Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2014

Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2014

Electronic Supporting Information

Infiltrative coating of LiNi_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}O₂ microspheres with layerstructured LiTiO₂: Toward superior cycling performances for Li-ion batteries

Zongyi Wang, Sisi Huang, Baojun Chen, Hao Wu* and Yun Zhang*

College of Materials Science and Engineering, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610065, P. R.

China

* Corresponding Author. E-mail: hao.wu@scu.edu.cn and y_zhang@scu.edu.cn

Part I: Experimental Section

Material Synthesis

Preparation of $Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}(OH)_2$ **Microspheres.** Mesoporous $Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}(OH)_2$ microspheres as precursors were first prepared by using a co-precipitation method. Typically, $NiSO_4 \cdot 6H_2O$, $MnSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$, and $CoSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ were mixed with a molar ratio of Ni:Co:Mn=5:2:3 and with a concentration of 2.0 mol/L in distilledwater. The mixed solutionwas put into a CSTR (continuous stirred tank reactor) with a capacity of 2 L under N_2 atmosphere. Simultaneously, 2.0 mol/L solution of NaOH and 0.5 mol/L solution of NH_4OH as a chelating agent were separately fed into the continuous stirred tank reactor. The reaction was conducted at 50 °C for 10 h, and the pH value in solution was kept at 11. Afterwards, the co-precipitated powders were filtered, washed and finally dried at 140 °C for overnight to obtain the precursor $Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}(OH)_2$ microspheres. **Pre-coating Procedure.** A hydrolysis process of titanium salt was carried out to pre-coat TiO_2 ·xH₂O on the Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}(OH)₂ microspheres. In a typical preparation of 1 mol% coated sample (Ti:(Ni+Co+Mn) = 1:100 in molar ratio), 0.54 g Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}(OH)₂ microspheres were firstly dispersed into 20 mL absolute ethanol, followed by addition of 0.020 g tetrabutyl titanate diluted in 0.4 mL absolute ethanol. The resulting suspension was kept stirring for 10 h to make sure the thorough mixing of microspheres with Ti(OC₄H₉)₄. Subsequently, a DI water-ethanol mixture (1:12 in volume) was added dropwise into the above suspension to trigger the hydrolysis reaction under vigorous stirring at ambient temperature. During the hydrolysis of Ti(OC₄H₉)₄, the precursor microspheres were gradually coated with the hydrolytic precipitate of hydrous titanium oxide. After stirring continuously for 24 h at the same temperature, the resulting products were washed with ethanol, and collected by centrifugation.

*Synthesis of LiTiO*₂*-coated LiNi*_{0.5}*Co*_{0.2}*Mn*_{0.3}*O*₂ *Microspheres.* After pre-coating treatment, the coated microspheres were dispersed in ethanol, and mixed with about 0.22 g Li₂CO₃. The amount of Li₂CO₃ is calculated by the following equation:

 $m_{Li2CO3} = 74g \cdot mol^{-1} \times [(n_{(Ni+Co+Mn)} + n_{Ti})/2]$

The mixture was stirred under 80 °C until it was dry. Then, the blend was collected and sintered at 850 °C for 12 hours, with heat rate of 4 °C ·min⁻¹.

*Synthesis of Pristine LiNi*_{0.5} $Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}O_2$ *Microspheres.* For comparison, the uncoated pristine LiNi_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}O₂ microspheres were also prepared by using Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}(OH)₂ and Li₂CO₃ as starting materials, and the sintering treatment was performed in the same procedure as described above.

Characterization

Crystal strucures of all samples were identified by powder X-ray diffraction measurement (XRD, D/Max-2000) using Cu K α radiation in the 2 θ range of 10 – 70° with a step of 0.02° and a scanning speed of 0.06° s⁻¹. Nitrogen sorption measurements were performed with Tristar 30 instrument (Micromeritics) to determine the specific surface area (SSA) and pore structure parameters of precursor Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}(OH)₂ microspheres before and after TiO₂:*x*H₂O pre-coating. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, AXIS Ultra DLD, Kratos)

was used to characterize valence state of surface elements and their content in samples. The morphologies of all samples were observed by scanning electron microscope (SEM, S-4800). Also, energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) was carried out as the attachment of SEM, with the acceleration voltage of 20 kV, in order to analyze the surface component of samples. Transmission electron microscope (TEM, JEM-100CX, JEOL, Japan) and high resolution TEM (HRTEM, JEM-2100F, JEOL, Japan) were employed to distinguish the coating layer and identify the interplanar spacing.

