Supplementary information

Fusion of Perylene Bisimides and Hexaazatriphenylene into Star-Shaped Ladder Conjugated N-Type

Semiconductor

Zhenbo Zhao, [†] Yi Xiao,^{*,†} Youdi Zhang, [†] and Helin Wang [†] †State Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116012, China E-mail: xiaoyi @ dlut.edu.cn

Contents

1 Material and methods	··S2
2 Synthesis of target compound	·S3
3 Differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) of TriPBI and PBI	··S4
4 TGA of TriPBI ······	~S5
5 Optimized structures of TriPBI and PBI monomer	··S5
6 Mass spectrum of TriPBI ······	S6
7 Copy of NMR spectrum	··S6

1 Material and methods

Building block molecule (1) ^{S1} and **PBI**^{S2} were synthesized according by a literature method. All other reactants were purchased from commercial sources. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR in CDCl₃ and 1,2-dichlorobenzene-d₄ was recorded at 400 MHz using Bruker AV-400 and Bruker AV-500 spectrometers with TMS as internal reference. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (δ), and the signals have been designated as follows: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), m (multiplet) and b (broad). Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization (MALDI) mass spectra were measured on 4800 MALDI TOF/TOF analyzer from Applied Biosystems.

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and Differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) was performed with a BSA100B/W electrochemical analyzer system in a three electrode single-component cell under argon. The measurements were carried out at 25 °C in an anhydrous and nitrogen-saturated 5×10^{-4} M solution with ferrocene as internal standard for the calibration of potential. Working electrode: glassy carbon; reference electrode: Ag/AgCl; auxiliary electrode: Pt wire. Samples were measured in 0.05 M solution of Bu₄NPF₆ in THF with a scan rate of 100 mV/s. The LUMO energy levels were determined from the reduction peak taking into account the SCE level at – 5.08 eV.^{S3} Film thicknesses were determined on an Alphastep 500 surface profilometer.

UV-vis spectra of solutions in chlorobenzene with a concentration of 10^{-5} mol L⁻¹ and thin films spincast on quartz glass were recorded on a HP-8453 UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Fluorescence spectra were measured on a JASCO FP-6500 fluorescence and The fluorescence quantum yields were determined with Rhodamine 6G in ethanol as the reference.^{S4} Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed on TA Instruments TGA Q1000 Series using dynamic scans under nitrogen. DSC measurements were performed on a TA Q1000 under nitrogen with a heating and cooling rate of 10 K min⁻¹. The quantum chemical calculations were performed with the Gaussian03 package.^{S5}

SCLC devices: SCLC electron-only devices were fabricated using the following structure: glass/ITO/ZnO/Active layer/LiF/Al. Commercial ITO-coated glass substrates with a sheet resistance of 13 Ohms per sq (Lumtec) were cleaned using the following sequence in an ultrasonic bath: water, acetone, and 2-propanol. Each ITO substrate was patterned using photolithography techniques. After ozone treatment of the substrates for 5 min, ZnO (25nm) was spin-coated onto the ITO surface and dried at 120 °C for 30 min. After cooling the substrate, the active layer was spin-coated from chloroform solutions. The substrates were then put in a thermal evaporation chamber to evaporate the top electrode (1.5 nm LiF/100 nm Al) under high vacuum (1×10^{-6} mbar) through a shadow mask (active area 12 mm²). The current–voltage characteristics of the devices were measured using a Keithley 2400 (I-V) Digital SourceMeter at 25 °C.

The active area amounts to 1.2×10^{-5} m². I - V characteristics of the devices were recorded at up to 10 V at room temperature in air. At high voltages the current is space-charge-limited only, assuming ohmic contacts to the injecting electrode. It should be noted that for current densities larger than 0.1 A cm⁻², the applied voltage should be adjust for voltage drop from the indium tin oxide (ITO) series resistance V_{Rs}, which typically amounts to 30–35 Ω in our substrates. References:

S1 Zhao, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Xiao, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 5544.

S2 Wicklein, A.; Lang, A.; Muth, M.; Thelakkat, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 14442.

S3 Thompson, B. C.; Kim, Y. G.; McCarley, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 12714.

S4 M. Fischer and J. Georges, Chem. Phy. Lett. 1996, 260, 115.

S5 M.J. Frisch, G.W. Trucks, H.B. Schlegel, G.E. Scuseria, M.A. Robb, J.R. Cheeseman, J.A. Montgomery, T. Jr. Vreven, K.N. Kudin, J.C. Burant, J.M. Millam, S.S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G.A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J.E. Knox, H.P. Hratchian, J.B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R.E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A.J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, P. Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, V.G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A.D. Daniels, M.C. Strain, O. Farkas, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J.B. Foresman, J.V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A.G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B.B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C.Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, P.M.W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, C. Gonzalez, J.A. Pople. Gaussian 03, revision E.01; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford CT, 2004.

2 Synthesis of target compound



Synthesis of compound TriPBI.

A mixture of Compound **1** (340 mg, 0.297 mmol), Compound **14** (18.5 mg, 0.059 mmol), 10 mL CHCl₃, 30 mL AcOH were stirred reflux for 8 h. Then, the crude product was purified through silica gel column chromatography with mixture of CH₂Cl₂ and hexane 1:1 as eluent. **TriPBI** was obtained as dark red solid (89 mg, 43%). MALDI-TOF-MS: Calcd for C₂₃₄H₃₀₆N₁₂O₁₂ 3476.3703, found: 3476.4043. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 12.65 (s, 1H), 10.72 (s, 1H), 10.24 (s, 1H), 9.96 (s, 1H), 9.44 (s, 1H), 9.27 (s, 1H), 8.52 (s, 1H), 8.04 (s, 1H), 5.54 (m, 2H), 3.65 – 2.63 (m, 4H), 2.34 (d, *J* = 85.2 Hz, 8H), 1.85 – 1.22 (m, 48H), 0.70 (d, m, 32H).; ¹³C NMR (10 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.63, 164.58, 164.21, 163.90, 163.84, 163.12, 145.58, 145.43, 142.08, 140.88, 133.61, 133.08, 131.77, 130.71, 128.52, 128.33, 128.12, 127.19, 126.46, 125.67, 125.47, 124.86, 123.24, 123.01, 122.52, 56.09, 55.76, 32.11, 31.86, 30.24, 29.70, 29.55, 27.61, 22.86, 22.58, 14.26, 14.01.





Fig. S1 Differential pulse voltagrammetry (DPV) of **TriPBI** and **PBI** in dichloromethane -TBAPF6 (0.1 M), scan speed 10 mV/s.

4 TGA of TriPBI



Fig. S2 TGA curves of compounds TriPBI

5 Optimized structures of TriPBI and PBI monomer



Fig. S3 Optimized structures of TriPBI (left) and PBI monomer (right)

To well explain different optical properties between **TriPBI** and **PBI** monomer, aromatic core structures of **TriPBI** and **PBI** monomer were calculated by the Gaussian 03 program, DFT-B3LYP/6-31G method. The dihedral angle between HAT core and PBI "arms" is 8.52°.

6 Mass spectrum of TriPBI



7 Copy of NMR spectrum

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectrum of TriPBI

¹H NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of **TriPBI** in CDCl₃ and its enlarged low-field section (inserted figure)





¹³C NMR (100 MHz) spectrum of TriPBI in CDCl₃ and its enlarged low-field section (inserted figure)