**Electronic Supplementary Information** 

for

## Sulfoxidation with Hydrogen Peroxide Catalyzed by [SeO<sub>4</sub>{WO(O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> and The Mechanism

Keigo Kamata<sup>a,b</sup> Tomohisa Hirano,<sup>a</sup> Ryo Ishimoto,<sup>a</sup> and Noritaka Mizuno<sup>\*,a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Applied Chemistry, School of Engineering, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan. E-mail: tmizuno@mail.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp; Fax:

+81 3 5841 7220; Tel: +81 3 5841 7272

<sup>b</sup>Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology (CREST), Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), 4-1-8 Honcho, Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan.

Synthesis and Characterization of  $[(n-C_4H_9)_4N]_2[SeO_4\{WO(O_2)_2\}_2]$  (I). The tetra-*n*-butylammonium salt derivative of  $[SeO_4{WO(O_2)_2}_2]^{2-}$  was synthesized according to the literature procedure.<sup>S1</sup> In 15% aqueous  $H_2O_2$  (9.25 mL, 42 mmol),  $H_2WO_4$  (1.75 g, 7 mmol) was suspended and the resulting suspension was stirred at 305 K for 30 min. The pale yellow solution was filtered to remove insoluble materials followed by addition of 80% H<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (2.1 mL, 28 mmol). The solution was stirred at 273 K for 60 min and an excess amount of  $[(n-C_4H_9)_4N](NO_3)$  (3.05 g, 10 mmol) was added in a single step. The solution was stirred at 273 K for 30 min and the white precipitate (1.07 g) was collected by the filtration followed by the washing with an excess amount of H<sub>2</sub>O and diethyl ether. The precipitate was evacuated to dryness. A portion of the product (0.2 g) was dissolved in the acetonitrile solution (1 mL) containing a drop of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at 298 K and the solution was cooled to 277 K. The colorless plate-like crystalline solid was obtained by vapor diffusion of diethyl ether into the acetonitrile solution. Yield: 0.11 g (55% based on the crude product). <sup>183</sup>W NMR (11.20 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, Na<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub>):  $\delta = -569.2$  ( $\Delta v_{1/2} = 3.9$  Hz); <sup>77</sup>Se NMR (51.30 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Se):  $\delta$  = 1168.9 ( $\Delta v_{1/2}$  = 3.7 Hz); UV/Vis (CH<sub>3</sub>CN) max ( $\epsilon$ ) 259 nm (1258 (mol of W)<sup>-1</sup>dm<sup>3</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>); IR (KCl): 972, 915, 884, 864, 847, 831, 779, 739, 698, 654, 593, 576, 520, 463, 393, 371 cm<sup>-1</sup>; Raman: v = 987, 974, 922, 884, 868, 838, 783, 599, 583, 542, 398, 336, 306, 264 cm<sup>-1</sup>; positive ion MS (CSI, CH<sub>3</sub>CN): m/z: 1398 [{ $(n-C_4H_9)_4N$ }\_3SeO<sub>4</sub>{WO(O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>; 2554 [{ $(n-C_4H_9)_4N$ }\_5{SeO<sub>4</sub>{WO(O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>; 3709  $[\{(n-C_4H_9)_4N\}_7\{SeO_4\{WO(O_2)_2\}_2\}_3]^+;$  elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{32}H_{72}N_2O_{14}SeW_2$  $([(n-C_4H_9)_4N]_2[SeO_4\{WO(O_2)_2\}_2])$ : C 33.26, H 6.28, N 2.42, Se 6.83, W 31.82; found: C 33.21, H 6.30, N 2.48, Se 6.53, W 31.21.

Synthesis and Characterization of  $[(n-C_6H_{13})_4N]_3[AsO_4\{WO(O_2)_2\}_4]$ . The tetra-*n*-hexylammonium salt derivative of  $[AsO_4\{WO(O_2)_2\}_4]^{3-}$  was synthesized according to the literature procedure.<sup>S2</sup> Yield: 2.41 g (43%). <sup>183</sup>W NMR (11.20 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K,

Na<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub>):  $\delta = -567.5 \ (\Delta v_{1/2} = 5.0 \text{ Hz})$ ; UV/Vis (CH<sub>3</sub>CN)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  ( $\epsilon$ ) 255.2 nm (1184 (mol of W)<sup>-1</sup>dm<sup>3</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>); IR (KCl): 978, 917, 892, 876, 845, 756, 729, 647, 590, 574, 520, 485, 435 cm<sup>-1</sup>; Raman: v = 990, 924, 900, 862, 843, 653, 597, 580, 534, 386, 336, 328, 303, 232 cm<sup>-1</sup>; positive ion MS (CSI, CH<sub>3</sub>CN): *m/z*: 2613 [{(*n*-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>13</sub>)<sub>4</sub>N}<sub>4</sub>AsO<sub>4</sub>{WO(O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup>; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C<sub>72</sub>H<sub>156</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>24</sub>AsW<sub>4</sub> ([(*n*-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>13</sub>)<sub>4</sub>N]<sub>3</sub>[AsO<sub>4</sub>{WO(O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>4</sub>]): C 38.29, H 6.96, N 1.86, As 3.32, W 32.56; found: C 38.06, H 6.99, N 1.80, As 3.13, W 32.39.

Synthesis and Characterization of  $[(n-C_4H_9)_4N]_2[SO_4\{WO(O_2)_2\}_2]$ : The tetra-*n*-butylammonium salt derivative of  $[SO_4\{WO(O_2)_2\}_2]^{2^-}$  was synthesized according to the literature procedure.<sup>S3</sup> Yield: 1.39 g (54%). <sup>183</sup>W NMR (11.20 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, Na<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub>):  $\delta = -587.2 \ (\Delta v_{1/2} = 2.6 \text{ Hz})$ ; UV/Vis (CH<sub>3</sub>CN)  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\epsilon$ ) 254.1 nm (1270 (mol of W)<sup>-1</sup>dm<sup>3</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>); IR (KCl): 988, 974, 962, 922, 887, 858, 850, 739, 679, 655, 646, 599, 594, 575, 540, 526, 487 cm<sup>-1</sup>; Raman: v = 994, 970, 915, 883, 865, 806, 750, 662, 600, 582, 547, 409, 336, 311, 289, 266 cm<sup>-1</sup>; positive ion MS (CSI, CH<sub>3</sub>CN): *m/z*: 1351 [ $\{(n-C_4H_9)_4N\}_3SO_4\{WO(O_2)_2\}_2$ ]<sup>+</sup>; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>72</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>14</sub>SW<sub>2</sub> ([ $(n-C_4H_9)_4N\}_2$ [SO<sub>4</sub>{WO(O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>]): C 34.67, H 6.55, N 2.53, W 33.16; found: C 34.69, H 6.59, N 2.44, W 34.16.

