,000 \mu \mathrm{~N}$, and $3,000 \mu \mathrm{~N}$. Mechanically stimulated $\mathbf{1 O}$ is circled by red. Nanoindentation always started the identation tests from very low load $(\sim 1 \mu \mathrm{~N})$ and then conducted tests with progressively higher loads until MDT happened.


Fig. S9 ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $12.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07$ (d, J=8 Hz, 1H), $7.00(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.



Fig. S10 ${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $165.3,161.2,154.1,146.0,134.5,132.9,125.2,121.8,119.5,118.6,117.5$ and 113.3.


Fig. S11 HR-MS spectrum of 1. Mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ as $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$is 243.0764 and obtained mass is $243.0408(100 \%)$. From this data, the synthesized compound 1 can be considered to be in the pure form.
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