Supporting Information

Eggshell protein modified cotton fabric for flexible and sustainable piezoelectric wearable sensors

Xinhua Liang, ‡ª Hao Xu, ‡ª Xuliang Yu, ª Tian Luo, ª Honglian Cong, ª

Gaoming Jiang, ^a Lei Xu, ^b Seeram Ramakrishna *c and Haijun He *a

^a Engineering Research Center for Knitting Technology, Ministry of Education, Jiangnan University, Wuxi, Jiangsu 214122, China.

E-mail: hhj@jiangnan.edu.cn

^b School of Textile Science and Engineering, Tiangong University, Tianjin
300387, China.

 ^c Center for Nanotechnology and Sustainability, Department of Mechanical Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore, 117576, Singapore.
 E-mail: seeram@nus.edu.sg

Note S1. Calculation of stiffness

Five samples of 20 cm \times 2.5 cm were prepared for each sample respectively. The sample was put on the platform of stiffness tester to test the extension length (L) with bending angle of 41.5 ° from the horizontal direction. The stiffness of all the samples is calculated according to formula (1).

$$G = m \times C^3 \times 10^{-3} \tag{1}$$

where G is the stiffness of the sample, m is the gram weight of the sample, C is the average bending length of the sample, which is 1/2 of the extension length.



Fig. S1 The 3D rib structure of cotton fabric.



Fig. S2 FTIR spectra of the ESM.

Note S2. Calculation of crystallinity

The total degree of the crystallinity $\binom{x_{c_t}}{}$ of the samples is calculated according to formula (2) from XRD patterns using the curve deconvolution method.¹

$$x_{c_t} = \frac{\sum A_{crys}}{\sum A_{crys} + \sum A_{amp}} \times 100\%$$
(2)



Fig. S3 Crystallinity of all samples calculated from XRD patterns.



Fig. S4 Mechanism of reorientation of molecule chains formed by SEP and water molecules during the drying of the composites.



Fig. S5 Raman spectrum of ESM.



Fig. S6 Breaking strain of different samples. Mean \pm SD of three independent experiments were shown (n=3).



Fig. S7 Remnant polarization (P_r) of pristine cotton fabric and SEP-coated fabrics.



Fig. S8 Piezoelectric constant (d₃₃) of (a) pristine cotton, (b) SCF-0.5, (c) SCF-1.0, (d) SCF-1.5, (e) SCF-2.0 and (f) SCF-2.5 measured by a commercial d₃₃ meter.



Fig. S9 d_{33} of pristine cotton fabric and SEP-coated fabrics.



Fig. S10 Optical image of the as-proposed device.



Fig. S11 Schematical illustrations of the piezoelectric test device.



Fig. S12 (a) The flexible thin-film piezoelectric sensing system. (b) The preamplifier circuit diagram.



Fig. S13 The test configuration of the sensors to avoid frictional electrical signals.



Fig. S14 Short-circuit current measurements of the piezoelectric device in the forward connection (a) and the reverse connection (b).

Sample	The maximum tensile stress (%)	Breaking strain (%)	Young's modulus (MPa)
CF	3.98±0.14	60.42±5.32	1.12±0.08
SCF-0.5%	4.94±0.19	110.55±3.15	0.53±0.03
SCF-1%	5.96±0.23	120.37±4.37	0.81±0.04
SCF-1.5%	5.23±0.10	99.36±4.53	0.90±0.06
SCF-2%	4.78±0.12	87.86±7.34	1.61±0.05
SCF-2.5%	4.59±0.27	72.36±4.15	1.98±0.06

Table S1. The tensile stress-strain curves data of pristine cotton fabric and SEP-coated fabrics.

References

 S. K. Karan, R. Bera, S. Paria, A. K. Das, S. Maiti, A. Maitra and B. B. Khatua, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2016, 6, 1601016.