**Synthesis and Characterization of**  $[(n-C_6H_{13})_4N]_3[PO_4\{WO(O_2)_2\}_4]$ . The tetra-*n*-hexylammonium salt derivative of  $[PO_4\{WO(O_2)_2\}_4]^{3^-}$  was synthesized according to the literature procedure.<sup>S4</sup> Yield: 1.39 g (50%). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (109.25 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>):  $\delta = 4.5 (^2J_{W-P} = 18.5 \text{ Hz});$  <sup>183</sup>W NMR (11.20 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, Na<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub>):  $\delta = -588.2 (^2J_{W-P} = 18.4 \text{ Hz}, \Delta v_{1/2} = 7.3 \text{ Hz});$  UV/Vis (CH<sub>3</sub>CN)  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\epsilon$ ) 254.2 nm (1268 (mol of W)<sup>-1</sup>dm<sup>3</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>); IR (KCl): 977, 853, 843, 797, 757, 728, 660, 649, 603, 591, 573, 549, 525, 444 cm<sup>-1</sup>; Raman: v = 990, 864, 821, 655, 597, 580, 543, 391, 333, 305, 266, 237 cm<sup>-1</sup>; positive ion MS (CSI, CH<sub>3</sub>CN): *m/z*: 2569 [ $\{(n-C_6H_{13})_4N\}_4PO_4\{WO(O_2)_2\}_4]^+$ ; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C<sub>72</sub>H<sub>156</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>24</sub>PW<sub>4</sub> ([ $(n-C_6H_{13})_4N\}_3$ [PO<sub>4</sub>{WO(O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>4</sub>]): C 39.05, H 7.10, N 1.90, P 1.40, W 32.22; found: C 38.82, H 6.97, N 1.36, P 1.36, W 33.28.

**Synthesis** and Characterization of  $[(n-C_4H_9)_4N]_2[HAsO_4\{WO(O_2)_2\}_2].$ The tetra-*n*-butylammonium salt derivative of  $[HAsO_4{WO(O_2)_2}_2]^{2-}$  was synthesized according to the literature procedure.<sup>85</sup> Yield: 2.60 g (49%). <sup>183</sup>W NMR (11.20 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, Na<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub>):  $\delta = -603.9 \ (\Delta v_{1/2} = 5.0 \text{ Hz})$ ; UV/Vis (CH<sub>3</sub>CN)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  ( $\epsilon$ ) 252.1 nm (1330 (mol of W)<sup>-1</sup>dm<sup>3</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>); IR (KCl): v = 968, 932, 871, 843, 814, 777, 739, 664, 584, 575, 569, 527, 512,495, 457, 385, 366 cm<sup>-1</sup>, Raman: v = 983, 914, 889, 859, 821, 651, 580, 531, 464, 391, 315,  $cm^{-1};$ m/z: 264, 237 positive ion MS (CSI, CH<sub>3</sub>CN): 1395  $[\{(n-C_4H_9)_4N\}_3HAsO_4\{WO(O_2)_2\}_2]^+,$  $[\{(n-C_4H_9)_4N\}_5\{HAsO_4\{WO(O_2)_2\}_2\}_2]^+;$ 2548 elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{32}H_{73}N_2O_{14}AsW_2$  ([( $n-C_4H_9)_4N$ ]<sub>2</sub>[HAsO<sub>4</sub>{WO(O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>]): C 33.35, H 6.38, N 2.43, As 6.50, W 31.90; found: C 33.08 H 6.33, N 2.39, As 6.35, W 31.44. Synthesis and Characterization of  $[(n-C_4H_9)_4N]_2[HPO_4{WO(O_2)_2}_2].$ The tetra-*n*-butylammonium salt derivative of  $[HPO_4{WO(O_2)_2}_2]^{2-}$  was synthesized according to

the literature procedure.<sup>S6</sup> Yield: 1.70 g (60%). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (109.25 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>):  $\delta = 2.8$  (<sup>2</sup>J<sub>W-P</sub> = 17.3 Hz); <sup>183</sup>W NMR (11.20 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, Na<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub>):  $\delta = -626.4$  (<sup>2</sup>J<sub>W-P</sub> = 17.1 Hz,  $\Delta v_{1/2} = 5.8$  Hz); UV/Vis (CH<sub>3</sub>CN)  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\epsilon$ ) 251.5 nm (1294 (mol of W)<sup>-1</sup>dm<sup>3</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>); IR (KCl): 1019, 996, 963, 882, 853, 843, 800, 737, 646, 625, 584, 569, 538, 487 cm<sup>-1</sup>; Raman: v = 977, 915, 887, 862, 807, 652, 582, 536, 335, 319, 300, 262, 239 cm<sup>-1</sup>; positive ion MS (CSI, CH<sub>3</sub>CN): *m/z*: 1351 [(TBA)<sub>3</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>{WO(O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>73</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>14</sub>PW<sub>2</sub> ([(*n*-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>)<sub>4</sub>N]<sub>2</sub>[HPO<sub>4</sub>{WO(O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>]): C 34.67, H 6.64, N 2.53, P 2.79, W 33.17; found: C 34.50, H 6.54, N 2.33, P 2.75, W 33.48.

