### **Supporting Information**

# A Facile Solvent-Free Route to Synthesize Ordered

## **Mesoporous Carbons**

Qiaowei Wang<sup>a,b</sup>, Yijie Mu<sup>a</sup>, Weili Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Liangshu Zhong<sup>a</sup>, Yan Meng<sup>a,\*</sup>, Yuhan Sun<sup>a,c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>CAS Key Laboratory of Low-Carbon Conversion Science and Engineering, Shanghai Advanced Research Institution, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, 201210, China
<sup>b</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
<sup>c</sup>Institute of Coal Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Taiyuan, 030001, China

### **Experimental section**

*Synthesis of ordered mesoporous carbon (H-OMC):* H-OMC was synthesized through solid-phase synthesis route as following: 1.0 g of triblock copolymer Pluronic F127 was added in agate mortar (Ø 15 cm) at room temperature and ground into fine powder. Then 0.22 g of resorcinol was added and ground in the presence of F127. 0.40 g of terephthalaldehyde was added in 3 batches and the mixture was intensely ground with pestle for 5 minutes during which sticky polymer was formed. The sticky nanocomposite was placed in a quartz boat at 100 °C for 8 hours to obtain as-made product, which was finally carbonized in a tubular furnace at at 600 °C for 3 h under nitrogen flow (flow rate of 100 mL/min) at the ramp of 1 °C/min. C-OMC, N-OMC, Mo-OMC and F-OMC were synthesized followed the same way, besides adding different amount kinds of precursors. All of the as-made samples were carbonized under nitrogen flow, unless the preparation of Mo-OMC, which was carbonized under hydrogen flow at 600 °C for 3 h (flow rate of 100 mL/min) at the ramp of 1 °C/min. The details of preparation of all samples were listed in Table S1.

## Characterization

Small angle X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were taken on a Bruker D8 X-ray diffractometer with Ni-filtered Cu Kα radiation (40 kV, 40 mA). The d-spacing values were calculated using the Braggs diffraction formula of 2*d*sinθ =  $n\lambda$ , and the unit parameters unit parameters (*a*) were calculated from the formula  $a = 2d_{10}/3^{1/2}$  for OMC and  $a = d_{110}*2^{1/2}$  for cubic carbon of C-OMC. The N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherms were carried out at 77 K on a Micromeritics TriStar 3000 apparatus at -196 °C. Before analysis, the tested samples were degassed at 473 K for 6 hours under vacuum. The surface area was calculated by the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method and the pore size distribution curve was calculated by the Barret-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method using adsorption branch of the isotherms. And the total pore volumes (V<sub>1</sub>) were estimated from the adsorbed amount of nitrogen at a relative pressure  $P/P_0$  of 0.995. The micropore volumes (V<sub>m</sub>) was calculated from the V-t plot method using the equation of V<sub>m</sub>/cm<sup>3</sup> = 0.0015471, where I represents the y intercepts in the V-t plots. The t values were calculated as a function of the relative pressure using the de Bore equation,  $t/\text{\AA} = [13.99/(\log(P_0/P) + 0.0340)]^{1/2}$ . Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) experiments were conducted on a JEOL 2011 microscope (Japan) operated at 200 kV. The samples for TEM tests were suspended in ethanol and supported onto a holey carbon film on a Cu grid.

Table S1. Preparation conditions of H-OMC, C-OMC, N-OMC, Mo-OMC and F-OMC

| Sample | F127/g | Resorcinol | Terephthalaldehyde/ | Melamine | Mo source |
|--------|--------|------------|---------------------|----------|-----------|
|        |        | /g         | g                   | $/g^a$   | $/g^b$    |
| Н-ОМС  | 0.62   | 0.22       | 0.40                | -        | -         |
| C-OMC  | 0.41   | 0.22       | 0.40                | -        | -         |
| N-OMC  | 1.50   | 0.44       | 0.56                | 2.0      | -         |
| Mo-OMC | 0.62   | 0.22       | 0.40                | -        | 0.030     |
| F-OMC  | 0.62   | 0.44       | 0.49 <sup>e</sup>   | -        | -         |

nanocomposites prepared through solid-phase synthesis method

<sup>a</sup>Melamine served as N source.

<sup>b</sup>Phosphomolybdic acid served as Mo source and the nominal content of Mo was ~5 wt%.

°Nitrogen content determined by elemental analysis.

<sup>d</sup>Content of Mo<sub>2</sub>C determined by XPS.

<sup>e</sup>Formaldehyde was used as monomer instead of terepthalaldehyde.



**Figure S1.** SAXS patterns of (A) as-made H-OMC and H-OMC, (b) as-made C-OMC and C-OMC. The patterns were acquired on a Nanostar U small-angle X-ray scattering system using Cu Kα radiation.



Figure S2. BJH pore size distribution of H-OMC (a), C-OMC (b), N-OMC (c), Mo-OMC (d), and F-OMC (e)

prepared via solvent-free synthesis method.



**Figure S3.** TG (A) and DTG (B) curves for H-OMC (black line) and C-OMC (red line). The measurements were carried out on a Mettler Toledo TGA/SDTA851 analyzer from 40°C to 800°C under argon with a rate of 5°C min<sup>-1</sup>.



**Figure S4.** Raman spectra of H-OMC (red line) and background of glass slide (black line). The Raman spectra showed two broad band at 1317 and 1562 cm<sup>-1</sup>, assigned to the D band and G band, respectively, suggesting an amorphous carbon framework.



Figure S5. XPS spectra of N-OMC.



Figure S6. Wide-angle X-ray diffraction patterns of nanocomposites of Mo-OMC.



Figure S7. XPS spectra of Mo-OMC.



Figure S8. Small angle XRD pattern of H-OMC with a large amount of more than 10 g in one-pot