Electronic Supplementary Information for

# Enhanced Hydrogenation of Olefins and Ketones with Ruthenium Complex Covalently Anchored on Graphene Oxide

Qingshan Zhao, Yang Li, Ru Liu, Ao Chen, Guoliang Zhang, Fengbao Zhang and Xiaobin Fan\*

State Key Laboratory of Chemical Engineering, Key Laboratory for Green Chemical Technology, School of Chemical Engineering & Technology, Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and Engineering, School of Chemical Engineering & Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin300072, China

E-mail: xiaobinfan@tju.edu.cn

## **Table of Contents**

#### **1. Experimental Section**

1.1 Control experiments

#### 2. Supplementary Figures

Fig. S1 EDS spectrum of Ru-f-GO.

Fig. S2 Photographs of GO (1),  $NH_2$ -*f*-GO (2) and Ru-*f*-GO (3) dispersed in ethanol.

Fig. S3 SEM and TEM images of (a) (b) GO, (c) (d) NH<sub>2</sub>-f-GO and (e) (f) Ru-f-GO.

**Fig. S4** (a) SEM image of Ru–*f*–GO and corresponding quantitative EDS element mapping of (b) N, (c) O and (d) P.

Fig. S5 (a) SEM image of  $NH_2$ -*f*-GO and corresponding quantitative EDS element mapping of (b) C, (c) N and (d) Si.

**Fig. S6** Ru 3p3/2 XPS spectra of (a)  $Rucl_2(PPh_3)_3$ , (b) Ru-f-GO before the hydrogenation reactions and (c) Ru-f-GO after the hydrogenation reactions.

### **1. Experimental Section**

#### **1.1 Control experiments**

To verify the crucial role of the aminosilane ligand spacer, control experiments were carried out as follows: 200 mg of GO was added to an anhydrous toluene solution of  $RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3$  (150 mg), and the solution was refluxed under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere for 24 h. The mixture was filtrated and washed, followed by freeze-drying. The resulting solid was tested by Quantitative energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) and trace of ruthenium was determined. The resulting solid also showed no inherent catalytic activity in hydrogenation of olefins. These results exclude the possibility of  $RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3$  reacting with GO directly and shows the key role of the aminosilane ligand spacer in the coordination process, which also provide more evidence for the coordination interaction, not a simple physical absorption.





**Fig. S1** The EDS spectrum of Ru–*f*–GO. Ruthenium shows an element mass ratio of 1.31 %, which is in line with the XPS analysis.



Fig. S2 Photographs of GO (1),  $NH_2$ –*f*–GO (2) and Ru–*f*–GO (3) dispersed in ethanol. The color changes from yellow to brown and black after the silvlation and coordination reactions.



Fig. S3 SEM and TEM images of (a) (b) GO, (c) (d)  $NH_2$ -f-GO and (e) (f) Ru-f-GO.



**Fig. S4** (a) SEM image of Ru–*f*–GO and corresponding quantitative EDS element mapping of (b) N, (c) O and (d) P.



**Fig. S5** (a) SEM image of  $NH_2$ –*f*–GO and corresponding quantitative EDS element mapping of (b) C, (c) N and (d) Si. All the elements are homogeneously distributed on the whole surface of GO.



**Fig. S6** Ru 3p3/2 XPS spectra of (a)  $Rucl_2(PPh_3)_3$ , (b) Ru-f-GO before the hydrogenation reactions and (c) Ru-f-GO after the hydrogenation reactions.