Synthesis and Characterization of  $[(n-C_4H_9)_4N]_2[Ph_2SiO_2\{WO(O_2)_2\}_2]$ . The tetra-*n*-butylammonium salt derivative of  $[Ph_2SiO_2\{WO(O_2)_2\}_2]^{2^-}$  was synthesized by the modification of the reported method; tetraphenylphosphonium was replaced by

tetra-*n*-butylammonium.<sup>S7</sup> Yield: 1.70 g (40%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 7.77$  (m, 4H, *m*-), 7.36 (m, 6H, *o*-, *p*-); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (67.80 MHz, ClCD<sub>2</sub>CD<sub>2</sub>Cl, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 135.5$ , 130.0, 128.3; <sup>29</sup>Si NMR (53.45 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = -34.6$  (<sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>Si-P</sub> = 2.9 Hz,  $\Delta v_{1/2} = 1.0$  Hz); <sup>183</sup>W NMR (11.20 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, Na<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub>):  $\delta = -651.6$  ( $\Delta v_{1/2} = 3.9$  Hz); IR (KCl): v = 974, 965, 933, 881, 850, 840, 746, 712, 701, 638, 582, 563, 505, 480, 437 cm<sup>-1</sup>; Raman: v = 1006, 983, 940, 915, 886, 858, 646, 626, 588, 573, 531, 518, 403, 341, 325, 306, 262, 204 cm<sup>-1</sup>; positive ion MS (CSI, CH<sub>3</sub>CN): *m/z*: 1469 [{(*n*-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>)<sub>4</sub>N}<sub>3</sub>PhSiO<sub>2</sub>{WO(O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C<sub>44</sub>H<sub>82</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>12</sub>SiW<sub>2</sub> ([(*n*-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>)<sub>4</sub>N]<sub>2</sub>[Ph<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>2</sub>{WO(O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>]): C 43.07, H 6.74, N 2.28, Si 2.29, W 29.97; found: C 43.00, H 6.89, N 2.08, Si 2.00, W 27.28.

Synthesis Characterization of  $[(n-C_4H_9)_4N]_2[\{WO(O_2)_2\}_2(\mu-O)].$ and The tetra-*n*-butylammonium salt derivative of  $[{WO(O_2)_2}_2(\mu-O)]^{2^-}$  was synthesized according to the literature procedure.<sup>S8</sup> Yield: 0.2 g (12%). <sup>183</sup>W NMR (11.20 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, Na<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub>):  $\delta = -587.5$  ( $\Delta v_{1/2} = 24.3$  Hz); IR (KCl): v = 962, 955, 848, 835, 655, 631, 615, 571, 554, 543, 524 cm<sup>-1</sup>; Raman: v = 970, 961, 858, 844, 801, 786, 662, 624, 597, 572, 470, 328,  $cm^{-1}$ ; positive ion 308. 275. MS (CSI, CH<sub>3</sub>CN): m/z: 259 1270.3  $[\{(n-C_4H_9)_4N\}_3\{WO(O_2)_2\}_2(\mu-O)]^+;$  elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{32}H_{72}N_2O_{11}W_2$  $([(n-C_4H_9)_4N]_2[\{WO(O_2)_2\}_2(\mu-O)])$ : C 37.37, H 7.06, N 2.72, W 35.75; found: C 37.24, H 7.13, N 2.69, W 35.28.

**Kinetic Derivation.** The selenium-containing dinuclear peroxotungstate I reacts with a sulfide, leading to a sulfoxide and the subsequent peroxo species II (eqn (S1)):

$$\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{R}}} \xrightarrow{k_1} \mathbf{II} + \overset{\mathbf{O}}{\overset{\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{R}}}_{\mathbf{R}}}$$
(S1)

Then, **I** is regenerated by the reaction of **II** with an  $H_2O_2$  (eqn (S2)):

$$\mathbf{II} + \mathbf{H}_2 \mathbf{O}_2 \xrightarrow{k_2} \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H}_2 \mathbf{O}$$
(S2)

From the steady-state approximation on I and II (eqns (S3) and (S4)) and the mass balance (eqn (S5)), the rate of the formation of sulfoxide can be expressed by (eqn (S6)):

$$\frac{d[\mathbf{I}]}{dt} = -k_1[\text{sulfide}][\mathbf{I}] + k_2[\mathbf{II}][\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] - k_{-2}[\mathbf{I}][\text{H}_2\text{O}] = 0$$
(S3)

$$\frac{d[\mathbf{II}]}{dt} = k_1[\text{sulfide}][\mathbf{I}] - k_2[\mathbf{II}][\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] + k_{-2}[\mathbf{I}][\text{H}_2\text{O}] = 0$$
(S4)

$$[\mathbf{I}]_{t} = [\mathbf{I}] + [\mathbf{II}]$$
(S5)

$$R_{0} = \frac{d[\text{sulfoxide}]}{dt} = \frac{k_{1}k_{2}[\mathbf{I}]_{t}[\text{sulfide}][\mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{O}_{2}]}{k_{1}[\text{sulfide}] + k_{2}[\mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{O}_{2}] + k_{-2}[\mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{O}]}$$
(S6)

When the  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ , and  $k_{-2}$  values in eqn (S6) are 9.3, 2.6, and  $2.0 \times 10^{-1}$  M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, the experimental data in Figures 4 and 5 could be fitted with a simulation curve calculated using eqn (S6). All the kinetic data were fitted with the Igor Pro ver. 5.05 program.

## Data of Products

**Methyl phenyl sulfoxide:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 2.64$  (s, 3H), 7.51–7.55 (m, 3H), 7.61–7.64 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 44.2, 124.3, 130.1, 131.6, 147.6;$  MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (%): 140 (100) [ $M^+$ ], 125 (100), 124 (25), 109 (13), 97 (62), 94 (18), 91 (14), 78 (15), 77 (51), 65 (16), 51 (45), 50 (19), 45 (11).

**Methyl phenyl sulfone:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 3.04$  (s, 3H), 7.59–7.70 (m, 3H), 7.91–7.93 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 44.4$ , 128.0, 130.2, 134.5, 141.9; MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (%): 156 (36) [ $M^+$ ], 141 (33), 94 (42), 77 (100), 51 (28).

**Methyl** *p***-methoxyphenyl sulfoxide:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 2.63 (s, 3H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 7.04–7.09 (m, 2H), 7.55–7.60 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 50.0, 58.7, 121.0, 129.2, 139.3, 165.3; MS (70 eV, EI): *m/z* (%): 170 (21) [*M*<sup>+</sup>], 155 (100), 154 (12), 139 (16), 123 (11).

**Methyl** *p***-methylphenyl sulfoxide:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.62 (s, 3H) 7.32–7.35 (m, 2H), 7.48–7.52 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 21.0, 43.4, 124.3, 130.6, 142.4, 124.8; MS (70 eV, EI): *m/z* (%): 154 (66) [*M*<sup>+</sup>], 139 (100), 138 (19), 111 (12), 91 (36), 77 (28), 67 (13), 65 (20), 63 (11).

*p*-Fluorophenyl methyl sulfoxide: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 2.66 (s, 3H), 7.23–7.33 (m, 2H), 7.65–7.71 (m, 2H); MS (70 eV, EI): *m/z* (%): 158 (58) [*M*<sup>+</sup>], 143 (100), 142 (18), 127 (16), 115 (41), 112 (14), 95 (27), 83 (19), 75 (23).

