1	Supporting Information
2	
3	Hydrophilic molecularly imprinted polymers for selective recognition of
4	polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in aqueous media
5	Wenchao Wei ^{†,a,b} , Rongning Liang ^{†,a} , Zhuo Wang ^{b,*} , Wei Qin ^{a,*}
6	
7	^a Key Laboratory of Coastal Environmental Processes and Ecological Remediation, Yantai Institute of
8	Coastal Zone Research (YIC), Chinese Academy of Sciences(CAS); Shandong Provincial Key
9	Laboratory of Coastal Environmental Processes, YICCAS, Yantai, Shandong 264003, P. R. China.
10	^b School of Environment and Materials Engineering, Yantai University, Shandong 264005, P. R. China.
11	[†] Both authors contributed equally to this work.
12	
13	*Corresponding author. Tel.: +86-535-2109156, Fax: +86-535-2109000.
14	E-mail address: wqin@yic.ac.cn (W. Qin); wzhuoyt2@163.com (Z. Wang)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1 1. Reagents

2 Naphthalene (NAP), acenaphthylene (ANY), acenaphthene (ACE), phenanthrene (PHE), fluoranthene (FLT), pyrene (PYR), benzo[a]anthracene (BaA), chrysene (CHR), benzo[b]fluoranthen 3 4 (BbK), benzo[k]fluoranthen (BkF), benzo[a]pyrene (BaP), indeno[1,2.3-cd]pyrene (IPY), 5 dibenzo[a,h]anthracene (DBA), benzo[ghi]perylene (BPE), 4-vinylpyridine (4-VP), divinyl benzene (DVB) (technical grade, 80%; treated successively with 1 M sodium hydroxide and washed with 6 7 ultrapure water), and glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Cyclohexane, 2,2'-Azobis-isobutyronitrile (AIBN), dichlormethane (DCM), ethyl acetate, ethanol, sodium hydroxide, 8 9 sodium chloride, perchlorate, and acetone were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd 10 (China). Methanol (MeOH) and acetonitrile (ACN) used for HPLC were obtained from Shanghai 11 ANPEL Scientific Instrument Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

All other reagents were of analytical grade and used without any further purification. Freshly deionized water (18.2 M Ω cm specific resistance) obtained by a Pall Cascada laboratory water system was utilized for preparing aqueous solutions. The stock solutions of PHEs were prepared in ACN and then diluted to appropriate concentrations of working solutions with deionized water.

16 2. Synthesis of H-MIP

17 The hydrophilic MIP (H-MIP) for PHE was synthesized according to the previously reported method with some modifications.¹ Briefly, the template PHE (1 mmol), 4-VP (5 mmol), GMA (20 18 mmol), DVB (20 mmol) and AIBN (0.5 mmol) were dissolved in dichloromethane (30 mL). Then the 19 solution was purged with a stream of nitrogen gas for 10 min. Polymerization was done overnight at 70 20 °C in an oil bath. After polymerization, the obtained soft bulk polymer particles were ground manually 21 with a mortar and pestle. Removal of the template and unreacted monomers was done by Soxhlet 22 extraction with dichloromethane for 12 h. The resultant particles were oxidized by perchloric acid 23 (10%, v/v) for 24 h to make the epoxide ring of GMA opening and then washed with ethanol, and dried 24 overnight. In epoxide ring-opening reaction, H₂O was chosen as the nucleophile. It has been well 25 26 established that the rate of such reaction can be efficiently enhanced by the acids.² However, it should 27 be noted that chloride and nitrate which are strong nucleophiles can be added to the epoxide since epoxide ring opening is a nucleophilic addition reaction. Perchlorate which is a very weak nucleophile 28 will not interfere with the above mentioned reaction. In addition, it has been proven that the presence of 29 a strong acid has no effect on the shape of a dense polymeric network.³ Thus, perchloric acid, rather 30

1 than HCl and HNO₃, was chosen as the agent for epoxide ring opening of GMA.

