# **Supporting Information**

A Facile Naphthalene-Based Fluorescent Chemodosimeter for Mercury

Ions in Aqueous Solution

Yifeng Han,\* Chengyu Yang, Kai Wu, Yu Chen, Baocheng Zhou and Min Xia\*

Department of Chemistry, The Key Laboratory of Advanced Textile Materials and Manufacturing Technology, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hangzhou 310018, P. R. China

zstuchem@gmail.com and xiamin@zstu.edu.cn

## Contents

| Materials and general methods                                       |
|---|
| Synthesis and characterization S2                                   |
| Photophysical properties of MS1 ··································· |
| Additional spectra of MS1 S6  |
| The characterization data of compounds S7                           |
| References S12  |

#### Materials and general methods

All the solvents were of analytic grade. NMR experiments were carried out on a Bruker AV-400 NMR spectrometer with chemical shifts reported in ppm (in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, d<sub>6</sub>-DMSO, or TMS as an internal standard). All pH measurements were made with a Sartorius basic pH-Meter PB-10. Fluorescence spectra were determined on a PerkinElmer LS55 Fluorescence spectrophotometer. Absorption spectra were determined on a Shimadzu UV 2501(PC)S UV-Visible spectrophotometer. The excitation and emission widths for **MS1** were all 5.

#### Synthesis and characterization



Scheme S1 Synthesis of probes 4 (MS1) and 5.



**2-(2-bromoethoxy)-1-naphthaldehyde (2)**: 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde (500 mg, 2.9 mmol) and potassium carbonate (400 mg, 2.9 mmol) were dissolved in acetone (20 mL) under nitrogen atmosphere, then 1, 2-dibromoethane (1.3 mL, 15.1 mmol) was added and the solution was refluxed for 3 h until all starting material got consumed which was monitored by TLC analysis.

The reaction mixture was washed with water (100 mL), extracted with ether acetate ( $3 \times 40$  mL). The extract was dried over sodium sulfate and then concentrated under vacuum. The product was purified by flash chromatography using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (10:1, v/v) as eluant to give **3** (0.5 g, 62%) as a pale yellow gum;

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  10.77 (s, 1H), 9.12 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (brs, 2H), 3.54 (brs, 2H).



**2-(vinyloxy)-1-naphthaldehyde (3)**: Potassium *tert*-butoxide (242 mg, 2.2 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous DMSO (0.5 mL) and then added dropwise into a 10 mL bottom flask containing a DMSO solution (3.0 mL) of **2** (500 mg, 1.8 mmol) under nitrogen atmosphere and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. Water (100 mL) was then added to the reaction solution. The mixture was extracted with ether acetate ( $3 \times 40$  mL), dried over sodium sulfate and then concentrated under vacuum. The product was purified by flash chromatography using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (20:1, v/v) as eluant to give **3** (164 mg, 46%) as a pale yellow solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  10.75 (s, 1H), 9.21 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 6.71 (dd, J = 13.6, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H).



**2-((2-(vinyloxy)naphthalen-1-yl)methylene)malononitrile (4, MS1)**: **3** (100 mg, 0.5 mmol) and malononitrile (40 mg, 0.6 mmol) were dissolved in ethanol (5 mL) under nitrogen atmosphere, then one drop of piperidine was added and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h

until all starting material got consumed. Water (50 mL) was then added to the reaction solution. The mixture was extracted with ether acetate (3 × 15 mL), dried over sodium sulfate and then concentrated under vacuum. The product was purified by flash chromatography using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (5:1, v/v) as eluant to give **4** (**MS1**) (59 mg, 48%) as a pale yellow solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.34 (s, 1H), 8.02 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 6.73 (dd, *J* = 13.5, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 5.02 (d, *J* = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (d, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  155.37, 153.47, 146.36, 135.01, 131.06, 129.54, 129.06, 128.85, 125.78, 123.12, 116.21, 115.05, 113.55, 112.03, 99.14, 90.57. HR-MS (ESI): Calcd. For [M+H]<sup>+</sup> = 247.0871; Found, 247.0873.



