# Supplementary Information: From Black Pigment to Green Energy: Shedding Light on Melanin Electrochemistry in Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells

<u>Noah Al-Shamery<sup>a†</sup></u>, <u>Jun-Hyeok Park<sup>b†</sup></u>, <u>Seung Rok Kim<sup>b†</sup></u>, Florian Heppner<sup>c</sup>, So Yeon Yoon<sup>b</sup>,

Thomas Bredow<sup>c</sup>, Tae-Hyuk Kwon<sup>b\*</sup>, and Pooi See Lee<sup> $a^*$ </sup>.

<sup>†</sup>Noah Al-Shamery, Jun-Hyeok Park, and Seung Rok Kim have contributed equally to this work and share co-first authorship.

<sup>a</sup>School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore,

50 Nanyang Ave, 639798 Singapore

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology, Ulsan 44919,

Republic of Korea

<sup>c</sup>Mulliken Center for Theoretical Chemistry, University of Bonn, D-53115, Germany

\*Tae-Hyuk Kwon E-Mail

kwon90@unist.ac.kr

\*Pooi See Lee E-Mail

pslee@ntu.edu.sg

#### **Melanin-DSSC** fabrication

The fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) conducting glass (Nippon Sheet Glass Co., Ltd.) was sonicated for 15 minutes each in the sequence of deionized water, acetone, and ethanol. Following sonication, the FTO glass was exposed to ultraviolet/O3 for 20 minutes, and subsequently immersed in a 40 mM TiCl<sub>4</sub> aqueous solution at 70 °C for 30 min in an oven to form a blocking TiO<sub>2</sub> compact layer. The 0.16 cm<sup>2</sup> ( $0.4 \times 0.4$  cm<sup>2</sup>) TiO<sub>2</sub> photoactive layer was prepared by depositing the opaque TiO<sub>2</sub> pastes (18 NR-AO, Greatcell Solar Limited) containing dispersed scattering particles via the screen-printing method (MESH S/T250, Emulsion 12 µM). The screen-printed TiO<sub>2</sub> photoanode was subjected to sequential sintering processes at the following temperatures and durations: 150 °C for 10 min, 325 °C for 5 min, 375 °C for 5 min, 450 °C for 15 min, and 500 °C for 30 min. After the sintering process, the TiO<sub>2</sub> photoanode was immersed in the 40 mM TiCl<sub>4</sub> aqueous solution at 70 °C for 15 min in the oven and then washed with DI water and ethanol, followed by a second sintering process at 500 °C for 30 minutes. The mesoporous TiO<sub>2</sub> photoanodes were dipped in a melanin solution, which was prepared by dissolving 10 mg of synthetic eumelanin (Sigma-Aldrich) in a 20 mL binary solvent of DMSO and methanol (volume ratio, 1:19), for 16 hours at room temperature. For the fabrication of counter electrodes, holes for electrolyte injection were drilled into the FTO glasses using a hand drill, and the subsequent washing process was identical to that of the photoanode. For  $I^{-}/I_{3}^{-}$ electrolyte application, the cleaned glasses were coated with 10 mM H<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>6</sub> · 6H<sub>2</sub>O (~40% Pt, Sigma-Aldrich) ethanol solution, and then subjected to sintering at 400 °C for 20 minutes. In of  $Co^{(3+/2+)}(bpy)_3$  or  $Cu^{(2+/1+)}(tmby)_2$  electrolyte utilization, poly(3,4the case ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT) was deposited on the cleaned glass by supplying 0.5 mA for 30 seconds in an acetonitrile solution containing 0.01 M of 3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene (EDOT, 97%, Aldrich) and 0.1 M of lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI, 98+%, Fisher Scientific Korea Ltd.). The 25 µm thick Surlyn films (Meltonix 1170-25, Solaronix) were used to integrate the prepared photo and counter electrodes into the sandwichtype cells, which were pressed at 110 °C to seal. The iodine electrolyte was injected into the vacant space of the sandwich cells by vacuum back-filling through the hole in the counter electrode, and then the hole was enclosed with the Surlyn films and a cover glass.

