Electrochemical and spectroscopic detection of self-association of octa-alkyl phthalocyaninato cadmium compounds into dimeric species

Eleanor Fourie, Jannie C. Swarts,* Isabelle Chambrier and Michael J. Cook*

1. General

Materials and techniques: Dichloromethane was dried over calcium hydride during reflux under nitrogen; tetrahydrofuran was dried over Na metal. $[N(n-Bu_4)][B(C_6H_5)_4]$ was prepared as described elsewhere.¹

Spectroscopy: All solvents were analytical grade and were used as supplied. $CHCl_3-d_1$ (>99.8 atom% D) deuterated solvent was purchased from Apollo Scientific Ltd. THF-d₈ (99.5 atom% D) was purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories Inc. Pyridine-d₅ (99.5 atom% D) was purchased from Goss Scientific Instruments Ltd. The UV-vis. spectra were obtained on a Hitachi U-3000 spectrophotometer. Solutions were prepared at the required concentrations and the absorbance measured in 1 cm, 1 mm or 0.1 mm quartz cells in the stated solvents. The ¹H NMR spectra were measured at 400 MHz on a Jeol Unityplus-400 spectrometer in the stated solvents.

Electrochemistry: Cyclic voltammetry, square wave voltammetry and linear sweep voltammetry experiments were performed on ca. 5x10⁻⁴ M solutions of 1-4 in dry CH₂Cl₂/0.1 M [N(n-Bu)₄][B(C₆F₅)₄] or THF/ 0.1 M [N(n-Bu)₄][B(C₆F₅)₄] or THF/ $Bu_{4}[B(C_{6}F_{5})_{4}]$ utilising a standard three-electrode cell with circular glassy carbon electrode of surface area 3.14 mm² (pre-treated by polishing on a Buehler microcloth first with 1 micron and then ¹/₄ micron diamond paste), a Pt-wire counter electrode and a Ag/Ag⁺ reference electrode. Because the working electrode was quickly contaminated, it was never used for more than six successive potential cycles before it was recleaned and polished. The Ag/Ag⁺ reference electrode was constructed by immersing a Ag wire in an acetonitrile solution containing 0.01 M AgNO₃ and 0.1 M [N(n-Bu)₄][B(C₆H₅)₄] in a thin inner Luggin capillary with vicor tip. This Luggin was immersed into a second Luggin capillary with vicor tip which contained $0.1 \text{ M} [N(n-Bu)_4][B(C_6H_5)_4]$ dissolved either in dichloromethane for experiments conducted in CH₂Cl₂, or in THF for experiments conducted in THF. Ferrocene and/or decamethylferrocene (Fc*) was at the end of each experiment added as internal standard to the bulk solution to enable data reporting versus the Fc/Fc⁺ couple as recommended by IUPAC.² Under our conditions, the Fc/Fc⁺ couple exhibited $i_{pc}/i_{pa} = 0.98$, $\Delta E_p = 70$ mV and $E^{o'} = 0.220$ V versus Ag/Ag⁺ in CH₂Cl₂, while in THF it exhibited $i_{pc}/i_{pa} = 0.97$, $\Delta E_p = 90$ mV and $E^{o'} = 0.176$ V versus Ag/Ag⁺. The Fc*/Fc*⁺ couple was at -0.610 or -0.515 V vs. Fc/Fc⁺ in CH₂Cl₂ or THF respectively.³ As this study was especially concerned with formal reduction potentials under strong reducing conditions in THF, we chose to reference our results vs. Fc*/Fc*+ at -0.610 V in both solvents rather than vs. Fc/Fc⁺. We did this to allow better comparisons of obtained formal reduction potentials in THF and CH₂Cl₂ at more negative potentials. This reference potential means that the CH₂Cl₂ results is reported vs. Fc/Fc^+ as well, but not the THF results. Based on the experimentally determined $Fc^*/Fc^{*+} \Delta E_n$ values, with respect to this study, all processes having $\Delta E_p < ca.$ 90 mV were considered as electrochemical reversible. Qualitatively, processes having ΔE_p values in the range 90 $< \Delta E_p < 150$ mV were considered electrochemical quasi reversible, and those that exhibited $\Delta E_p > 150 \text{ mV}$ were considered electrochemical irreversible.⁴ An ideal oneelectron electrochemical reversible process are characterised by $\Delta E_p = 59 \text{ mV}.^5$ All measurements were conducted under a blanket of argon thermostated at 25.0 ± 0.2 °C in a Faraday cage connected to a BAS 100 B/W electrochemical workstation interfaced with a personal computer. Data, uncorrected for junction potentials, were collected with standard BAS 100 software and exported to Excel for manipulation and analyses. Successive experiments under the same experimental conditions showed that all formal reduction and oxidation potentials were reproducible within 5 mV. Blank experiments using solvent containing electrolyte but no phthalocyanines were performed to determine the usable potential window of each solvent and to confirm purity of each solvent/supporting electrolyte system.