*p*-Chlorophenyl methyl sulfoxide: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 2.64 (s, 3H), 7.48–7.52 (m, 2H), 7.55–7.60 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 43.5, 126.1, 130.1, 137.1, 144.9; MS (70 eV, EI): *m/z* (%): 176 (17), 175 (11), 174 (48) [*M*<sup>+</sup>], 161 (34), 160 (28), 159 (100), 158 (54), 145 (12), 143 (39), 131 (36), 128 (18), 127 (12), 125 (12), 112 (15), 111 (22), 108 (32), 76 (11), 75 (35), 74 (17), 69 (14), 63 (11), 50 (24), 45 (32).

*p*-Bromophenyl methyl sulfoxide: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 2.63 (s, 3H), 7.48–7.53 (m, 2H), 7.63–7.68 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 43.4, 125.4, 126.3, 133.0, 145.5; MS (70 eV, EI): *m/z* (%): 281 (19), 220 (62) [*M*<sup>+</sup>], 218 (67), 205 (98), 204 (100), 203 (92), 202 (77), 189 (28), 187 (28), 177 (26), 175 (20), 171 (24), 131 (23), 122 (20), 108 (72), 96 (46), 86 (11), 82 (21), 77 (29), 76 (47), 75 (27), 74 (34), 69 (25), 63 (23), 59 (10), 58 (18), 56 (21), 51 (28), 50 (56), 45 (14), 43 (15).

**4-(Methylsulfinyl)-acetophenone:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 2.57$  (s, 3H), 2.70 (s, 3H), 7.69–7.73 (m, 2H), 8.05–8.08 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 26.8, 43.2, 124.5, 129.6, 139.5, 151.1$  198.7; MS (70 eV, EI): *m/z* (%): 182, (63) [*M*<sup>+</sup>], 168 (10), 167 (100), 152 (73), 151 (12), 139 (22), 124 (12), 121 (13), 76 (12), 50 (13).

**4-Methyl sulfinyl benzonitrile:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 2.68 (s, 3H), 7.52–7.59 (m, 2H), 7.81–7.86 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 43.1, 114.5, 118.5, 125.0, 133.7, 151.7; MS (70 eV, EI): *m/z* (%): 165 (89) [*M*<sup>+</sup>], 150 (100), 149 (24), 122 (60), 119 (18), 116 (13), 102 (29), 90 (11), 76 (16), 75 (26), 51 (14), 50 (13), 45 (10).

*p*-Nitrophenyl methyl sulfoxides: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 2.73$  (s, 3H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 8.34 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 43.8$ , 125.1, 125.8, 150.1, 152.8, 150.3, 154.5; MS (70 eV, EI): *m/z* (%): 185 (100) [*M*<sup>+</sup>], 170 (45), 140 (22), 124 (12), 112 (14), 96 (10), 92 (10), 84 (10), 76 (17), 75 (11), 63 (14).

**Ethyl phenyl sulfoxide:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 1.08$  (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 2.66–2.97 (m, 2H), 7.51–7.62 (m, 5H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 5.8$ , 50.1, 124.8, 130.0, 131.7, 143.5; MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (%):154 (26) [ $M^+$ ], 126 (64), 125 (17), 97 (12), 78 (100), 77 (21), 51 (22).

**Benzyl methyl sulfoxide:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  2.40 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 3.8–4.0 (m, 2H), 7.2–7.4 (m, 5H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 37.3, 59.4, 128.7, 129.2, 130.8, 131.3; MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (%):154 (1) [ $M^+$ ], 106 (1), 105 (2), 92 (8), 91 (100), 89 (2), 78 (1), 77 (7), 65 (10), 63 (2), 51 (5).

**Diphenyl sulfoxide:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 7.43-7.53$  (m, 6H), 7.65–7.65 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 125.1$ , 130.3, 132.0, 147.3; MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (%): 203 (15)  $[M^++1]$ , 202 (100)  $[M^+]$ , 186 (14), 185 (22), 174 (15), 173 (21), 155 (11), 154 (83), 153 (23), 152 (12), 141 (13), 125 (11), 109 (84), 97 (36), 77 (48), 65 (33).

**Diphenyl sulfone:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 7.54-7.65$  (m, 6H), 7.93-7.95 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 128.3$ , 130.5, 134.4, 142.5; MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (%): 218 (28)  $[M^+]$ , 153 (4), 152 (6), 127 (5), 126 (8), 125 (100), 97 (17), 77 (35), 51 (25).

**Methyl** *n***-octyl sulfoxide:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 0.97$  (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 1.60–1.69 (m, 12H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.61–2.68 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 14.1$ , 22.9, 23.0, 29.0, 29.4, 29.5, 32.2, 38.1, 52.3; MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (%): 177 (10) [ $M^+$ +1], 112 (11), 107 (17), 94 (13), 91 (13), 85 (11), 84 (17), 83 (27), 82 (13), 81 (100), 80 (25), 79 (11), 77 (10), 71 (41), 70 (20), 69 (24), 57 (63), 56 (29), 55 (30), 53 (10), 43 (48), 42 (10), 41 (50).

**Methyl** *n***-octyl sulfone:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 0.86$  (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 3H), 1.27–1.78 (m, 12H), 2.83 (s, 3H), 2.96–3.02 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 14.1, 22.9, 23.0, 28.7, 29.4, 29.5, 32.2, 40.5, 54.8;$  MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (%): 193 (3) [ $M^+$ +1], 177 (6), 175 (4), 112 (11), 107 (11), 84 (12), 83 (21), 81 (100), 80 (24), 71 (49), 70 (17), 69 (21), 57 (64), 56 (23), 55 (34).

**Ethyl** *n***-propyl sulfoxide:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 1.03$  (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 1.22 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 1.63–1.78 (m, 2H), 2.56–2.74 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 6.7$ , 13.2, 16.6, 45.4, 53.2; MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (%): 120 (43) [ $M^+$ ], 103 (13), 78 (100), 77 (12), 63 (51), 50 (21), 43 (82), 41 (58).

**Diallyl sulfoxide:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 3.32-3.54$  (m, 4H), 5.31–5.40 (m, 4H), 5.77–5.92 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 54.6, 123.6, 127.1$ ; MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (%): 130 (16) [ $M^+$ ], 113 (5), 100 (12), 89 (8), 82 (16), 81 (100), 80 (23), 79 (14), 73 (12), 68 (33), 67 (12).

