# **Supporting Information**

## **Copper-Catalyzed Oxidative Esterification of Aldehydes with Dialkyl**

## **Peroxides: Efficient Synthesis of Esters of Tertiary Alcohols**

Yefeng Zhu and Yunyang Wei\*

School of Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, China; E-mail: <u>ywei@mail.njust.edu.cn</u>



## Table of Contents

1.	General considerations
2.	Preparation of Mannich bases L6-L9 and Imine L13
3.	General procedure for oxidative esterification reaction and reaction condition
	screenings
4.	Characterization date of esters tertiary alcohols
5.	<sup>1</sup> H NMR and <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra
6.	References

#### 1. General considerations

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were obtained with TMS as internal standard in CDCl<sub>3</sub> using a Bruker DRX 500 (500 MHz) spectrometer. Spectra were referenced internally to the residual proton resonance in CDCl<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta$  7.26 ppm), or with tetramethylsilane (TMS,  $\delta$ 7.26 ppm) as the internal standard. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) were reported as part per million (ppm) in  $\delta$  scale downfield from TMS. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were referenced to  $CDCl_3$  ( $\delta$  77.0 ppm, the middle peak). Coupling constants (J) were reported in Hertz (Hz). Gas chromatography (GC) analysis was performed on an Agilent GC-6820 chromatograph equipped with a 30 m  $\times$  0.32 mm  $\times$  0.5 µm HP-Innowax capillary column and aflame ionization detector. GC-MS spectra were recorded on Thermo Trace DSQ GC-MS spectrometer using a TRB-5MS (30 m  $\times$  0.25 mm  $\times$  0.25µm) column. Melting points were determined on a Yamato melting apparatus Model MP-21. Progress of the reactions was followed by TLC (silica gel polygrams SIL G/UV 254 plates). Column chromatography was performed using Silicycle (40-60 mm) silica gel. The chemicals were purchased from commercial suppliers (Shanghai Chemical Company, China) and were used without purification prior to use.

#### 2. Preparation of Mannich base L6 and imine L9

Mannich bases **L6** were prepared from their corresponding phenols, formaldehyde and amines according to the literature method without modifications.<sup>[1]</sup>

Imine **L13** was prepared from 2,4-phentanedione and 2,6-dimethylbenzenamine according to the literature method without modifications.<sup>[2]</sup>

#### 3. General procedure for oxidative esterification reaction

A mixture of aldehyde **1** (0.5 mmol), DTBP (0.3 mL) or other peroxide (1.5 mmol), CuBr (14.4 mg, 0.1 mmol, 20 mol%) and imine **L13** (30.6 mg, 0.1 mmol, 20 mol%) in *n*-hexane (3 mL) was sealed in a Teflon septum screw-capped tube under N<sub>2</sub>. The mixture was stirred in an oil bath at 90 °C for 5h. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1:20 as an eluent) to afford the desire esters of tertiary alcohols **3**.

## Table S1 Ligand survey for copper-catalyzed direct esterification of

benzaldehyde with DTPB<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: A mixture of benzaldehyde (**1a**, 0.5 mmol), CuBr (20 mol%), ligand (20 mol%) and DTBP (**2a**, 0.3 mL) in *n*-hexane (3 mL) was stirred at 90 °C for 5 h under N<sub>2</sub>. <sup>b</sup> Yield determined by GC.

<sup>c</sup> Isolated yield.

## Table S2 Optimization of reaction conditions for the preparation of 3a in

O H	+ Oxidant –	[Cu] (20 mol%) L13 (20 mol%)		
	Children	solvent, 90 °C		
1a	2		3a	L13
Entry	[Cu]	Oxidant	Solvent	Yield <sup>b</sup> (%)
1		DTBP	<i>n</i> -hexane	0
2	CuI	DTBP	<i>n</i> -hexane	82
3	CuCl	DTBP	<i>n</i> -hexane	85
4	CuBr	DTBP	n-hexane	87
5	CuBr	DTBP	<i>n</i> -hexane	67 <sup>d</sup>
6	Cu <sub>2</sub> O	DTBP	<i>n</i> -hexane	27
7	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	DTBP	<i>n</i> -hexane	0
8	$CuCl_2$	DTBP	<i>n</i> -hexane	78
9	$Cu(acac)_2$	DTBP	<i>n</i> -hexane	0
10	CuBr	DTBP	toluene	<5 <sup>c</sup>
11	CuBr	DTBP	ClCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> Cl	$<5^{\circ}$
12	CuBr	DTBP	cyclohexane	<5 <sup>c</sup>
13	CuBr	DTBP	1,4-dioxane	0
14	CuBr	TBHP	<i>n</i> -hexane	$<5^{\circ}$
15	CuBr	<i>t</i> -butyl perbenzoate	<i>n</i> -hexane	36
16	CuBr	$O_2$	<i>n</i> -hexane	$0^{\rm e}$
17	CuBr	$H_2O_2$	<i>n</i> -hexane	$0^{\rm e}$
18	CuBr	$K_2S_2O_4$	<i>n</i> -hexane	$0^{e}$
19	CuBr	NHPI	<i>n</i> -hexane	$0^{\rm e}$
20	CuBr	DTBP	<i>n</i> -hexane	$62^{\mathrm{f}}$
21	CuBr	DTBP	<i>n</i> -hexane	71 <sup>g</sup>

## the presence of L13<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: A mixture of benzaldehyde (**1a**, 0.5 mmol), [Cu] (20 mol%), **L13** (20 mol%) and DTBP (**2a**, 0.3 mL) in *n*-hexane (3 mL) was stirred at 90 °C for 5 h under N<sub>2</sub>.