3. MALDI-TOF spectrum of compound 4







5. ^1H NMR spectrum (400 MHz) of compound **2** in THF-d_8 (* indicates solvent peaks)



6. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz) of compound **1** in CHCl₃-d₁ (* indicates solvent peak)



7. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz) of compound **2** in CHCl₃-d₁ (* indicates solvent peak)



8. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz) of compound **4** in CHCl₃-d₁ + pyridine-d₅ (* indicates solvent peaks)



9. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz) of compound **4** in THF-d₈ + pyridine-d₅ (* indicates solvent peaks)







11. Beer-Lambert plots of compounds 1 and 2 in CH_2Cl_2 and THF



12. UV-Vis. spectra of compound 4 in CH_2Cl_2 (0.97x10 3 M) and in $CHCl_3\text{-}d_1$ (3.19x10 3 M)



13. UV-Vis. spectra of compound 4 in CH_2Cl_2 at $1.44x10^{-5}M$ (blue) and $0.93x10^{-3}M$ (pink)



14. CVs at 100 mV s⁻¹ in CH₂Cl₂ (blue) and THF (black). Anodic (oxidation) processes are labelled A and B, cathodic (reduction) processes are labelled I through III. Numbering started from the resting redox state to synchronize wave number with sequential redox processes. Fc^{*} = the internal standard, decamethylferrocene, which has a potential of -610 mV vs. Fc/Fc⁺ in CH₂Cl₂. Although the Fc^{*}/Fc^{*+} couple is at -515 mV vs. Fc/Fc⁺ in THF, for consistency, we referenced here potentials in both solvents vs. Fc^{*}/Fc^{*+} at -610 mV because the potentials at negative voltages are key results from this study and because THF is more likely to interact with substrates under oxidising conditions than under reducing conditions. Ads = adsorptive, pre = prewave, X and Y are peaks due to electrode fouling and are dependent on scan direction.



15. References

- R. J. LeSuer, C. Buttolph and W. E. Geiger, *Anal.Chem.*, 2004, **76**, 6395-6401.
 G. Gritzner and J. Kuta, *Pure Appl. Chem.* 1984, **56**, 461-466.
- M. J. Cook, I. Chambrier, G. F. White, E. Fourie and J. C. Swarts. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., preceding paper. A. Auger, A. J. Muller and J. C. Swarts, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., 2007, 3623-3633. 3
- 4
- 5 D. H. Evans, K. M. O'Connell, R. A. Petersen and M. J. Kelly, J. Chem. Educ., 1983, 60, 290-293; P. T. Kissinger and W. R. Heineman, J. Chem. Educ., 1983, **60**, 702-706; J. J. Van Benshoten, J. Y. Lewis and W. R. Heineman, J. Chem. Educ., 1983, **60**, 772-776; G. A. Mabbot, J. Chem. Educ., 1983, **60**, 697-702.