The hydrophilic non-imprinted polymer (H-NIP) was synthesized by the similar procedure in the absence of template molecules. GMA inserted MIP (G-MIP) was prepared under identical conditions except for omission of the procedure for epoxide ring opening. The conventional hydrophobic MIP was synthesized by the bulk polymerization method as described elsewhere.⁴

6 3. Effect of the mole ratio of co-monomer/functional monomer

To choose a suitable mole ratio of co-monomer/functional monomer for the polymerization process, the effect of the mole ratio was investigated in the range of 1 to 5. The results are shown in Fig. S-1. As can be seen, the variation of the ratio exhibits a significant difference in the selectivity of the resultant H-MIP, and the mole ratio of 4 gives the highest selectivity in terms of the high ratios of the binding capacity of the template PHE to those of other 13 PAHs. In addition, further increase in the ratio would not significantly improve the selectivity. Thus, the mole ratio of 4 was employed for subsequent experiments.





Fig. S-1. Effect of the mole ratio of co-monomer/functional monomer on the recoveries of 14 PAHs.

18 4. Details for the analytical methods

19 4.1. Saturation binding curves

20 Saturation binding curves were obtained by incubating the conventional MIP, G-MIP, H-MIP and

21 H-NIP with 1 µM-7 µM PHE following the steps as described elsewhere.⁵ The amounts of PHE in the

22 supernatants were determined by HPLC (Waters e2695-2998, US).

23

1 4.2. Competitive binding assays

2 The competitive binding assays were carried out by incubating 50 mg of the conventional MIP, G-MIP, H-MIP, H-NIP and Supelco-MIP (Sigma-Aldrich, US) in 2 mL of the H₂O/methanol solution (2:1, 3 v/v) containing 14 PAHs at the same concentration (1 μ M) in a 5 mL polypropylene microcentrifuge 4 5 tube, respectively. Then the tube was shaken under agitation for 24 h. The polymer was removed by centrifugation at 9,000 rpm for 6 min and the supernatant was collected. The amounts of free PAHs 6 7 were calculated by subtracting the final concentrations from the initial concentrations of PAHs in solution. The recovery was calculated by subtracting the amount of unbound one from the initial 8 9 amount added to the mixture and then dividing by the initial amount:

$$\% R = \frac{C_{\text{initial amount}} - C_{\text{free amount}}}{C_{\text{initial amount}}}$$

10

11

12 5. Scatchard analysis ⁶

13 The Scatchard equation was employed to evaluate the relation between the concentration and 14 binding ability, and the equation is expressed as:

$$\frac{Q}{C} = -\frac{1}{K_D}Q + \frac{Q_{\text{max}}}{K_D}$$

16 where Q stands for the binding capacity (µmol g⁻¹) of PHE on H-MIP, K_D represents the equilibrium 17 dissociation constant (µmol L-1), Q_{max} (µmol g-1) is the theoretical maximum adsorption amount of template molecules on the H-MIP, and C (mol L^{-1}) is the equilibrium concentration of PHE in the 18 solution. In the case of one ligand and one type of binding site, a straight line would be generated with 19 20 a fixed slope rather than a curved one according to the Scatchard equation. Therefore, the values of Qmax and KD should be considered as good indicators for the evaluation of the binding ability of the H-21 22 MIP. The application of the Scatchard equation to the calculations of the values for the binding 23 isotherms renders the plot and the corresponding values for the apparent Q_{max} and K_D (Q_{max} = 15.6 24 μ mol/g, K_D = 28.7 μ M). The H-MIP curve shows a linear slope, indicative of one type of binding site 25 possessing high affinity (Fig. S-2).

26



- 4 References
- 5 1. F. Puoci, F. Iemma, G. Cirillo, M. Curcio, O. I. Parisi, U. G. Spizzirri, et al., Eur Polym J, 2009, 45,
- 6 1634.

1

2

3

- 7 2. N. C. Eddingsaas, D. G. VanderVelde, P. O. Wennberg, J Phys Chem A, 2010, 114, 8106.
- 8 3. D. K. Alexiadou, N. C. Maragou, N. S. Thomaidis, G. A. Theodoridis, M. A. Koupparis, J Sep Sci,
- 9 2008, **31**, 2272.
- 10 4. J. P. Lai, R. Niessner, D. Knopp, Anal Chim Acta, 2004, 522, 137.
- 11 5. R. J. Krupadam, E. E. Nesterov, D. A. Spivak, J Hazard Mater, 2014, 274, 1.
- 12 6. P. Lenain, J. D. Di Mavungu, P. Dubruel, J. Robbens, S. De Saeger, Anal Chem, 2012, 84, 10411.
- 13