Supporting Information

Enhanced Ultra-Broadband Electromagnetic Wave Absorption Using Liquid

Metal-Coated Carbonyl Fe/Ni Particles with Dual Dielectric Polarization

Kang Xie, Qin Zhang*, Feng Chen*, and Qiang Fu

College of Polymer Science & Engineering, State Key Laboratory of Polymer Materials Engineering, Sichuan University, Chengdu, 610065, P. R. China.

* Corresponding author. E-mail: qinzhang@scu.edu.cn (Qin. Zhang); fengchen@scu.edu.cn (Feng. Chen)

Supplementary Fig.s and Tables



Fig. S1 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of (a) Ni, and (b) Fe. (c) Schematic of Fe/Ni @LM-PDMS. (d) Demonstration of the flexibility of Fe/Ni@LM-PDMS. (e) Sample for testing the electromagnetic parameters of Fe/Ni @LM-PDMS.



Fig. S2 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of (a) Fe@LM, and (b) Ni@LM, and (c) Fe/Ni@LM.



Fig. S3 (a-c) SEM images of the microstructures and elemental distribution of Fe@LM, Ni@LM, and Fe/Ni@LM



Fig. S4 (a, b) HRTEM images of Fe/LM and Ni/LM, respectively.



Fig. S5 (a-c) XRD spectra of Fe@LM, Ni@LM, Fe/Ni@LM.



Fig. S6 The (a) ϵ' , (b) ϵ " for Fe@LM, Ni@LM and Fe/Ni@LM.



Fig. S7 (a-d) Impedance matching degree maps $|Z_{in}/Z_0|$.



Fig. S8 *RL* as a function of matched thickness and frequency at a quarter-wavelength ($\lambda/4$) and impedance matching characteristics for (a) F/N-1, (b) F/N-2, (c) F/N-3 and (d) F/N-4.



Fig. S9 Atomic force microscopy (AFM) and height profile images of (a, b) Fe@LM and (c, d) Ni@LM.



Fig. S10 (a, b) 3D diagrams of radar cross-section (RCS) simulations for a perfect electric conductor (PEC) with a thickness of

0.1 mm and Fe/Ni@LM with a thickness of 1.96 mm at 12.22 GHz. (c) Simulation of the F-35 aircraft in CST Studio Suite 2022.

(d) Location of stealth coating on the fighter aircraft.

Absorbers	EAB (GHz)	Thickness (mm)	Reference
TiO ₂ @Fe ₃ O ₄ @PPy	6.00	3.20	[1]
NiFe₂O₄@PPy	6.80	2.62	[2]
PPy/Fe ₃ O ₄	6.16	3.70	[3]
CIP@void@NC	6.90	1.70	[4]
CIP@SiO₂@Mn	7.12	2.00	[5]
Fe/RGO	7.52	2.62	[6]
Cu/CuO/C	6.28	2.40	[7]
2D-MOFs(Co/Ni/X)	7.60	2.60	[8]
Co/Ni/C	7.30	2.00	[9]
OMC/Si@Ni	8.00	2.50	[10]
2D-CoNi	6.24	2.60	[11]
CoFe₂O₄@rGO	5.90	2.20	[12]
MnFe ₂ O ₄ /RGO	4.80	3.50	[13]
Fe/Ni@LM	9.05	2.43	This work

Table S1. Comparison of RL_{min} and EAB among reported absorbers for electromagnetic wave absorption

Supporting references

- 1 J. Ding, L. Wang, Y. Zhao, L. Xing, X. Yu, G. Chen, J. Zhang and R. Che, *Small*, 2019, **15**, 1902885.
- 2 L. Rao, Z. Li, Y. Qian, M. Huang, L. Wang, Y. Liu, J. Zhang, Y. Lai, C. Liang and R. Che, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2024, **488**, 150955.
- 3 X. Yang, B. Fan, X. Tang, J. Wang, G. Tong, D. Chen and J. Guan, Chem. Eng. J. 2022, 430, 132747
- 4 W. Dai, F. Chen, H. Luo, Y. Xiong, X. Wang, Y. Cheng and R. Gong, J. Alloys Compd., 2020, 812, 152083
- 5 Q. Chen, L. Li, Z. Wang, Y. Ge, C. Zhou and J. Yi, J. Alloys Compd., 2019, 779, 720-727.
- 6 K. Zhang, Y. Liu, Y. Liu, Y. Yan, G. Ma, B. Zhong, R. Che and X. Huang, Nano-Micro. Letters., 2024, 16, 151083
- 7 N. He, M. Liu, J. Qi, J. Tong, W. Sao, X. Yang, L. Shi and G. Tong, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2019, **378**, 122160.
- 8 G. Liu, J. Tu, C. Wu, Y. Fu, C. Chu, Z. Zhu, X. Wang and M. Yan, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces., 2021, 13, 20459-20466.
- 9 G. Sun, H. Wu, Q. Liao and Y. Zhang, *Nano. Res.*, 2018, **11**, 2689-2704.
- 10 P. Zhou, X. Wang, Z. Song, M. Wang, W. Huang, M. Yu, L. Wang and Q. Zhan, Carbon, 2021, 176, 209-218.
- 11 W. Huang, M. Song, S. Wang, B. Wang, J. Ma, T. Liu, Y. Zhang, Y. Kang and R. Che, Adv. Materi., 2024, 36, 2403397.
- 12 X. Liang, B. Quan, B. Sun, Z. Man, X. Xu and G. Ji, ACS Sustain. Chem. & Eng., 2019, 7, 10477-10483.
- 13 Y. Wang, X. Wu, W. Zhang and S. Huang, Materials Technology, 2017, **32**, 32-37.