**3-imino-3H-benzo[f]chromene-2-carbonitrile (5):** To a stirred solution of **4** (30 mg, 0.12 mmol) in ethanol (15 mL) was added HgCl<sub>2</sub> (98 mg, 0.36 mmol) in water (1.0 mL) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 1 h, and the precipitate was formed. The precipitated solid was filtered, washed with water, ethanol, and dried under vacuum to afford compound **5** as a white solid (23 mg, 86%);

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ 9.18 (s, 1H), 8.80 (s, 1H), 8.48 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.18 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO) δ 153.93, 143.11, 135.44, 129.62, 128.93, 128.81, 125.98, 122.16, 116.15, 115.54, 111.19.

HR-MS (ESI): Calcd. For  $[M+H]^+ = 221.0715$ ; Found, 221.0712.

#### **Photophysical properties of MS1**

 Table S1 Photophysical properties of the probe.

| entry                | λab (nm) | λem (nm) | $\Phi^{a}$         | $\epsilon \ / \ M^{\text{-1}} \ cm^{\text{-1}}$ |
|----------------------|----------|----------|--------------------|---|
| MS1                  | 538      | 470      | 0.002              | 5156  |
| MS1+Hg <sup>2+</sup> | 399      | 470      | 0.183 <sup>b</sup> | 8856  |

(a) The quantum yield ( $\Phi$ ) of **MS1** and **MS1**-Hg<sup>2+</sup> system were determined according to the literature.<sup>1</sup> (b)  $\Phi$  was determined in the present of 5.0 equiv. of Hg<sup>2+</sup>.

$$\Phi_{Sample} = \frac{\Phi_{QS} \cdot A_{QS} \cdot F_{Sample} \cdot \lambda_{exQS} \cdot \eta_{Sample}^{2}}{A_{Sample} \cdot F_{QS} \cdot \lambda_{exSample} \cdot \eta_{QS}^{2}}$$

Where  $\Phi$  is quantum yield; A is absorbance at the excitation wavelength; F is integrated area under the corrected emission spectra;  $\lambda_{ex}$  is the excitation wavelength;  $\eta$  is the refractive index of the solution; the Sample and QS refer to the sample and the standard, respectively. We chose quinine sulfate in 0.1N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> as standard, which has the quantum yield of 0.546.<sup>2</sup>

#### Additional spectral of MS1



**Fig. S1** Calibration curve of **MS1** (10  $\mu$ M) with Hg<sup>2+</sup> (10 mM PBS buffer solution, pH 7.4, containing 1% CH<sub>3</sub>CN,  $\lambda_{ex} = 395$  nm). The detection limit (DL) of Hg<sup>2+</sup> ions using **MS1** was determined from the following equation: <sup>3</sup>

 $DL = 3*\sigma/K$ 

Where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation of the blank solution; K is the slope of the calibration curve.



Fig. S2 The color changes of MS1 in the absence and presence of  $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$ 

The characterization data of compounds

<sup>1</sup>H NMR of compound **2** 





<sup>1</sup>H NMR of compound 4 (MS1)





HR-MS (ESI) of compound 4 (MS1)



#### **Qualitative Analysis Report**

Agilent Technologies

Page 2 of 2

Printed at: 3:39 PM on: 1/14/2015

<sup>1</sup>H NMR of compound **5** 



<sup>13</sup>C NMR of compound **5** 





HR-MS (ESI) of compound 5



#### **Qualitative Analysis Report**

Agilent Technologies

Page 2 of 2

Printed at: 3:38 PM on: 1/14/2015

### References

- 1 R. A. Velapoldi, H. H. Tønnesen, J. Fluoresc., 2004, 14, 465-472.
- 2 D. F. Eaton, Pure Appl. Chem., 1988, 60, 1107-1114.
- 3 (a) J. T. Yeh, P. Venkatesan and S. P. Wu, *New J. Chem.*, 2014, **38**, 6198. (b) A. Roy, D. Kand, T. Saha and P. Talukdar, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 5510.