### **Electrolyte preparation**

The iodine electrolyte ( $\Gamma/I_3^-$ ) was prepared in a mixed sovlent of acetonitrile and valeronitrile (volume ratio, 85:15) with 0.055 M I<sub>2</sub> ( $\geq$ 99.8% Aldrich), 0.5 M 4-tert-butylpridine (96%, Aldrich), 0.6 M 1,2-dimethyl-3propylimidazolium iodide (DMPII, Solaronix), 0.05 M guanidine thiocyanate ( $\geq$ 97%, Aldrich), and 0.025 M LiI (99.9% trace metals basis, Aldrich). The cobalt electrolyte ( $Co^{(3+/2+)}(bpy)_3$ ) was synthesized following the procedure outlined in the 'Synthesis of cobalt complexes' section of the Supporting Information, utilizing 0.25 M  $Co^{2+}(bpy)_3(PF_6)_2$ , 0.02 M  $Co(bpy)_3(PF_6)_3$ , 0.1 M LiTFSI (98+%, Alfa Aesar), and 0.5 M 4-tert-butylpyridine in acetonitrile (Sigma-Aldrich). The copper electrolyte ( $Cu^{(2+/1+)}(tmby)_2$ ) was prepared in an acetonitrile solution, comprising 0.2 M  $Cu^{1+}(tmby)_2TFSI$  (bis-(4,4',6,6'-tetramethyl-2,2'-bipyridine)copper(I) bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide, Dyenamo, DN-Cu09), 0.09 M  $Cu^{1+}(tmby)_2(TFSI)_2$  (bis-(4,4',6,6'-tetramethyl-2,2'-bipyridine)copper(II) bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl), 0.6 M 4-tert-butylpyridine (96%, Aldrich), and 0.1 M LiTFSI (98+%, Alfa Aesar).

## Synthesis of cobalt complexes

For the cobalt electrolyte  $(Co^{(3+/2+)}(bpy)_3)$  synthesis,  $CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  (98%, Alfa Aesar), 2,2'bipyridine (99%, Alfa Aesar), NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> (99.5%, Alfa Aesar), and NOPF<sub>6</sub> (96%, Alfa Aesar) were used in the molar ratio of 1:3:4:1.2, respectively.  $CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  and 2,2-bipyridine were added to degassed methanol under N<sub>2</sub> and the mixture was stirred for 2 hours. NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> was added to the mixture and the resulting solution was filtered to obtain a solid product. The filtered product was washed successively with diethyl ether, methanol, and ethanol, and subsequently dried under vacuum, and the resulting complex was utilized without undergoing any additional purification.

For synthesizing  $Co^{3+}(bpy)_3(PF_6)_3$ , NOPF<sub>6</sub> was added dropwise to an acetonitrile solution with  $Co^{2+}(bpy)_3(PF_6)_2$  and the solution was stirred for 30 minutes under N<sub>2</sub> conditions. The resulting mixture was evaporated via a rotary evaporator and then the small volume of acetonitrile for redissolving and diethyl ether for precipitating were added. The precipitated compound was filtered, washed with methanol, and finally dried under vacuum. The resulting complex was utilized without any additional purification.

#### **Photoelectrochemical Measurements**

The class AAA solar simulator system equipped with a 150 W xenon arc lamp (PEC-L01, Peccell) was calibrated to a 100 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> (standard AM 1.5G condition) using a PEC-SI01 (Peccell) Si reference cell certified by Bunkoukeiki, before starting solar measurements. The photoelectrochemical properties including J-V or dark characteristics were conducted using a potentiostat/galvanostat (Vertex, Ivium Technologies). The PEIPCE120 system (HS Technologies) was employed to investigate the IPCE of the fabricated melanin-DSSCs. An IPCE system, comprising of an AM 1.5G solar simulator equipped with a 150 W xenon arc lamp (Abet Technologies), splitting system, and potentiostat/galvanostat (Vertex, Ivium Technologies) was employed without additional background light. During IPCE measurements, the AM 1.5G condition was calibrated using a standard PEC-SI02 (Peccell) Si reference cell certified by Bunkoukeiki. EIS was measured with a direct current (DC) forward bias using a potentiostat/galvanostat (Vertex, Ivium Technologies) without additional background light. The perturbation amplitude was set at 10 mV within a frequency range from 10<sup>6</sup> to 10<sup>-1</sup> Hz, and

ZView software (Scribner Associates, Inc.) was used for fitting the obtained Nyquist plots with appropriate equivalent circuits.

# **Stability Test**

For the 1 sun light stability test, the certificated class ABA LED solar simulator (LSH-7320, Newport) was employed. For the UV aging test, a 365-nm UV lamp (FL20SBLB, Sankyo Denki) was used. The photovoltaic parameters of the aged devices were characterized using the class AAA solar simulator system equipped with a 150 W xenon arc lamp (PEC-L01, Peccell) and a potentiostat/galvanostat (Vertex, Ivium Technologies), in the same way as above.

Sensitizer <sup>a</sup>	$V_{ m oc}\left({ m V} ight)$	$J_{\rm sc}$ ( $\mu$ A/cm <sup>2</sup> )	$FF^{b}$ (%)	PCE (%)	Reference
Mussel-inspired	0 385	5500	56 5	1.20	1
polydopamine	0.505	5500	50.5		
Electropolymerized					
melanin-like poly	0.33	228	25	0.34	2
indolequinone					
Retinoic acid-	0 330	208	57	0.100	3
based pigment	0.339	390	57	0.109	
Melanin-based	0.297	186	49.0	0.0503	3
pigment	0.387				
Hemin-based	0.440	71.2	70	0.032	3
pigment	0.449				
Bacterial pigment					
from Streptomyces	0.493	91	57.9	0.026	4
fildesensis					
Yellow and pink					
oyster mushroom	0.499	397	53.8	0.107	5
dyes					
Black dye					
producing fungi	0.463	70.6	44.27	0.0144	6
(Aspergillus sp.)					

