## **Supporting Information**

Universal synthesis strategy for preparation of transition metal oxide electrocatalysts doped with noble metal single atoms for oxygen evolution reaction

Jingyao Wang, Yiming Zhu, Xuepeng Zhong, Zhiwei Hu, Wei-Hsiang Huang, Chih-Wen Pao, Hongfei Cheng<sup>\*</sup>, Nicolas Alonso-Vante<sup>\*</sup>, and Jiwei Ma<sup>\*</sup>

## Figures:



**Figure S1.** X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of (a) Ir-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, Ru-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, Pd-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. (b) Ir-NiO, and Ir-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.



**Figure S2.** The wavelet transforms for the  $k^3$ -weighted EXAFS signals of (a-c) Ir-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, IrO<sub>2</sub>, and Ir foil. (d-f) Ru-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, RuO<sub>2</sub>, and Ru foil. (g-i) Pd-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, PdO, and Pd foil. (j-l) Ir-NiO, IrO<sub>2</sub>, and Ir foil. (m-o) Ir-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, IrO<sub>2</sub>, and Ir foil.





**Figure S3.** Photoemission lines: (a, d) Ru 3p of Ru-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and RuO<sub>2</sub>. (b, e) Co 2p and (c,f) O 1s of Ru-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. (g, j) Pd 3*d* of Pd-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, and PdO. (h, k) Co 2p, and (i, l) O 1s of Pd-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. (m, p) Ir 4*f* of Ir-NiO, and IrO<sub>2</sub>. (n, q) Ni 2p, and (o, r) O 1s of Ir-NiO, and NiO. (s, v) Ir 4*f* of Ir-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and IrO<sub>2</sub>. (t, w) Fe 2p, and (u, x) O 1s of Ir-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S4. SEM-EDS spectra of (a) Ru-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, (b) Pd-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, (c) Ir-NiO, and (d) Ir-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.



**Figure S5.** Co-*K* edge EXAFS spectra of Ir-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Co foil.



**Figure S6.** The normalized Ir- $L_3$  edge XANES spectra of (a) Ir-NiO, Ir foil, and IrO<sub>2</sub>. (b) Ir-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Ir foil, and IrO<sub>2</sub>. (c) The normalized Ru-*K* edge XANES spectra of Ru- Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, Ru foil, and RuO<sub>2</sub>. (d) The normalized Pd-*K* edge XANES spectra of Pd-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, Pd foil, and PdO.



**Figure S7.** LSV curves of (a) Ir-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, Ir-NiO, Ir-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and IrO<sub>2</sub>, (b) Ir-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, Ru-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, Pd-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> collected at a scanning rate of 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution.



**Figure S8.** The equivalent circuit section applied in the EIS testing of the catalysts. Electrolyte, Charge transfer, and Warburg resistance are  $R_s$ ,  $R_{ct}$ , and  $R_w$ , respectively. The double layer capacitance is  $C_{dl}$ .



Figure S9. TEM image of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.



**Figure S10.** Electrochemical cyclic voltammetry scans were recorded for (a) Ir-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, (b) IrO<sub>2</sub>, and (c) Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Scan rates are 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. (d) Linear fitting of the capacitive currents versus cyclic voltammetry scan rates for these catalysts.



**Figure S11.** (a) TEM image, (b) HR-TEM image, (c) EDS elemental mappings of Ir-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> after stability test. (d) Ir 4f, (e) Co 2p, (f) O1s XPS spectrum of Ir-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> before and after stability test.



**Figure S12.** Electrochemical cyclic voltammetry scans were recorded for (a) Ir-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, (b) Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, and (c) IrO<sub>2</sub>. Scan rates are 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. (d) Linear fitting of the capacitive currents versus cyclic voltammetry scan rates for these catalysts. (e) The calculated ECSA values for Ir-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, IrO<sub>2</sub>, and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in 1.0 M KOH.

## **Tables:**

Table S1. Fit goodness and R-factor of XRD refinements for Ir-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.

Compounds	R <sub>F</sub>	R <sub>B</sub>	R <sub>P</sub>	R <sub>WP</sub>	$\chi^2$
Ir-Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	0.309%	0.314%	0.286%	0.462%	3.46
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	0.816%	0.598%	0.228%	0.293%	1.64

Table S2. Results of XRD refinements for Ir-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.

