Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry C. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

## **Supporting Information**

# Configuration-Dependent Hollow Heterostructures for Highly Efficient Photocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution

Yingqiang Li<sup>#</sup>, Tao Zhang<sup>#</sup>, Yifan Liu, Chao Liu, Jingwen Sun, Jianfei Che\*, Pan Xiong\*, Junwu Zhu

Key Laboratory for Soft Chemistry and Functional Materials of Ministry of Education, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, China

<sup>#</sup>These authors contributed equally.

\*Corresponding author: <u>xiaoche@mail.njust.edu.cn; pan.xiong@njust.edu.cn</u>

#### Experimental

#### **Chemicals**

Sodium dodecyl sulfate ( $C_{12}H_{25}SO_4Na$ , SDS), potassium persulfate ( $K_2S_2O_8$ , KPS), styrene ( $C_8H_8$ ), titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>), potassium carbonate ( $K_2CO_3$ ), lithium carbonate ( $Li_2CO_3$ ), tetrabutylammonium hydroxide ( $C_{16}H_{37}NO$ ), poly dimethyl diallyl ammonium chloride (PDDA,  $C_8H_{16}NCl)_n$ ), hydrochloric acid (HCl), and urea (CH<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O) were procured from Aladdin Chemistry Co. Ltd. All chemicals were of analytical reagent grade and used without further purification.

#### Material characterization

Phase analysis of the samples was conducted using X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) on a Rigaku/MiniFlex600 instrument. Surface functional groups and chemical compositions of the products were characterized using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR, NICOLETIS10) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, ESCALAB250Xi). Morphological structures of the products were examined using a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Hitachi S4800) and a transmission electron microscope (TEM, JEOL JEM-2100) operated at 200 kV. Optical properties of the products were assessed using UV-vis diffuse-reflectance spectroscopy (UV-2550) and photoluminescence spectroscopy (PL, FLS1000) at room temperature. Reactive oxygen species (ROS), including electrons (e<sup>-</sup>) and holes (h<sup>+</sup>), in the composite solutions were identified and quantified using electron spin resonance spectroscopy (ESR, JESFA200).

#### Photocatalytic activity

Photocatalytic hydrogen production was quantified using an online system (LbSolar-3AG, PerfectLight, Beijing). 10 mg of the photocatalysts were dispersed in a 100 mL aqueous solution consisting of 90 mL water and 10 mL triethanolamine. Pt (serving as a co-catalyst) was subsequently deposited onto the material using the photo-deposition method. A solution of H<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>6</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O at 3 wt.% (relative to Pt) was introduced, degassed, and subsequently irradiated with a 300 W Xenon lamp (PLS-SXE 300C (BF), PerfectLight, Beijing) equipped with an AM-1.5 filter or an optical filter ( $\lambda >$ 420 nm). Gas concentration was analyzed using an online gas chromatograph (GC D7900P, TCD detector). Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA, TGA5500) was employed to assess sample weight loss, with a heating rate of 10 °C/min from room temperature to 800 °C.

#### **Photoelectrochemical Experiments**

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), transient photocurrent and Mott-Schottky plots were tested on CHI 660E (Chenhua Instrument, Shanghai, China) with a typical three-electrode cell employed 0.2 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> aqueous solution as the electrolyte. Ag/AgCl electrode and Pt wire were used as reference and counter electrodes, respectively. The working electrode was prepared as follows: 5 mg of photocatalyst was dispersed into mixed solution including ethanol (250  $\mu$ L), ethylene glycol (250  $\mu$ L), and Nafion (40  $\mu$ L) and then sonicated for 20 min. Then, the above solution (80  $\mu$ L) was dropped onto a precleaned fluorine tin oxide (FTO) glass with an exposed area of 1 cm<sup>2</sup>. A 300 W Xenon (Xe) lamp equipped with a 420 nm cutoff filter served as the visible light source. The photocurrent responses of the photocatalysts to light switching on and off were measured with 1.2 V bias voltage. EIS spectra were recorded in the range from 0.01 to 10<sup>5</sup> Hz at an ac voltage of 10 mV. Mott-Schottky plots of material were then tested at 500 Hz frequencies by using the Impedance-Potential mode.