**Diallyl sulfones:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 3.74 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz 2H), 5.39–5.47 (m, 2H), 5.79–5.95 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 56.6, 124.9, 125.8; MS (70 eV, EI): *m/z* (%): 146 (0.1) [*M*<sup>+</sup>], 105 (6), 97(2), 82 (2), 81 (20), 79 (2), 68 (6), 67 (100), 54 (49).

**Di(2-hydroxylethyl) sulfoxide:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 2.93-3.09$  (m, 2H), 3.89 (t, J = 4.9 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 55.2$ , 123.4, 127.7; MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (%): 138 (3) [ $M^+$ ], 104 (3), 96 (4), 94 (70), 91 (3), 76 (100), 63 (44), 61 (14).

**Phenyl vinyl sulfoxide**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 5.83 (d, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 6.09 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 4.9, 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.36–7.62 (m, 5H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 120.7, 125.2, 130.4, 132.0, 144.3, 144.7; MS (70 eV,

EI): *m/z* (%): 152 (19) [*M*<sup>+</sup>], 136 (8), 124 (13), 123 (16), 109 (31), 104 (100), 97 (14), 91 (11), 78 (42), 77 (31), 65 (15), 51 (32), 50 (11).

**Phenyl vinyl sulfone:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 6.10$  (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 6.37 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (d, J = 5.0, 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.62–7.73 (m, 2H), 7.85–7.89 (m, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 128.3$ , 129.0, 130.3, 134.6, 139.2, 140.5; MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (%): 168 (21)  $[M^+]$ , 125 (100), 97 (13), 78 (11), 77 (100), 65 (12), 51 (35).

**Tetrahydrothiophene sulfoxide:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 1.91–2.22 (m, 4H), 2.7–2.90 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 25.9, 54.9; MS (70 eV, EI): *m/z* (%): 104 (61) [*M*<sup>+</sup>], 87 (5), 76 (3), 63 (29), 60 (4), 59 (4), 56 (7), 55 (100).

**2-Mehytltetrahydrothiophene 1-oxide:** <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS): *cis*-isomer:  $\delta = 11.6$ , 25.3, 32.9, 55.3, 58.9; *trans*-isomer:  $\delta = 15.0$ , 25.2, 34.5, 53.0, 65.1; MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (%): 118 (83)  $[M^+]$ , 101, (19), 87 (8), 69 (100), 68 (24), 67 (23), 63 (74), 59 (12), 56 (30), 55 (32).

**Tetrahydrothiopyran 1-oxide:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 1.48-1.66$  (m, 4 H), 2.00–2.17 (m, 2 H), 2.60–2.87 (m, 4 H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 19.4$ , 25.0, 52.4; MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (%): 118 (77) [ $M^+$ ], 101 (24), 90 (19), 69 (100), 68 (22), 67 (22), 63 (68), 56 (21), 55 (32).

**Dibenzothiophene 5,5-dioxide:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 7.81 (d, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz), 7.78 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 7.62 (dt, 2H, J = 7.4 and 0.8 Hz), 7.51 (dt, 2H, J = 7.6 and 0.5 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 122.1, 122.7, 130.9, 132.1, 134.4, 138.3; MS (70 eV, EI): *m/z* (%): 217 (15), 216 (100), 188 (10), 187 (36), 171 (13), 168 (32), 160 (27), 150 (14), 144 (17), 139 (25), 136 (31), 115 (18), 104 (15), 79 (16), 75 (10), 63 (12).

**1,4-Oxathiane 4-oxide:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 2.51-2.65$  (m, 2H), 2.88–2.98 (m, 2H), 3.68–3.76 (m, 2H), 4.15–4.24 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 59.9$ , 47.0; MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (%):120 (40)  $[M^+]$ , 104 (2), 94 (5), 93 (3), 92 (100), 77 (27), 76 (21), 63 (15), 62 (5), 61 (4), 60 (3), 59 (24), 58 (3), 57 (3), 50 (8). **1,3-Dithiolane 1-oxide:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 2.75-2.81$  (m, 1H), 3.35–3.45 (m, 2H), 3.69–3.92 (m, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 55.4$ , 57.5, 78.9; MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (%): 124 (10), 123 (6), 122 (100)  $[M^+]$ , 94 (8), 78 (8), 77 (5), 76 (11), 74 (6), 73 (29), 63 (8), 60 (33), 59 (17), 58 (5).

**1,3-Dithiane 1-oxide:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 2.00-2.14$  (m, 1H), 2.40–2.67 (m, 4H), 3.16–3.25 (m, 1H), 3.64 (d, J = 12.7 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (d, J = 12.7 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 52.8$ , 50.1, 28.3, 27.4; MS (70 eV, EI): *m/z* (%):138 (9), 137 (8), 136 (100) [*M*<sup>+</sup>], 119 (12), 106 (25), 90 (50), 89 (6), 87 (50), 74 (11), 73 (39), 72 (8), 63 (9), 62 (5), 61 (31), 60 (27), 59 (14), 57 (5).

**Thianthrene 5-oxide (SSO):** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  7.44 (dt, 2H, J = 1.2, 7.6 Hz), 7.54 (dt, 2H, J = 1.2, 7.6 Hz), 7.62 (dd, 2H, J = 1.2, 7.6 Hz), 7.92 (dd, 2H, J = 1.2, 7.6 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C {<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 124.3$ , 128.2, 128.8, 129.7, 141.2; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>8</sub>OS<sub>2</sub>: C 62.04, H 3.47; found: C 61.92, H 3.65.

**Thianthrene 5,5-dioxide (SSO<sub>2</sub>):** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 7.50–7.59 (m, 4H), 7.63–7.68 (m, 2H), 8.19–8.25 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C {<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 125.5, 127.7, 128.7, 132.0, 135.1, 135.3.

*cis*-Thianthrene 5,10-dioxide (*cis*-SOSO): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 7.69–7.76 (m, 4H), 8.04–8.11 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C {<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 123.7, 130.8, 138.4.

*trans*-Thianthrene 5,10-dioxide (*trans*-SOSO): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 7.63–7.70 (m, 4H), 8.08–8.14 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C {<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta$  = 127.8, 131.4, 142.8.

**Thianthrene 5,5,10-trioxide (SOSO<sub>2</sub>):** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 7.67-7.73$  (m, 2H), 7.73-7.84 (m, 2H), 8.12-8.21 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C {<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (67.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K, TMS):  $\delta = 125.0$ , 126.1, 130.7, 133.0, 134.1, 147.8.