<sup>b</sup> Isolated yield.

<sup>c</sup> Yield determined by GC.

<sup>d</sup> 10 mol% CuBr and 10 mol% L13 were used.

<sup>e</sup> 0.3ml *tert*-butanol were used in the reaction.

<sup>f</sup> The reaction temperature was 70 °C.

<sup>g</sup> 0.2 mL DTBP was used.

### 4 Characterization data of esters of tertiary Alcohols

Benzyl benzoate (Table 1, product **2a**)<sup>[3]</sup>



According to the general procedure A, compound **3a** was obtained in 90% yield. Hexane: EtOAC = 20:1,  $R_f = 0.4$ <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta = 8.12$  (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.58 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.49-7.35 (m, 7 H), 5.40 (s, 2 H) ppm. GC-MS (EI, 70eV): 212.

4-Methylbenzyl 4-methylbenzoate (Table 1, 2b)<sup>[4]</sup>



According to the general procedure A, compound **2b** was obtained in 89% yield. Hexane: EtOAC = 20:1,  $R_f = 0.4$ <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta = 7.97$  (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.35 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.26-7.20 (m, 4 H), 5.32 (s, 2 H), 2.41 (s, 3 H), 2.37 (s, 3 H) ppm. GC-MS (EI, 70eV): 240.

*Tert*-butyl 4-methoxybenzoate (Table 1, 3c)<sup>[3]</sup>



According to the general procedure, compound **3c** was obtained in 95% (98.8 mg) yield. Hexane: EtOAC = 20:1,  $R_f = 0.3$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.95 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.90 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 1.59 (s, 9H)

Tert-butyl 4-ethoxybenzoate (Table 1, 3d)

`0´  $C_2H_5O$ 

According to the general procedure, compound **3d** was obtained in 95% (103.3 mg) yield. Hexane: EtOAC = 20:1,  $R_f = 0.27$ <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.93 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 4.08 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.58 (s, 9H), 1.43 (t, J= 7.0 Hz, 3H)

*Tert*-butyl 3,4-dimethoxybenzoate (Table 1, **3e**)<sup>[3]</sup>



According to the general procedure, compound **3e** was obtained in 92% (109.5 mg) yield. Hexane: EtOAC = 20:1,  $R_f = 0.15$ <sup>1</sup>H NMP (500 MHz, CDCL) & 7.59 (dd, L = 8.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, L = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.83

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.59 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.83 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 1.56 (s, 9H)

Tert-butyl 3-phenoxybenzoate (Table 1, 3f)



According to the general procedure, compound **3f** was obtained in 91% (123.0 mg) yield. Hexane: EtOAC = 20:1,  $R_f = 0.4$ <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.73-7.71 (m, 1H), 7.63-7.62 (m, 1H), 7.38-7.33 (m, 3H), 7.17-7.12 (m, 2H), 7.01-6.99 (m, 2H), 1.57 (s, 9H) *Tert*-butyl 4-chlorobenzoate (Table 1, **3g**)<sup>[3]</sup>

Ĭ,

According to the general procedure, compound **3g** was obtained in 86% (91.2 mg) yield. Hexane: EtOAC = 20:1,  $R_f = 0.4$ <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.92 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 1.62 (s, 9H)

*Tert*-butyl 4-bromobenzoate (Table 1, **3h**)<sup>[5]</sup>



According to the general procedure, compound **3h** was obtained in 89% (114.0 mg) yield. Hexane: EtOAC = 20:1,  $R_f = 0.4$ <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.85 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 1.59 (s, 9H)

*Tert*-butyl 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzoate (Table 1, **3i**)<sup>[3]</sup>

Jo- $F_3C$ 

According to the general procedure, compound **3i** was obtained in 76% (93.5 mg) yield. Hexane: EtOAC = 20:1,  $R_f = 0.35$ <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.10 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.68 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 1.62 (s, 9H) *Tert*-butyl 1-naphthoate (Table 1, **3k**)<sup>[3]</sup>

According to the general procedure, compound **3k** was obtained in 82% (93.5 mg) yield. Hexane: EtOAC = 20:1,  $R_f = 0.4$ <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.85 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (dd, J = 7.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.59-7.46 (m, 3H), 1.68 (s, 9H)