### Theoretical calculation

The density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed by Vienna Ab-initio simulation package (VASP). The exchange and correlation interactions were calculated by using generalized-gradient approximations (GGA) and Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional. For the calculation of electronic properties, the more accurate hybrid functional Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof (HSE06) was also used to correct the results. The energy cutoff of electron wave functions was set to 600 eV and the k-point meshes of  $6 \times 4 \times 1$  are used. The calculated lattice parameters of anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> are a = b = 3.784 Å and c = 9.515 Å. The optimized lattice parameters of monolayer g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> are a = b = 4.785 Å. All the atomic positions are fully relaxed until the force is smaller than 0.01 eV/Å and the energy tolerances less than  $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$  eV per atom.



Figure S1 (a-b) SEM and (c-d) TEM images of PS microsphere modified with PDDA.



Figure S2 (a-b) SEM and (c-d) TEM images of  $Ti_{0.87}O_2/PS$  sphere.



Figure S3 (a-b) SEM and (c-d) TEM images of  $g-C_3N_4/Ti_{0.87}O_2/PS$  sphere.



Figure S4 (a) TEM micrographs, (b) high-resolution TEM image, (c) SAED pattern and (d) elemental distribution mapping of A-TiO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>.



Figure S5 TGA curve of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/Ti<sub>0.87</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/PS, g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and Ti<sub>0.87</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.



Figure S6 The Raman spectra of  $g-C_3N_4/A-TiO_2$ ,  $A-TiO_2/g-C_3N_4$ ,  $A-TiO_2$  and  $g-C_3N_4$ .



**Figure S7** (a) Time course of hydrogen evolution and (b) comparison of hydrogen evolution rates for  $g-C_3N_4/A-TiO_2$ ,  $A-TiO_2/g-C_3N_4$ ,  $A-TiO_2$  and  $g-C_3N_4$  under visible light.



**Figure S8** (a) Time course of hydrogen evolution and (b) comparison of hydrogen evolution rates for A-TiO<sub>2</sub>,  $g-C_3N_4$ ,  $g-C_3N_4/Ti_{0.87}O_2/PS$  and PS materials.



**Figure S9** ESR spectra of  $e^-$  of  $g-C_3N_4/A-TiO_2$ ,  $A-TiO_2/g-C_3N_4$ ,  $g-C_3N_4$  and  $TiO_2$  when exposed to solar light for a duration of 9 minutes.



**Figure S10** ESR spectra of (a)  $e^-$  of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, (b)  $e^-$  of TiO<sub>2</sub>, (c)  $h^+$  of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, (d)  $h^+$  of TiO<sub>2</sub>, (e) •OH of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and (f) •OH of TiO<sub>2</sub> under solar light irradiation for various times.



Figure S11 ESR spectra of (a)  $e^-$  of A-TiO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, (b)  $e^-$  of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/ A-TiO<sub>2</sub>, (c) h<sup>+</sup> of A-TiO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, (d) h<sup>+</sup> of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/ A-TiO<sub>2</sub>, (e) •OH of A-TiO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and (f) •OH of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/ A-TiO<sub>2</sub> under solar light irradiation for various times.





Figure S13 Mott-Schottky plots at different frequencies of A-TiO<sub>2</sub>.



Figure S14 Mott-Schottky plots at different frequencies of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>.



Figure S15 Mott-Schottky plots at different frequencies of A-TiO\_2/g-C\_3N\_4.



Figure S16 Mott-Schottky plots at different frequencies of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/A-TiO<sub>2</sub>.



Figure S17 The optimized structures of A-TiO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> heterostructure.



Figure S18 Charge density difference of  $A-TiO_2/g-C_3N_4$  heterostructure. The yellow and blue in the figure represent the accumulation and loss of electrons.



Figure S19 An illustration of photocatalytic mechanism of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> under visible light.

Sample	Zeta Potential (mV)	Standard Deviation (mV)
g-C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	-12.8	5.72
$\mathrm{Ti}_{0.87}\mathrm{O}_2$	-35.5	4.54
PDDA/PS	+22.8	3.82

 Table S1 Comparison of Zeta potential of samples in the pure water.