Compounds	a (Å)	<b>b</b> (Å)	c (Å)	V (Å <sup>3</sup> )
Ir-Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	8.102	8.102	8.102	531.9
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	8.086	8.086	8.086	528.7

Compounds	Element	Atomic%
	Ir	1.86
Ir-Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	Co	28.44
	Ο	69.70
	Ru	1.41
Ru-Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	Co	21.24
	О	77.35
	Pd	1.18
Pd-Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	Co	31.65
	О	67.17
	Ir	0.75
Ir-NiO	Ni	41.66
	О	57.58
	Ir	1.39
Ir-Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Fe	26.06
	0	72.55

**Table S3.** XPS quantification data for all elements in Ir-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, Ru-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, Pd-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, Ir-NiO, and Ir-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

Table S4. Fitting parameters of EIS for Ir-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, IrO<sub>2</sub>, and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in 0.5 M  $H_2SO_4$ .

Samples	<b>Rs</b> (Ω)	Rct (Ω)	C <sub>dl</sub> (F s <sup>n-1</sup> )	s (Ω s <sup>-1/2</sup> )
Ir-Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	5.1	16.6	0.0498	0.0498
IrO <sub>2</sub>	4.0	220.0	0.1991	0.0498
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	5.1	5271.0	0.0996	4.5658

Catalysts	Overpotential (mV)	Tafel slope (mV dec <sup>-1</sup> )	Ref.
Rh <sub>22</sub> Ir <sub>78</sub> alloy NPs	292	101	[1]
Porous carbon-coated IrCo	270	71.8	[2]
IrO <sub>2</sub> /CNT	293	67	[3]
TiN/IrO <sub>2</sub>	313	65.5	[4]
Ir-SA@Fe@NCNT	250	58.2	[5]
IrO <sub>2</sub> /GCNa	276	57	[6]
Ir <sub>6</sub> Ag <sub>9</sub> nanotubes	297	60	[7]
Amorphous IrO <sub>x</sub> NSs	250	47	[8]
$Sr_2IrO_4$	287	45	[9]
Li-IrO <sub>x</sub>	290	39	[10]
Ir-Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	268	38	This work

**Table S5.** Comparisons of the Tafel slopes and overpotentials at the current density of 10 mA  $cm^{-2}$  of reported Ir-based catalysts for OER in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.

Table S6. Fitting parameters of EIS for Ir-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, IrO<sub>2</sub>, and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in 1.0 M KOH.

Samples	<b>Rs</b> (Ω)	Rct (Ω)	C <sub>dl</sub> (F s <sup>n-1</sup> )	s (Ω s <sup>-1/2</sup> )
Ir-Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	4.3	14.6	0.0498	9.6399
IrO <sub>2</sub>	3.9	102.2	0.0896	6.2364
$Co_3O_4$	4.0	445.3	0.0996	0.1216

References

[1] H. Guo, Z. Fang, H. Li, D. Fernandez, G. Henkelman, S. M. Humphrey, G. Yu, ACS Nano 2019, 13, 13225.

[2] X. Sun, F. Liu, X. Chen, C. Li, J. Yu, M. Pan, *Electrochimica Acta* 2019, 307, 206.

[3] J. Guan, D. Li, R. Si, S. Miao, F. Zhang, C. Li, ACS Catalysis 2017, 7, 5983.

[4] H. Zhang, Z. Y. Yuan, B. Li, X. Jin, presented at *the 2019 Chinese Control And Decision Conference (CCDC)*, 3-5 June 2019, **2019**.

[5] F. Luo, H. Hu, X. Zhao, Z. Yang, Q. Zhang, J. Xu, T. Kaneko, Y. Yoshida, C. Zhu, W. Cai, *Nano Letters* **2020**, 20, 2120.

- [6] J. Chen, P. Cui, G. Zhao, K. Rui, M. Lao, Y. Chen, X. Zheng, Y. Jiang, H. Pan, S. X. Dou, W. Sun, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2019**, 58, 12540.
- [7] M. Zhu, Q. Shao, Y. Qian, X. Huang, *Nano Energy* **2019**, 56, 330.
- [8] B. Jiang, J. Kim, Y. Guo, K. C. W. Wu, S. M. Alshehri, T. Ahamad, N. Alhokbany, J.

Henzie, Y. Yamachi, Catalysis Science & Technology 2019, 9, 3697.

- [9] A. L. Strickler, D. Higgins, T. F. Jaramillo, *ACS Applied Energy Materials* **2019**, 2, 5490.
- [10] J. Gao, C.-Q. Xu, S.-F. Hung, W. Liu, W. Cai, Z. Zeng, C. Jia, H. M. Chen, H. Xiao, J.
- Li, Y. Huang, B. Liu, Journal of the American Chemical Society 2019, 141, 3014.