## References

- S1 K. Kamata, T. Hirano, S. Kuzuya and N. Mizuno, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 6997; K. Kamata, T. Hirano and N. Mizuno, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 3958; K. Kamata, R. Ishimoto, T. Hirano, S. Kuzuya, K. Uehara and N. Mizuno, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 2471.
- S2 J.-Y. Piquemal, L. Salles, G. Chottard, P. Herson, C. Ahcine, J.-M. Brégeault, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, 939.
- S3 J.-Y. Piquemal, L. Salles, C. Bois, F. Robert, J.-M. Brégeault, C. R. Acad. Sci., Série II, 1994, 1481.
- S4 C. Venturello, R. D'Aloisio, J. C. J. Bart, M. Ricci, J. Mol. Catal., 1985, 32, 107.
- S5 L. Salles, F. Robert, V. Semmer, Y. Jeannin, J.-M. Brégeault, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, 1996, **133**, 319.
- S6 L. Salles, C. Aubry, R. Thouvenot, F. Robert, C. Dorémieux-Morin, G. Chottard, H. Ledon, Y. Jeannin, J.-M. Brégeault, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1994, **33**, 871.
- S7 J.-Y. Piquemal, C. Bois, J.-M. Brégeault, Chem. Commun., 1997, 473.
- S8 K. Kamata, S. Kuzuya, K. Uehara, S. Yamaguchi, N. Mizuno, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, 46, 3768.

		5			Ō	O O
ſi	∕	catalys	st		~S+	S_
		CH <sub>3</sub> CN, 293 I	<, 30 min		•	
entry	catalyst		yield (%)	selectivity (%)		$R_0 (\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{min}^{-1})$
				sulfoxide	sulfone	-
1	Ι		90	95	5	25.0
2	without		<1	_	_	_
$3^b$	$H_2SeO_4$		1	99	1	< 0.1
4 <sup><i>c</i></sup>	SeO <sub>2</sub>		12	93	7	0.4
5	$H_2WO_4$		1	44	56	< 0.1
6	$H_2SeO_4 + H_2V$	VO <sub>4</sub>	4	92	8	0.2
7	(TBA) <sub>2</sub> [{WO	$(O_2)_2\}_2(\mu-O)]$	45	87	13	3.1
8	$(TBA)_2[SO_4{$	$WO(O_2)_2\}_2]$	73	92	8	16.0
$9^d$	(THA) <sub>3</sub> [AsO <sub>4</sub>	$\{WO(O_2)_2\}_4]$	83	92	8	15.8
$10^d$	(THA) <sub>3</sub> [PO <sub>4</sub> {	$WO(O_2)_2\}_4]$	61	92	8	7.7
11	(TBA) <sub>2</sub> [HAsC	$O_4 \{WO(O_2)_2\}_2]$	61	87	13	4.1
12	(TBA) <sub>2</sub> [HPO <sub>4</sub>	$\{WO(O_2)_2\}_2]$	52	89	11	3.7
13	(TBA) <sub>2</sub> [Ph <sub>2</sub> Si	$O_2\{WO(O_2)_2\}_2]$	11	86	14	0.5

**Table S1** Effect of catalysts on oxidation of thioanisole with  $H_2O_2^a$ 

<sup>*a*</sup> Reaction conditions: Catalyst (W: 0.2 mol% relative to thioanisole and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), thioanisole (1 mmol), 30% aqueous H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (1 mmol), CH<sub>3</sub>CN (6 mL), 293 K, 30 min. Yield was determined by GC.  $R_0$  values were determined from the reaction profiles at low conversions ( $\leq 20\%$ ) of both thioanisole and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. <sup>*b*</sup> H<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (0.1 mol%). <sup>*c*</sup> SeO<sub>2</sub> (0.1 mol%). <sup>*d*</sup> THA = [(*n*-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>13</sub>)<sub>4</sub>N]<sup>+</sup>.

	PS. +	$H_2O_2$	<b>&gt;</b>		0=0	+ H <sub>2</sub> O	
	Γ <sub>1</sub> Γ <sub>2</sub>	L - L	CH <sub>3</sub> CN, 293 K		$R_1 \sim R_2$	_	
entry	substra	te P-	time (min)	yield (%)	selectivity to sulfoxide(%)	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> efficiency (%)	
1		1 <u>12</u>	120	00	00		
<b>1</b>	PII	Ma	240	99	90	99	
2		Me	240	98	93	98	
3	$4-CH_3OC_6H_4$	Me	120	>99	90	>99	
4	$4-CH_3C_6H_4$	Me	120	98	93	98	
5	$4\text{-FC}_6\text{H}_4$	Me	120	>99	88	>99	
6	$4-ClC_6H_4$	Me	120	99	87	99	
7	$4-BrC_6H_4$	Me	120	99	87	99	
8	$4\text{-}\mathrm{COMeC_6H_4}$	Me	120	99	85	99	
9	$4-CNC_6H_4$	Me	200	>99	81	>99	
10	$4-NO_2C_6H_4$	Me	210	>99	78	>99	
$11^{b}$	$4-NO_2C_6H_4$	Me	800	96	99	96	
12	Ph	Et	100	>99	82	>99	
13 <sup>b</sup>	Ph	Et	100	98	96	98	
14	Bn	Me	120	>99	94	>99	
15	Ph	Ph	210	97	81	97	
16 <sup><i>c</i></sup>	Ph	Ph	720	98	85	98	
$17^d$	Ph	Ph	120	>99	98	>99	
18	$n-C_8H_{17}$	Me	120	96	94	96	
19 <sup><i>d</i></sup>	<i>n</i> -C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub>	Et	120	98	95	98	
20	<i>n</i> -C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub>	Et	60	97	90	97	
21	allyl	allyl	120	>99	86	>99	
$22^b$	allyl	allyl	150	>99	94	>99	
$23^d$	allyl	allyl	90	99	99	99	
24	$\mathrm{HOC}_{2}\mathrm{H}_{4}$	$\mathrm{HOC}_{2}\mathrm{H}_{4}$	60	95	99	95	
25	Ph	vinyl	240	95	86	95	
26 <sup><i>d</i></sup>	Ph	vinyl	330	97	95	97	

Table S2Oxidation of various acyclic sulfides with 30% aqueous  $H_2O_2$  catalyzed by  $I^a$ IO

