## **Supporting Information**

Nonprecious Catalytic Honeycombs Structured with Three Dimensional Hierarchical Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nano-arrays for High Performance Nitric Oxide Oxidation

Zheng Ren,<sup>1</sup> Yanbing Guo,<sup>1</sup> Zhonghua Zhang,<sup>1</sup> Caihong Liu,<sup>1,2</sup> and Pu-Xian Gao<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Materials Science and Engineering & Institute of Materials Science, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06269-3136, USA

<sup>2</sup> Center for Clean Energy Engineering, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06269-5233, USA

Email: puxian.gao@ims.uconn.edu



**Figure S1**. SEM images and corresponding XRD patterns of precursor cobalt hydroxyl carbonate nano-arrays on honeycomb substrate synthesized from a) cobalt nitrate; b) cobalt acetate and c) cobalt chloride.



**Figure S2**. Morphology of  $Co_3O_4$  nano-arrays prepared from cobalt chloride after a) 4 hours; b) 8 hours and c) 12 hours.

The morphology evolution of  $Co_3O_4$  prepared from cobalt chloride show that elongated reaction time only increases the density of nano-arrays and improves the uniformity.



**Figure S3.** Cyclic catalytic NO oxidation performance by (a) CA; (b) CN and (c) CC. (d) Weight measurement of catalytic honeycombs during cyclic test.



Figure S4. TEM characterization of  $Co_3O_4$  nano-arrays prepared from a) cobalt nitrate; b) cobalt acetate and c) cobalt chloride.



Figure S5. TEM characterization of  $Co_3O_4$  nanopowders prepared from a) cobalt acetate; b) cobalt nitrate and c) cobalt chloride.



**Figure S6**. Statistical grain size distribution of  $Co_3O_4$  prepared from different cobalt sources as observed in the TEM images in Figure S4. a) cobalt nitrate; b) cobalt acetate; c) cobalt chloride.



Figure S7. a) Catalytic NO oxidation performance of  $Co_3O_4$  nano-arrays based catalytic honeycombs prepared by annealing at 800 °C. b) XRD spectra of 800 °C annealed  $Co_3O_4$  nano-arrays honeycombs.

Temperature (°C)	Conversion	Rate (mol g <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	TOF (s <sup>-1</sup> )
110	10%	$6.54 \times 10^{-7}$	$8.1 \times 10^{-5}$
120	12%	$7.70 \times 10^{-7}$	$9.5 \times 10^{-5}$
130	14.2%	$9.11 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.12 \times 10^{-4}$
140	16.3%	$1.05 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.29 \times 10^{-4}$
150	18.8%	$1.21 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.49 \times 10^{-4}$

Table S1. Apparent activation energy, pre-exponential factor and turn-over frequency.

**Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> prepared from cobalt acetate:** Apparent activation energy  $E_a = 20.6 \ kJ/mol$ .

Pre-exponential factor  $k_0 = 5433 \ mol \cdot g^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$ 

Temperature (°C)	Conversion	Rate (mol g <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	TOF (s <sup>-1</sup> )
110	10.9%	$7.16 \times 10^{-7}$	$8.6 \times 10^{-5}$
120	12.9%	$8.47 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.02 \times 10^{-4}$
130	15.2%	$9.98 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.2 \times 10^{-4}$
140	17.3%	$1.14 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.37 \times 10^{-4}$
150	19.8%	$1.30 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.57 \times 10^{-4}$

Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> prepared from cobalt nitrate: Apparent activation energy  $E_a = 20.1 kJ/mol$ .

Pre-exponential factor  $k_0 = 5102 \ mol \cdot g^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$ 

Temperature (°C)	Conversion	Rate (mol g <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	TOF (s <sup>-1</sup> )
110	9.80%	$6.13 \times 10^{-7}$	$8.56 \times 10^{-5}$
120	11.60%	$7.25 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.01 \times 10^{-4}$
130	13.60%	$8.50 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.18 \times 10^{-4}$
140	15.70%	$9.82 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.37 \times 10^{-4}$
150	17.80%	$1.11 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.55 \times 10^{-4}$

Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> prepared from cobalt chloride: Apparent activation energy  $E_a = 20.1 \ kJ/mol$ .