Electrochemical Measurements

The electrochemical properties of products were tested by CR2032 coin-type cell. The working electrodes were fabricated by dispersing 80 wt.% active materials, 15 wt.% black carbon (conductive materials), and 5 wt.% PVDF (binder) in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) solvent to form a homogeneous slurry. Afterwards, the slurry was coated on an Al foil current collector by an automatic coating machine (JFA-II, Tianjin Yonglida laboratory equipment Co., LTD.), and then dried at 120 °C for 10 h in a vacuum oven. All electrodes were cut into disks with a diameter of 14 mm, the average mass loading of which was about 5.0 mg \cdot cm⁻². Subsequently, CR-2032 coin-type cells were assembled in an argon-filled glove box by utilizing the above prepared disks as cathode, metal lithium foils as anode, polypropylene micro-porous films (Celgard 2400) as separator, and 1M LiPF₆ dissolved in a mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC) and dimethyl carbonate (DMC) and diethyl carbonate (DEC) (1:1:1 in volume) as electrolyte. Galvanostatic charge and discharge tests were conducted in a potential range of 3.0-4.4 V (vs. Li/Li⁺) at 25 and 55 °C using a battery system (Neware CT-3008W battery tester, China). The Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) tests were performed by the CHI600D electrochemical workstation in the frequency range of 100 kHz to 10 mHz with the scanning rate of 0.1 mV/s.

Part II: Supporting Figures and Tables



Fig. S1 (a) N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms and (b) pore size distribution curves of precursor Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}(OH)₂ microspheres before and after 1 and 5 mol% TiO₂·*x*H₂O precoating

As shown in Fig. S1a, the precursor $Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}(OH)_2$ microsphere displays the type IV isotherm with a clear hysteresis loops, indicating the presence of mesoporous structure. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area of the precursor was calculated to be 6.9 m²/g, while its Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) pore size distribution derived from the desorption isotherm centered at around 14.1 nm, attributing to the interstitial spacings between the stacked flake-like primary particles. After pre-coating with 1 and 5 mol% TiO₂·xH₂O, the pore size of the precursor decreased to be 9.2 and 4.8 nm, respectively, accompanied by increased BET surface are of 12.7 and 37.9 m²/g, respectively, mainly due to the uniform and complete deposition of TiO₂·xH₂O into the pores throughout the entire microspheres.

Table S1.	Summary o	f BET	specific	surface area	a and BJH	pore structure	parameters
-----------	-----------	-------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------------	------------

Sample	BET SSA (m²/g)	Average pore size (nm)	Pore volume (cm ³ /g)
Precursor	6.9	14.1	0.047
1 mol% TiO ₂ · <i>x</i> H ₂ O coating	12.7	9.2	0.044
5 mol% TiO ₂ :xH ₂ O coating	37.9	4.8	0.042



Fig. S2 SEM images of (a and b) Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}(OH)₂ microspheres and (c and d) corresponding pristine LNCM product at low and high magnification



Fig. S3 XRD patterns of (a) pristine and LiTiO₂-coated LNCM product (1 and 5 mol% coating content) and (b) 10 mol% LiTiO₂-coated LNCM product

Table S2. Riveted cell refinement results of the pristine and LiTiO₂-coated LNCM products

Sample	Space group	<i>a</i> (Å)	Vol. (Å ³)
Pristine	<i>R-3m</i>	2.86118	100.66
1 mol% coated LNCM	R-3m	2.86203	100.89
5 mol% coated LNCM	<i>R-3m</i>	2.86316	101.24



Fig. S4 (a) SEM image and (b) EDS spectrum of 5 mol% LiTiO₂-coated LNCM. (c-f) EDS

dot-mapping results of Mn (c), Co (d), Ni (e), and Ti (f)



Fig. S5 XPS spectra for (a) Ni, (b) Co, (c) Mn, and (d) Ti elements of pristine, 1, and 5 mol%

LiTiO₂-coated LNCM products

Table S32. Summary of atomic ratio of Ti to (Ni+Co+Mn) of products determined from XPS and from precursor applied in the synthetic process.^{*a*}

Samplas	Ti:(Ni+Co+Mn)				
Samples	XPS results	Starting ratio			
Pristine	0:(49+19+32)	0:(50+20+30)			
1 mol%	3:(51+15+34)	1:(50+20+30)			
5 mol%	14:(53+12+36)	5:(50+20+30)			

^{*a*} The results of XPS element qualification was calculated and analyzed according to the method described in the literature.^{S1,2}