<sup>*a*</sup> Reaction conditions: **I** (0.1 mol% relative to substrate and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), sulfide (1 mmol), 30% aqueous H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (1 mmol), CH<sub>3</sub>CN (6 mL), 293 K. Yield and selectivity were determined by GC and NMR. Remaining H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> after reaction was estimated by potential difference titration of Ce<sup>3+</sup>/Ce<sup>4+</sup> (0.1 M of aqueous Ce(NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O. Yield (%) = (sulfoxide (mol) + sulfone (mol) × 2)/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> used (mol) × 100. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> efficiency (%) = (sulfoxide (mol) + sulfone (mol) × 2)/consumed H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (mol) × 100. <sup>*b*</sup> I (0.01 mol%), CH<sub>3</sub>CN (1 mL). <sup>*c*</sup> I (0.02 mol%), CH<sub>3</sub>CN (1 mL). <sup>*d*</sup> 30% aqueous H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (2 mmol), 323 K.

Α				
atom	x (Å)	y (Å)	z (Å)	
0	-1.18529	-0.50772	-0.62061	
0	-3.09479	0.564621	1.17572	
0	-2.46755	1.710327	-1.40224	
0	-2.43366	2.841782	-0.44725	
0	-0.92539	2.291931	1.719559	
0	-0.24229	0.993698	1.678619	
0	1.317535	-0.81384	0.423508	
0	3.245773	1.009048	-0.56622	
0	2.6232	0.666016	2.23494	
0	2.606995	2.128464	2.00177	
0	1.100357	2.795883	-0.13286	
0	0.400436	1.671921	-0.76569	
0	0.569595	-2.19058	-1.86636	
Se	0.059036	-1.6969	-0.38524	
W	-1.744	1.206207	0.332855	
W	1.899857	1.139587	0.491348	
0	-0.46275	-2.87543	0.633026	

 Table S3
 Cartesian coordinates (in Å) of the calculated structures

Charge = -2; E = -1197.99074 hartree.

В				
atom	x (Å)	y (Å)	z (Å)	
0	0.004868	-0.087006	2.656113	
0	0.004868	2.796204	2.656113	
0	-2.523773	1.127975	2.656113	
0	-2.572662	2.189880	1.600571	
0	-0.021255	1.495209	0.181183	
0	1.104782	-1.068832	0.321472	
0	-0.510773	-2.079575	-1.768738	
0	1.191132	0.214996	-2.098953	
0	-0.123077	0.609268	-2.656128	
0	-2.153442	0.062851	-0.903564	
0	-1.684479	-0.500168	0.369278	
0	0.377579	-2.796204	2.387146	
Se	1.062851	-1.349579	2.024643	
W	-0.783691	1.527939	1.786942	
W	-0.307587	-0.515839	-1.085632	
0	2.572662	-1.088455	2.617554	

Table S3 (Continued)

Charge = -2; E = -1122.83923274 hartree.

TS1							
atom	x (Å)	y (Å)	z (Å)	displacement vector of the imaginary frequency mode atom			
				Х	у	Z	
0	2.410932	0.522364	0.018467	-0.05	-0.01	0.05	
0	1.658988	2.786097	-1.255406	0.00	0.01	0.00	
0	1.147585	2.510844	1.555270	0.00	-0.02	0.00	
0	-0.118853	3.010265	0.973979	0.01	-0.01	0.00	
0	-0.891264	1.640146	-1.212806	0.02	-0.01	0.00	
0	-0.083987	0.448653	-1.517136	0.02	-0.03	0.06	
0	1.166511	-1.876033	-0.425450	0.00	0.05	0.04	
0	-1.042322	-2.722839	1.164591	0.02	-0.01	-0.01	
0	-1.015812	-2.325989	-1.721182	0.00	0.00	0.02	
0	-2.255664	-1.709423	-1.229990	-0.01	0.01	0.03	
0	-0.087400	0.014877	1.047932	-0.22	0.14	0.18	
0	2.824954	-1.564681	1.819551	-0.01	0.00	0.00	
Se	2.628983	-1.187023	0.231888	0.02	-0.01	0.00	
W	0.775364	1.748297	-0.203852	0.01	0.02	-0.01	
W	-0.782226	-1.457556	0.031120	-0.01	-0.04	-0.03	
0	3.851153	-1.656266	-0.764979	0.00	0.01	0.00	
0	-1.930923	-0.025467	0.840844	0.81	0.06	0.11	
С	-3.843077	1.728738	1.443915	0.01	0.00	-0.01	
Se	-3.989254	0.162575	0.533153	-0.31	0.03	-0.02	
С	-3.967935	0.789275	-1.174586	-0.06	0.03	-0.01	
Н	-3.859607	1.483902	2.508123	-0.01	0.00	0.00	
Н	-4.676188	2.396229	1.200633	0.11	0.15	0.07	
Н	-2.874601	2.172858	1.197200	0.05	-0.07	0.03	
Н	-3.951208	-0.086663	-1.824516	-0.09	0.04	-0.02	
Н	-3.036972	1.344423	-1.319171	-0.01	-0.05	0.01	
Н	-4.856102	1.403556	-1.360231	0.04	0.12	-0.17	

Table S3	(Continued)
TS1	

Charge = -2; E = -1675.9902245 hartree.