Pre-exponential factor  $k_0 = 3840 \ mol \cdot g^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$ 

The apparent activation energy and pre-exponential factor is obtained by the Arrhenius plot of lnk vs (-1/T). Reaction constant value k was calculated from reaction rate  $r = kP_{NO}^a P_{O_2}^b$  where a and b are the reaction orders determined from Figure 4. The turn-over frequency is calculated by using Co<sup>3+</sup> as the active sites. The population ratio of Co<sup>3+</sup> and Co<sup>2+</sup> is determined from deconvoluted XPS spectra in Figure 5. In CA and CN the Co<sup>3+</sup> possesses 65% while in CC this proportion is 59%.

TOF calculation:

$$TOF = \frac{Converted \ NO \ (mol \cdot s^{-1})}{Active \ sites \ number \ (mol)}$$

Converted NO (mol 
$$\cdot s^{-1}$$
) =  $\frac{500 \text{ ppm} \times \text{conversion } (\%) \times \text{flow rate } (L \cdot s^{-1})}{22.4 \text{ L/mol}}$ 

where flow rate=200 mL/min=1/300 (L/s).

Active sites number (mol) =  $3 \times \frac{m_{Co_3O_4}}{M_{Co_3O_4}} \times \eta$ 

where  $m_{Co_3O_4}$  stands for the mass of the grown Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nano-arrays on the honeycomb,  $M_{Co_3O_4}$  represents the molecular weight of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (240 g/mol) and  $\eta$  is the portion of Co<sup>3+</sup>, which is determined by XPS analysis. Specifically, for CA and CN nano-arrays,  $\eta = 65\%$  while for CC nano-array,  $\eta = 59\%$ .

**Table S2.** Surface area calculation.

With honeycombs	Grain size (nm)	BET surface area (m²/g)	Pore diameter(nm)
CA-300 C	20-30	12.5	15
CN-300 C	25-40	16.1	15
CC-300 C	60-80	8.4	25

In addition to the grain size, the BET surface area of the honeycombs decorated with  $Co_3O_4$  nano-arrays are listed in Table S2. It can be seen from Figure S4b that CN has a relatively larger pore volume compared with CA, which might contribute to its larger surface area.

The BET surface area of blank honeycombs was measured to be 0.35 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>. We assume the measured BET surface area of honeycombs structured with nano-arrays is approximately the linear summation of contributions from blank honeycomb and the nano-arrays. The weight of nano-arrays constitutes ~10% of the total weight of honeycombs. Therefore, the BET surface area of nano-arrays  $S_A$  in Figure 6 is calculated by

$$S_A = \frac{S(m_h + m_A) - S_h m_h}{m_A}$$

 $m_h$ : mass of the honeycomb;

 $m_A$ : mass of the nano-arrays (weight difference of honeycomb substrate before and after nanoarrays growth);

 $S_h$ : BET surface area of blank honeycomb;

S: BET surface area of honeycomb structured with Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nano-arrays.

The same computation is used for results in Table S3 and Table S4.

With honeycombs	BET surface area (m²/g)	Pore size (nm)
CA-powders	4.8	12
<b>CN-powders</b>	3.8	15-20
CC-powders	3.7	30

**Table S3.** BET surface area characterization and pore size distribution results for  $Co_3O_4$  nanopowders prepared by the same hydrothermal process as nano-arrays synthesized from different cobalt precursors.

-			
	With honeycombs	Grain size (nm)	BET surface area (m <sup>2</sup> /g)
	CA-800 C	150-200	4.3
	CN-800 C	150-200	4.2
	CC-800 C	150-200	4
_			

**Table S4.** Grain size and BET surface area results for 800 °C annealed nano-arrays synthesized from different cobalt precursors.