Tert-butyl 2-methylbenzoate (Table 1, 3I)<sup>[3]</sup>



According to the general procedure, compound **3** was obtained in 78% (74.9 mg) yield. Hexane: EtOAC = 20:1,  $R_f = 0.4$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.82-7.81 (m, 1H), 7.37-7.34 (m, 1H), 7.23-7.20 (m, 2H), 2.57 (s, 3H), 1.60 (s, 9H)

*Tert*-butyl furan-2-carboxylate (Table 1, **30**)<sup>[3]</sup>

According to the general procedure, compound **3n** was obtained in 83% (69.7 mg) yield. Hexane: EtOAC = 20:1,  $R_f = 0.4$ <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.54 (q, J = 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (dd, J = 3.5, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 6.47 (q, J =

1.8 Hz, 1H), 1.59 (s, 9H)

*Tert*-butyl thiophene-2-carboxylate (Table 1, 3p)<sup>[3]</sup>

According to the general procedure, compound **30** was obtained in 86% (79.1 mg) yield.

Hexane: EtOAC = 20:1,  $R_f = 0.4$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.72 (dd, J = 3.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (dd, J = 5.0, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.08-7.06 (m, 1H), 1.59 (s, 9H)

2-phenylpropn-2-yl 4-methylbenzoate (Table 2, 3s)



According to the general procedure, compound **3r** was obtained in 76% (96.5 mg) yield. Colorless oil

Hexane: EtOAC = 20:1,  $R_f = 0.5$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.98 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.47-7.44 (m, 2H), 7.38-7.35 (m, 2H), 7.29-7.25 (m, 3H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 1.94 (s, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  165.2, 146.0, 143.3, 129.7 (2C), 129.0 (2C), 128.4 (2C), 127.0, 125.9 (2C), 124.4, 82.0, 28.9 (2C), 21.7 GC-MS (EI, 70eV): 254.

2-phenylpropan-2-yl 4-methoxybenzoate (Table 2, 3t)

MeO

According to the general procedure, compound **3s** was obtained in 85% (114.8 mg) yield. Colorless oil

Hexane: EtOAC = 20:1,  $R_f = 0.5$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.05-8.03 (m, 2H), 7.48-7.46 (m, 2H), 7.38-7.35 (m, 2H), 7.30-7.26 (m, 1H), 6.96-6.94 (m, 2H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 1.94 (s, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 165.0, 163.2, 146.1, 131.6 (2C), 128.4 (2C), 127.0 (2C), 124.3, 124.0, 113.6 (2C), 81.8, 55.5, 28.9 (2C); GC-MS (EI, 70eV): 270.

2-phenylpropan-2-yl 4-ethoxybenzoate (Table 2, 3u)

According to the general procedure, compound **3t** was obtained in 83% (117.9 mg) yield. Colorless oil Hexane: EtOAC = 20:1,  $R_f = 0.5$ <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.04-8.02 (m, 2H), 7.47-7.45 (m, 2H), 7.38-7.35 (m, 2H), 7.29-7.27 (m, 1H), 6.94-6.92 (m, 2H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 4.11 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.94 (s, 6H), 1.47 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  165.0, 162.6, 146.1, 131.6 (2C), 128.3 (2C), 127.0 (2C), 124.3, 123.8, 114.0 (2C), 81.8, 63.7, 28.9, 14.8; GC-MS (EI, 70eV): 284.

2-phenylpropn-2-yl 4-bromobenzoate (Table 2, **3v**)

J O

According to the general procedure, compound 3u was obtained in 74% (117.7 mg) yield. Colorless oil

Hexane: EtOAC = 20:1,  $R_f = 0.5$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.90-7.88 (m, 2H), 7.57-7.56 (m, 2H), 7.42-7.40 (m, 2H), 7.35-7.32 (m, 2H), 7.27-7.24 (m, 1H), 1.91 (s, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 164.4, 145.6, 131.6 (2C), 131.1 (2C), 130.4, 128.4 (2C), 127.8, 127.2 (2C), 124.3, 82.7, 28.7 (2C) GC-MS (EI, 70eV): 318.

5. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra





















Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for RSC Advances This journal is The Royal Society of Chemistry 2013



## 6. References

- (a) J. H. Hodgkin, J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem. 1986, 24, 3117; (b) M.
  Sasmita, D. Suresh, S. B. Maravanji, T. M. Joel, Tetrahedron, 2008, 64, 240; (c) K.
  Kubono, K. Yokoi, Acta. Crystallogr. C, 2007, 63, 535-537; (d) N. Ikpo, L. N.
  Saunders, J. L. Walsh, J. M. B. Smith, L. N. Dawe, F. M. Kerton, Eur. J. Inorg.
  Chem. 2011, 35, 5347
- 2 P. H. M. Budzelaar, N. N. P. Moonen, R. Gelder, J. M. M. Smits, A. W. Gal, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2000, 753
- 3 Z. Xin, T. M. Gogsig, A. T. Lindhardt, T. Skrydstrup, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 284
- 4 Y. Nishimoto, S. A. Babu, M. Yasuda, A. Baba, J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 9465
- 5 E. C. Taylor, G. S. K. Wong, J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 35