TS2						
atom	x (Å)	y (Å)	z (Å)	displacement vect	tor of the imaginary	y frequency
			_	mode atom		
				Х	у	Z
0	2.705419	0.517712	-0.269942	-0.05	-0.01	0.05
0	1.801397	2.438047	-1.946321	0.00	0.01	-0.01
0	1.439550	2.749359	0.887151	0.00	-0.02	0.00
0	0.126819	3.075674	0.284802	0.01	-0.01	0.00
0	-0.695502	1.243291	-1.511269	0.02	-0.01	0.00
0	0.150319	0.042588	-1.603332	0.02	-0.01	0.06
0	1.546104	-1.956460	-0.072610	0.00	0.06	0.03
0	-0.593413	-2.489273	1.733217	0.02	-0.02	-0.01
0	-0.647269	-2.725306	-1.170312	0.00	0.00	0.02
0	-1.893348	-2.047391	-0.791534	-0.01	0.01	0.04
0	0.288995	0.176063	0.990976	-0.22	0.17	0.15
0	3.271370	-1.064030	1.956594	-0.01	0.00	0.00
Se	3.002446	-1.084405	0.335834	0.02	0.00	0.00
W	1.016625	1.618241	-0.652945	0.00	0.02	-0.02
W	-0.399162	-1.493951	0.347002	0.00	-0.05	-0.02
0	4.203836	-1.737559	-0.577826	0.00	0.00	-0.01
0	-1.559294	0.064345	0.872738	0.83	0.07	0.07
С	-4.942273	-1.922755	-1.967923	-0.01	-0.01	-0.02
С	-5.047575	-0.659948	-1.534784	-0.01	0.00	-0.02
С	-3.868802	0.194818	-1.177430	-0.05	0.02	0.00
S	-3.625393	0.249857	0.661983	-0.31	0.05	0.00
0	-3.319045	2.058783	0.898861	0.03	-0.01	-0.02
0	-3.197077	2.398571	2.353656	0.01	-0.01	-0.01
0	-2.028415	2.698629	2.932088	-0.01	0.01	0.02
Н	-5.826658	-2.510901	-2.204924	-0.01	-0.03	0.02
Н	-3.968644	-2.398313	-2.058534	-0.01	0.00	-0.04
Н	-6.034825	-0.213156	-1.401099	-0.02	-0.03	0.03
Н	-4.001420	1.230350	-1.504883	-0.10	0.01	-0.05
Н	-2.933767	-0.192789	-1.585344	-0.05	0.06	-0.02
Н	-4.162475	2.583350	0.432842	0.13	0.15	-0.02
Н	-2.387871	2.267531	0.365695	0.07	-0.05	0.05
Н	-4.115650	2.372250	2.943194	0.00	-0.04	-0.02
Н	-1.977785	2.932808	3.993665	-0.03	0.00	0.02
Н	-1.100818	2.698411	2.363606	-0.01	0.05	0.04

Table S3 (Continued)TS2

Charge = -2; E = -1830.786619 hartree.

TS3						
atom	x (Å)	y (Å)	z (Å)	displacement vect	tor of the imaginary	r frequency
				mode atom		
				Х	у	Z
0	3.162309	0.489702	-0.197616	-0.04	-0.01	-0.02
0	3.629778	-1.893904	1.056583	0.00	0.00	0.00
0	2.855387	-1.814118	-1.712462	-0.01	0.01	0.00
0	2.004031	-2.854438	-1.093400	0.00	0.01	0.00
0	0.821474	-2.003393	1.179673	0.01	0.01	0.00
0	1.050856	-0.588073	1.506114	0.00	0.01	-0.04
0	1.022151	2.056737	0.453366	0.02	-0.03	-0.03
0	-1.512580	1.798497	-0.813851	0.02	0.02	0.02
0	-0.927937	1.411030	2.012431	0.00	0.00	-0.02
0	-1.783406	0.291789	1.604531	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01
0	-1.032372	-0.991805	-0.702853	0.68	0.35	-0.14
0	0.592422	-0.192548	-1.008700	-0.14	-0.17	-0.14
0	2.400407	2.524075	-1.944340	0.00	-0.01	0.00
Se	2.569280	2.110179	-0.364327	0.01	0.01	0.00
W	2.308406	-1.354466	0.098309	0.01	-0.01	0.01
W	-0.539153	0.790543	0.185350	-0.02	0.02	0.02
0	3.532358	3.090976	0.536226	0.00	0.00	0.00
С	-2.139250	-2.221840	0.127105	-0.41	-0.25	0.08
С	-3.090622	-1.691949	-0.719873	-0.10	-0.15	0.08
С	-4.003386	-0.567651	-0.365413	-0.02	-0.03	0.00
S	-5.774978	-1.157118	-0.365536	-0.01	0.01	0.00
С	-6.615010	0.475172	-0.136945	-0.01	0.00	0.00
С	-8.049957	0.387385	-0.554217	0.00	0.01	0.00
С	-9.097307	0.544272	0.264859	0.00	0.00	0.00
Н	-1.581014	-3.106753	-0.152969	0.00	0.06	-0.08
Н	-2.086935	-1.928802	1.170309	-0.11	-0.03	0.00
Η	-3.150704	-2.069577	-1.737977	-0.03	-0.04	0.03
Η	-3.934961	0.232924	-1.109779	0.03	-0.05	-0.01
Η	-3.759824	-0.157983	0.616559	-0.03	-0.02	0.00
Η	-6.080581	1.197818	-0.765194	-0.01	0.00	0.00
Н	-6.526637	0.796914	0.905484	-0.01	0.01	0.00
Н	-8.222908	0.164235	-1.607380	0.00	0.00	0.00
Н	-10.119472	0.468690	-0.097320	-0.01	-0.01	0.00
Н	-8.963372	0.752109	1.324426	0.00	0.00	0.00

Table S3	(Continued)
те2	

Charge = -2; E = -1830.777874 hartree.



**Figure S1** Transition-state structures and the corresponding activation barriers for the oxidation of dimethyl sulfide (bond lengths in Å). Orange, gray, red, black, and light blue balls represent selenium, tungsten, oxygen, carbon, and hydrogen atoms, respectively.



**Figure S2** Reaction profiles for the (a) catalytic and (b, c, and d) stoichiometric oxidation of diphenyl sulfide by **I**. (a) Reaction conditions: **I** (0.31 mM), diphenyl sulfide (3.12 mM), 30% aqueous  $H_2O_2$  (3.12 mM) CH<sub>3</sub>CN (3.2 mL), 233 K. (b) Reaction conditions: **I** (0.31 mM), diphenyl sulfide (3.12 mM), CH<sub>3</sub>CN (3.2 mL), 233 K. (c) After 4 min (i.e., one equivalent of the active oxygen with respect to **I** was transferred), 30% aqueous  $H_2O_2$  (3.12 mM) was added as indicated by an arrow. (d) After 100 min (i.e., two equivalents of the active oxygen with respect to **I** were transferred), 30% aqueous  $H_2O_2$  (3.12 mM) was added as indicated by an arrow. Yield and selectivity were determined by LC. [Products] (mM) = ([sulfoxide] + 2×[sulfone]) (mM).



**Figure S3** Dependence of the reaction rate on the concentration of I: I (0.04–0.50 mM), thioanisole (50 mM), H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (50 mM), H<sub>2</sub>O (250 mM), CH<sub>3</sub>CN (6 mL), 273 K.  $R_0$  values were determined from the reaction profiles at low conversions (<20%) of both thioanisole and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Slope = 1.08 (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.99).