Table S1. Summarized DSSC performance data of relevant literature devices.

Red dye producing					
fungi ( <i>Penicillium</i>	0.559	37.1	55.23	0.0114	6
<i>sp</i> .)					
Bare TiO <sub>2</sub> alone					
(18NR-AO)	0.52	144	74.8	0.056	our work
without melanin					
Synthetic melanin	0.47	777	64.5	0.24	our work
Synthetic melanin					
(after UV-	0.42	1475	68.2	0.42	our work
treatment)					

(a) Measured under AM 1.5G  $100 \text{mW/cm}^2$  sunlight. (b) Fill factor (FF).

Table S2. Photovoltaic parameters for melanin-DSSCs with different redox mediators.

Electrolyte <sup>a</sup>	$V_{\rm oc}$ (V)	$J_{\rm sc}$ ( $\mu$ A/cm <sup>2</sup> )	$FF^{b}$ (%)	PCE (%)
I <sup>-</sup> /I <sup>3-</sup>	0.47	777	64.5	0.24
Co <sup>(3+/2+)</sup> (bpy) <sub>3</sub>	0.20	61	38.2	0.0047
$Cu^{(2+/1+)}(tmby)_2$	0.13	99	27.3	0.0035

(a) Photovoltaic performances were measured under AM 1.5G  $100 \text{mW/cm}^2$  sunlight. (b) Fill factor (*FF*).



SI Fig. S1. Absorption properties of melanin in a mesoporous film and in solution. (a) UV-vis absorption spectra and (b) the light harvesting efficiency (LHE) of the melanin were measured on a 1.8 µm TiO<sub>2</sub> active layer (blue line) and in solution (red line). Melanin was dissolved in a DMSO/MeOH (1:19) solution and a 18NR-T TiO<sub>2</sub> paste containing particles with similar size (~20 nm) without scattering particles compared to 18NR-AO was used. The FTO-TiO<sub>2</sub> glass was immersed in the same solution condition for 16 hours at room temperature for adsorption. The equation,  $\eta_{\text{LHE}}(\lambda) = 1 - 10^{-A(\lambda)}$  was used to calculate the LHE from the absorbance (a).



**Fig. S2.** Displayed are photoanodes of bare  $TiO_2$  (left) and melanin-sensitized  $TiO_2$  (right) following the immersion process.



Fig. S3. Bode plots of (a) bare  $TiO_2$  and (b) melanin DSSCs. Under the dark condition, the spectra were obtained from various  $V_{\text{bias}}$  from + 0.40 V to + 0.60 V in (a) bare-TiO<sub>2</sub> DSSCs and in (b) melanin-DSSCs.



Fig. S4. Graphical scheme of recombination kinetics in bare-TiO<sub>2</sub> and melanin-DSSCs.



Fig. S5. A photograph of fabricated melanin-DSSC device.



**Fig. S6.** Unedited and expanded simulated absorption spectrum of a gas-phase melanin tetramer in the "6 H structure"<sup>7</sup> calculated using MOLGW<sup>8</sup> on the BHLYP/cc-pVDZ(-RI)<sup>9-11</sup> level of theory.

## References

- H. J. Nam, B. Kim, M. J. Ko, M. Jin, J. M. Kim and D.-Y. Jung, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2012, 18, 14000-14007.
- S. Subianto, G. Will, P. Meredith, *Thesis: Electrochemical Synthesis of Melanin-Like Polyindolequinone*, The Queensland University of Technology, 2006.
- 3. E. S. Falsgraf, *Pomona Senior Theses*, 2012, **61**.
- C. Silva, A. Santos, R. Salazar, C. Lamilla, B. Pavez, P. Meza, R. Hunter and L. Barrientos, *Sol. Energy*, 2019, **181**, 379-385.
- N. A. Pirdaus, N. Ahmad, N. Y. Dahlan, A. N. Redzuan, A. H. Zalizan, F. Muhammad-Sukki, N. A. Bani, M. F. Abdul Patah and W. A. A. Q. I. Wan-Mohtar, *Sci. Rep.*, 2024, 14.
- N. A. Pirdaus, N. Ahmad, F. Muhammad-Sukki and W. A. A. Q. I. Wan-Mohtar, Discov. Appl. Sci., 2024, 6.
- F. Heppner, N. Al-Shamery, P. S. Lee and T. Bredow, *Mater. Adv.*, 2024, 5, 5251-5259.
- F. Bruneval, T. Rangel, S. M. Hamed, M. Shao, C. Yang and J. B. Neaton, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 2016, 208, 149-161.
- 9. A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 1372-1377.
- 10. D. E. Woon and T. H. Dunning, Jr., J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 1358-1371.
- 11. T. H. Dunning, Jr., J. Chem. Phys., 1989, 90, 1007-1023.