Fig. S6 Typical discharge voltage-capacity profiles of (a) pristine, (b) 1, and (c) 5 mol% LiTiO₂-coated LNCM products at variable current rate of 1, 2, 5, 10, and 20 C

Samples	Discharge capacity (mA h/g)						
	1 C	2 C	5 C	10 C	20 C	Back to 1 C	
Pristine	163.8	155.8	144.9	131.4	94.4	163.3	
1 mol%	162.4	155.9	143.7	129.6	101.3	161.7	
5 mol%	151.3	144.4	135.8	124.9	107.2	150.5	

Table S43. Discharge capacity of the products at varibale current rate



Fig. S7 Typical discharge voltage-capacity profiles of (a and b) 1 and (c and d) 5 mol% LiTiO₂-coated LNCM at the different cycle numbers under 25 (a and c) and 55 °C (b and d)

Samplas	25 °C			55 °C		
Samples	Pristine	1 mol%	5 mol%	Pristine	1 mol%	5 mol%
Initial capacity (mA h/g)	167.4	163.3	150.1	181.1	177.1	170.7
The 100 th capacity (mA h/g)	142.5	158.7	149.5	51.2	106.5	131.5
The 200 th capacity (mA h/g)		145.4	145.9			
Final capacity retention (%)	85.1	89.1	97.2	28.3	60.1	77.0

Table S54. Capacity retention of the products after cycling at 1 C under 25 and 55 °C



Fig. S8 Nyquist plots of the pristine and LiTiO₂-coated LNCM electrodes at a charge state of 4.4 V in the (a) 1st and (b) 100th cycle at 1 C under 25 °C; Insets in (a) and (b) show the equivalent circuit used to fit the measured impedance spectra

Samplas -	1 st c	ycle	100 th cycle		
Samples	$R_{ m s}\left(\Omega ight)$	$R_{\rm ct}\left(\Omega ight)$	$R_{ m s}\left(\Omega ight)$	$R_{\rm ct}\left(\Omega ight)$	
Pristine	1.94	109.3	2.64	340.5	
1 mol%	1.83	109.4	1.86	211.6	
5 mol%	2.16	218.4	2.52	223.8	

Table S65. The values of R_s and R_{ct} for pristine and LiTiO₂-coated LNCM products in the 1st and 100th cycle at 1 C under 25 °C

As described in Table S65, the R_s values representing the solution resistance, for all electrodes have no distinct changes during cycling process, which can be ascribed to the slow decomposition of electrolyte solution with cycles.^{S3} Contrarily, all the three electrodes demonstrated increasing R_{ct} values, which refers to charge transfer resistance,^{S3} upon continuous cycling. This phenomenon can be associated to the fact that the thicker solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) film on the electrode surface increased the electrochemical kinetics obstacles. It can be seen that the increase of R_{ct} values between the pristine and coated

electrodes became different as the cycle reached to the 100th. The ever-growing interface reaction between the electrolyte and electrode can be significantly responsible for the increase of R_{ct} values. Specifically, the R_{ct} value of the pristine LNCM electrode increased from 109.3 Ω at the 1st cycle to 340.5 Ω at the 100th cycle, whereas the R_{ct} values of the 1 and 5 mol% LiTiO₂-coated electrodes only increased from 109.4 and 218.4 Ω at the 1st cycle to 211.6 and 223.8 Ω at the 100th cycle, respectively. Clearly, the LiTiO₂-coated electrodes showed much smaller resistance increase than that of the pristine one. This fact suggests that LiTiO₂ acts as a role of a protective layer to restrain the oxidation of electrolyte and structural destruction of electrode material at high cut-off voltage so as to avoid the over-rapid thickening of electronically insulating SEI film,^{S4} and decrease the rate of impedance increase during longterm cycling.



Fig. S9 SEM images (a and b) and EDS spectrum (c and d) of the 5 mol% LiTiO₂-coated LNCM electrode after 100 cycles at 1 C under 25 °C

Reference

[S1]. B. Philippe, R. Dedryvere, J. Allouche, F. Lindgren, M. Gorgoi, H. Rensmo, D. Gonbeau and K. Edstrom, *Chem. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 1107.

[S2]. J. Lu, Q. Peng, W. Y. Wang, C. Y. Nan, L. H. Li and Y. D. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, 135, 1649.

[S3]. J. M. Zheng, X. B. Wu and Y. Yang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2011, 56, 3071.

[S4]. S. K. Martha, J. Nanda, G. M. Veith and N. J. Dudney, J. Power Sources, 2012, 216, 179.