Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Soft Matter. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2014

Supporting Information

Spontaneous penetration mechanism of patterned nanoparticles across a

biomembrane

Ye Li, Xianren Zhang and Dapeng Cao

State Key Laboratory of Organic-Inorganic Composites, Beijing University of Chemical

Technology, Beijing 100029, China

Figure	The number of times	Reproducibility				
	Three times for,	For 3-SNP (26%), all simulation runs show				
Fig. 2	respectively, 3-SNP	successful penetrations with a penetration-				
	(26%), 3-SNP (42%) and	rotation mechanism.				
	3-SNP (80%).	For 3-SNP (42%) and 3-SNP (80%), all				
		simulation runs fail to achieve NP penetrations.				
	Three times for,	For 3-SNP (3.23nm), 3-SNP (4.52nm), and 3-				
	respectively, 3-SNP	SNP (5.6%), all simulation runs show successful				
E:- 4	(D=3.23), 3-SNP (4.52),	penetrations.				
F1g. 4	3-SNP(5.81) and $3-SNP(11.0)$	$E_{\text{exc}} = 2 \text{ SNID} (5.91 \text{ mm}) = 2 \text{ SNID} (11.0 \text{ mm}) = 2 \text{ SNID}$				
	(11.0). Three times for	For 3-SNP (5.81nm), 3-SNP (11.0nm), 3-SNP (22.20()) 2 SNP (22.60()) and 2 SNP (10.20()) all				
	respectively 2 SND	(32.2%), 5-SNP (23.0%) and 3-SNP (10.2%), and				
	(2 - 22) = 2	simulation runs fail to achieve for penetrations.				
	$(\lambda = 32.2 \ 70), \ 3-5101$					
	%). and 3-SNP (5.6 %).					
	Three times for,	For 5-SNP (7.1nm), 16-SNP (7.1nm) and 26-SNP				
	respectively, 3-SNP	(11.0nm), all simulation runs show successful				
	(7.1nm), 5-SNP (7.1nm),	penetrations.				
Fig. 5	16-SNP (7.1nm), 3-SNP					
	(11.0nm), 5-SNP	For 3-SNP (7.1nm), 3-SNP (11.0nm) and 5-SNP				
	(11.0nm) and 26-SNP	(11.0nm), all simulation runs fail to achieve NP				
	(11.0nm).	penetrations.				
F1g. 6	Three times for 16-SNP	All simulation runs show successful penetrations.				
	(7.1).					
	Three times for,	For 6-PNP (7.1nm), 6-PNP (11.0nm), RNP				
	respectively, 4-PNP	(7.1nm) and RNP (11.0nm) all simulation runs				
	(7.1nm), 6-PNP (7.1nm),	show successful penetrations.				
	RNP (7.1nm), 4-PNP	For 4-PNP (7.1nm) and 4-PNP (11.0nm) all				
F1g. 7	(11.0nm), 6-PNP	simulation runs fail to achieve NP penetrations.				
	(11.0nm) and RNP					
	(11.0nm). Three times for	For different NDs all simulation runs show				
	respectively 2_SND	successful NP penetrations and NP aggregations				
	(13.75 nm) 16-SNP	For 16-SNP (13 75nm) 3-SNP (6 25nm) and 7-				
	(13.75 nm), 10-5 NM (13.75 nm), 3-S NP	SNP (6.25nm) all simulation runs did not show				
Fig.	(6.25nm) and 7-SNP	solvent leakage.				
9/10	(6.25nm).	For 3-SNP (13.75nm) and N_{nn} =30. the formation				
-		of the hydrophilic pore was found in one of the				
		three runs, while in the other runs the transient				
		hydrophobic pores were observed instead.				

Table S1 The number of times of independent simulation runs and the reproducibility of our results.

Table S2 Penetrability of different patterned NPs with $\lambda = 50\%$ across a membrane.

Penetration	5-SNP	16-SNP	16-SNP	6-PNP	6-PNP	RNP	RNP
	(7.1nm)	(7.1 nm)	(11nm)	(7.1nm)	(11.0nm	(7.1nm)	(11.0nm
))
Non-	3-SNP	3-SNP	5-SNP	4-PNP	4-PNP		
penetration	(7.1nm)	(11.0nm	(11nm)	(7.1nm)	(11.0nm		
))		



Fig. S1. Time evolution of the orientation of 3-SNPs during their penetration processes. Note that $\lambda \approx 32.2\%$ as in the Fig. 4.



Fig. S2. Penetration processes for 3-SNPs with D=11nm and λ =10.2% obtained from three independent simulation runs. (a) Final configurations after a simulation run of 6400 ns. (b,c) show the time evolution of the NP orientation (b) and NP-bilayer distance (c). The color code for the snapshots is the same as in Fig. 1.



Fig. S3. Typical configurations for the penetration of a hydrophobic NP ($\lambda = 0\%$) of 7.1 nm during its penetration process.



Fig. S4. (a) Time evolution of the SNP ($\lambda = 50\%$) orientation. (b) Time evolution of the distance between the NP center and the bilayer center during the penetration of large SNPs ($\lambda = 50\%$) of 11.0 nm across a flat membrane.



Fig. S5. (a) Time evolution of the NP orientation angle during the penetration process for PNPs ($\lambda = 50\%$) and RNPs ($\lambda = 50\%$). (b) Time evolution of the distance between the NP center and the bilayer center for PNPs ($\lambda = 50\%$) and RNPs ($\lambda = 50\%$).



Fig. S6. Penetration of RNPs with D=11.0nm and λ =50% obtained from three independent simulation runs. (a) Final configurations after a simulation run of 6400 ns. The color code for the snapshots is the same as in Fig. 1. (b) shows the time evolution of NP-bilayer distance.



Fig. S7. The distribution of the lipid heads for the system with a 3-SNP of 11.0 nm ($\lambda \approx 32.2\%$). The lipid heads into the membrane hydrophobic core in red circle.



Fig. S8. The average cluster size (a) for 3-SNPs and 16-SNPs having a diameter of 13.75 nm and for (b) 3-SNPs and 7-SNPs with a diameter of 6.25 nm.



Fig. S9. Typical snapshots after the penetration of n-SNPs across the vesicle membrane. The NP diameters in this figure were set to 8.75 nm. The color code for the snapshots is the same as in Fig.1.



Fig. S10. (a) Time evolution of the number of leak solvent (3-SNP (D=13.75 nm, N_{np} =30)). (b) Time evolution of the number of leak solvent 3-SNP (D=13.75 nm, N_{np} =50)).